2. THE SECOND COHORT EXAMINATION

2.1 Introduction

The second cohort examination (Visit 2) is the first re-examination scheduled for the participants of the ARIC cohort. As envisaged during the initial design of the ARIC study, a core component of the cohort examination remains constant in Visit 2 to provide comparability. Other procedures have been deleted or postponed until subsequent exams to keep the length of the exam to under 4 hours, and others have been added to pursue interests for which there was not time in Visit 1.

Only cohort members recruited during the first three years of the study (i.e., Visit 1 participants) are invited to take part in the first cohort re-examination. Consequently, no household enumerations nor home interviews as used in Visit 1 are needed for Visit 2.

The following items which were collected during recruitment and at the first exam (Visit 1) are not repeated at Visit 2:

1. Household enumeration which includes race, Hispanic status, sex, and marital status of all household members age 18 or more.

2. Participant's sex, race, state of birth, education, income, maiden name, nickname; total number of persons in household, number in household between 45-64 years, moving plans, length of residence in community, and participation in other research projects.

3. Anthropometry: standing height, sitting height, calf girth and wrist breadth.

4. Reproductive History: parity, gravidity, birth control pills more than 3 years ago, and use of more than two types of female hormones more than 3 years ago.

5. Respiratory History: those questions which probe for symptoms in the morning or during the rest of the day, or which probe for duration of symptoms in years.

6. The entire physical activity questionnaire.

7. The entire food frequency (Dietary Intake) questionnaire, except to measure reliability of the dietary assessment. For this purpose the questionnaire will be administered to 400 persons at Visit 2.

The following items (including their rationale) have been added to Visit 2:

1. Indicators of Medical Care: a question has been added asking about source of help for health problems. Access to medical care has been hypothesized to be related to cardiovascular outcomes.

2. Left handedness: a question has been added to determine whether the person is right or left handed. Unconfirmed studies have shown handedness to be related to mortality.
3. Medical procedures: a question has been added to the physical examination to determine whether the participant has had specified procedures since his/her last visit (echocardiograms, ECG, stress test, ultrasound or catheterization). Cohort membership and access to medical have been hypothesized to be related to mortality.

4. Pulmonary function: at the end of the usual pulmonary function test, a test of maximum inspiratory pressure will be made. Pressure may be a measure of respiratory strength which has been hypothesized to be related to mortality.

5. Family history: questions have been added to determine the cardiovascular history of the participant's siblings. This information will be used to evaluate genetic hypotheses.

6. Psychosocial: questionnaires have been added on social support, social networks, fatigue/depression, and hostility/anger. These have also been hypothesized to be related to mortality and cardiovascular disease.

7. Cognitive function: questions have been added to assess mental capacity (delayed word recall, digit symbol substitution, and word fluency tests.) These have been added to establish a baseline for future comparisons to assess the possible correlations between risk factors and the progression of atherosclerosis with deterioration of mental capacity.

Chapter 2 of this manual includes an overview of the second cohort examination, procedures for administering participant interviews and conducting exams, references to the pertinent manuals of the protocol for those examination procedures not covered in detail in Manual 2, and appendices. Table 2.1 lists the main components of Visit 2, identifying the activities at each workstation and cross-referencing each procedure with its respective manual of operation. The operational descriptions of each component in this chapter are arranged in the order listed in this table. Their corresponding data collection instruments are arranged in alphabetical order in the appendix.

The description of each interview/exam component in the text includes the (.1) rationale for its use, (.2) operational procedures, (.3) training requirements, (.4) overview of certification criteria, (.5) routine quality assurance measures and (.6) data collection procedures. The rationale for each interview/procedure that was performed in Visit 1 briefly states the major premise(s) for its inclusion in the ARIC study and its continued use in Visit 2. A more detailed rationale is provided for the new Visit 2 studies. The operational procedures summarize administrative procedures for interviews and operational procedures for examinations or a reference to the appropriate manual of operations for the procedures with their own protocols. Training requirements and certification criteria are listed separately from their traditional rubric of quality assurance to provide easier reference for study personnel. To reduce the use of repetitive statements for each procedure in these two sections, it is understood that the minimum training and certification requirements/criteria for all Visit 2 interviewers, technicians and clinicians are a command of the pertinent protocol sections and forms, and demonstrated proficiency on the ARIC direct Data Entry System or back-up procedures for data collection on paper forms. Table 2.2 lists the personnel responsible for the central and local training of each interview/procedure at ARIC PROTOCOL 2. Cohort Component Procedures - Visit 2. VERSION 3.0 8/90
the outset of Visit 2. The Quality Assurance section further summarizes and/or references the additional quality control activities that are carried out locally by field center personnel and globally by the Coordinating Center and other Central Agencies. The final section on Data Collection briefly summarizes the standard and backup operating procedures for data collection using both the direct and delayed entry systems. A separate protocol, The Data Management Manual, serves as the official reference document for all data collection and systems management procedures. The appendices provide support material for both Chapters 1, 2 and 3 of this manual, including interviewing scripts, the data entry screen and paper versions of all forms, the detailed question by question instructions for administering each form, prototypes of all participant results reports and quality control checklists. Also included are instructions for coding cognitive function, health/life profile form, medications and occupation.) (See the question by question instructions.)

2.2 Participant Flow

The participant flow, as outlined in Table 2.3, has successfully evolved since the implementation of Visit 1 to reflect study requirements and the operational needs of the individual field centers.

2.2.1 Rationale

Participant flow at each field center is structured to contain both fixed and flexible components. The fixed components reflect the requirement to initiate the examination with the informed consent, the grouping of the procedures which require fasting, and the logistical necessity of conducting medical data reviews and exit interviews after all other procedures have been completed. The flexible components reflect the historical advantages of having the separate field centers conduct the majority of the interviews and examinations in accordance with the physical layout and the scheduling patterns of the individual field centers. This approach has been shown to minimize participant burden (maximum allowable exam time is 4 hours) and to reduce variability in study measurements.

2.2.2 Fixed Sequences

The fixed portion of participant flow must meet the following criteria: informed consent must be signed before any examination; twelve hours of fasting and one hour of abstinence from smoking and overt physical exercise are required for venipuncture and sitting blood pressure (procedures for noncompliance are described below); sitting blood pressure and anthropometry must be measured before venipuncture, and all other interviews and exams are completed before the medical data review.

2.2.3 Flexible Sequences

The sequence of the remainder of the examination is flexible and is designed and monitored by the study coordinator at each field center. These procedures include the interviews, ultrasound and physical examinations, electrocardiogram and pulmonary function.
Table 2.1 Components of the ARIC Cohort Visit 2 examination and location of the descriptions in the Manuals of Operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure/Workstation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informed Consent</td>
<td>Obtain informed consent.</td>
<td>Manual 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reception</td>
<td>Greet the participant; determine fasting status; verify identifying information; obtain tracing data; collect medications.</td>
<td>Manual 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting Blood Pressure</td>
<td>Obtain sitting blood pressure before the participant has blood drawn.</td>
<td>Manual 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometry</td>
<td>Measure weight, frame size, skin folds.</td>
<td>Manual 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venipuncture</td>
<td>Obtain blood samples for all laboratory tests.</td>
<td>Manual 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snack</td>
<td>Provide snack which contains no caffeine or stimulants.</td>
<td>Manual 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Obtain a 12 lead ECG</td>
<td>Manual 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Collect sociodemographic, cognitive function, psychosocial, and selected medical, personal and family history data.</td>
<td>Manual 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Exam</td>
<td>Obtain a brief systems review on each participant including neck, neurological, chest and lungs, heart, and extremities. Verify reported history of possible CVD.</td>
<td>Manual 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary Function</td>
<td>Obtain spirometric measurements of timed pulmonary function (FVC, FEV1), and inspiratory pressure (MIP).</td>
<td>Manual 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>Obtain B-mode scan and arterial wall distensibility measurements in carotid arteries. Measure heart rate and blood pressure changes as participant arises from supine position.</td>
<td>Manual 6, Manual 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Data Review</td>
<td>Ascertain the completeness of the exam and verify abnormal results. Review results of the medical history and exam with the participant. Refer participant for diagnosis or treatment elsewhere if appropriate.</td>
<td>Manual 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Interview</td>
<td>Return medication; thank participant.</td>
<td>Manual 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2 Training for the ARIC Visit 2 Cohort Exam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENTRAL</th>
<th>LOCAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trainee</strong></td>
<td><strong>Trainer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VENIPUNCTURE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood drawing</td>
<td>Chief Technician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood processing</td>
<td>Chief Technician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEDICATION SURVEY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AFU (Contact Yr 4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Follow-up</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 2 Scheduling</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEALTH/LIFE PROFILE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-administered</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff-supported</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COGNITIVE FUNCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FAMILY HISTORY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UPDATE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FASTING/TRACKING</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEALTH HISTORY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIA/STROKE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESPIRATORY Sx</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coord/Sprvsr</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RECEPTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed Consent</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MED DATA REVIEW</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med. Revue</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA/Stroke Review</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LETTERS/REPORTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppt. Results Rpt.</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDES Version 3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workstations</td>
<td>Data Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Management</td>
<td>Data Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PULMONARY FUNCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirometry</td>
<td>PFT Technicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspir. Pressure</td>
<td>PFT Technicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANTHROPOMETRY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHYSICAL EXAM</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exam</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ULTRASOUND</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scans</td>
<td>Chief Sonographer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postural Change</td>
<td>Chief Sonographer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECG</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 lead ECG</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3 Participant Flow in Visit 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROCEDURES/WORKSTATIONS</th>
<th>Approximate Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RECEPTION</td>
<td>12 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting/Tracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Survey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHANGE CLOTHES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTHROPOMETRY</td>
<td>6 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITTING BLOOD PRESSURE</td>
<td>12 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENIPUNCTURE</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNACK</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERVIEWS</td>
<td>55 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family History</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health History</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Life Profiles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke/TIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 LEAD ECG</td>
<td>8 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYSICAL EXAM</td>
<td>7 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PULMONARY FUNCTION TEST</td>
<td>20 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed pulmonary function (FVC, FEV^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum inspiratory pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULTRASOUND</td>
<td>45 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid arteries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postural changes in blood pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial distensibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATA INVENTORY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHANGE CLOTHES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL DATA REVIEW</td>
<td>12 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Data Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA/Stroke Summary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXIT</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.2.4 Data Collection

The participant's flow is documented on the ARIC Cohort Inventory (CXI) form within the Data Entry System. The CXI is added to the local database when the participant's diskette is initialized. Its operating instructions are provided in the Data Coordinator's Manual.

2.3 Reception

At the reception work station, the participant is greeted and welcomed, informed consent is obtained, participant questions are answered, demographic and tracking information are updated, fasting status is determined and the medication survey begun (in some instances, completed).

Staff are trained for the reception work station by the Study Coordinator at each field center. Certification requirements include the successful completion of training on general interviewing techniques, Informed Consent, the Fasting/Tracking form, Direct Data Entry System, and Medications Transcription/Interview (optional). Although no formal certification schedule has been established, interviewers working at the reception workstation are routinely observed by the local study coordinator.

2.3.1 Informed Consent

2.3.1.1 Rationale

The primary objective of readministering Informed Consent is to protect the rights of the ARIC Study participants, meet local Institutional Review Board requirements, and to update the participant's permission to abstract medical records in the event of hospitalization or death.

2.3.1.2 Administration

The goals of the ARIC study and the Visit 2 procedures are reviewed with the participant. It is explained that the goals of the study have not changed and the primary purpose for obtaining a second signature is to keep current his/her permission to review medical records in the event of hospitalization or death. Time is allowed for the person to read and sign the informed consent document. If he/she is visually handicapped or illiterate (incapable of reading the study description and informed consent page), the narrative portion is read to him/her and then the participant is asked to sign the document. It is noted on the Participant Inventory Sheet that assistance with the self-administered portion of the interview (Health and Life Profile Form) should be offered. A copy of the informed consent is given to the participant if required by the local Institutional Review Board.

2.3.1.3 Training

Study coordinators are responsible for providing local staff training.

2.3.1.4 Certification

Certification by the Study Coordinator is required, as listed above.
2.3.1.5 Quality Assurance

Routine quality assurance is provided at each field center by means of observation by the local study coordinator.

2.3.1.6 Data Collection

The Informed Consent is a paper form. The first two pages of descriptive text are given to the participant and the signature page (page 3) is stored in the participant's folder.

2.3.2 Update Form

2.3.2.1 Rationale

Demographic and tracking information, initially recorded in Visit 1 and updated on the Annual Follow-Up Tracking Form, is summarized on a new form, the Update form. This form is generated by the Coordinating Center from information stored in the study's central database, and sent to the field centers for inclusion on the participant's diskette prior to Visit 2.

2.3.2.2 Administration

After greeting the participant and obtaining his/her informed consent, the information on the Update screen is verified by reviewing with the participant the information which was filled out on the form sent to his/her home in the Visit 2 information packet (see Appendix 1.5). The Update form used during Visit 2 also includes mailing information for the person or agency designated to receive the participant's study results.

After verifying all data elements, a hard copy of the Update form is printed. If no changes were made, "NO CHANGES" is written in the upper right hand corner of the form and the form is filed in the participant's folder. If changes were made to the UPDATE screen, "MODIFIED" is written in the upper right hand corner of the paper copy before filing the form in the participant's folder. (This paper copy is used as a tracking log to document the date of any future changes and the initials of the person recording the changes.)

In recognition of the confidential nature of the information collected on the Update form, the information sheet that was brought in by the participant is either returned to him/her or torn up and disposed of in front of the participant.

A schedule for reporting the participant's study results is reviewed (Appendix 7.1.a) with the participant after the Update form is completed. It indicates that the results of some of the procedures done during the visit will be reviewed later with the ARIC clinician while the participant is still at the field center, and a written summary report of those and some additional tests will be mailed to the participant and his/her physician (or alternate) 10 - 12 weeks after the clinic visit date, as described in Section 2.23. Samples of the report and prototypes of accompanying letters are included in Appendix 7.
2.3.2.3 Training

Study coordinators are centrally trained before Visit 2 and are responsible for providing local staff training.

2.3.2.4 Certification

Certification is required, provided by the study coordinator.

2.3.2.5 Quality Assurance

Routine quality assurance is provided locally by the study coordinator, by observing staff performance. Protocol adherence and interviewing technique are reviewed biannually by Coordinating Center field center monitors. Deviations from protocols and possible remedial actions are discussed with study coordinators and staff. Major deviations are brought to the attention of the EXM Committee.

2.3.2.6 Data Collection

The Coordinating Center provides an Update Form for each participant with demographic and tracking information from the most current information on the consolidated database. During the interview data in this form are modified using Change Mode of the DES.

2.3.3 Fasting/Tracking Form

2.3.3.1 Rationale

The Fasting/Tracking form is a revised version of the questionnaire used in Visit 1. Whereas the original form collected both fasting and tracking information, this version is limited to documenting the participant's fasting status. As this form established the official visit date in Visit 1, for continuity it was decided to have it continue this function in Visit 2, and preserve its title, even though the collection of tracking information has been transferred to the Update form.

2.3.3.2 Administration

The participant's fasting status is verified. Strict fasting is defined as nothing by mouth, except water, for the past 12 hours. Participants are considered fasting if they have met the strict definition or if they have ingested no more than one cup of coffee/tea within the past 12 hours. The participant's fasting status is recorded as FASTING on the Fasting/Tracking form, but the consumption of coffee/tea is recorded in a note log. Ingestion of more substantive liquids or solids constitutes breaking the fast. If the participant has not fasted for 12 hours, the participant is offered the opportunity to repeat blood drawing in the fasting state at a later date. If in agreement, blood is not drawn and the participant is rescheduled for fasting venipuncture within the shortest feasible time period. The Fasting/Tracking Form is completed; the non-fasting state and rescheduled date of venipuncture are noted on the Participant Inventory Form. When the participant returns in the fasting state for venipuncture, the questions concerning fasting status and recent blood donation on the Fasting/Tracking form are updated. If a
non-fasting participant does not wish to return, the participant's blood is
drawn and the Fasting/Tracking form completed appropriately.

The Fasting/Tracking Form also documents whether the participant has given
blood within the last 7 days. It is assumed that very few cohort members will
have donated blood within the last week as they are reminded during both the
scheduling calls not to do so, or to reschedule their clinic visit if they have
had to give blood. Recent donors are not rescheduled once they come for Visit
2; the response to question 5 on the Fasting/Tracking form is recorded to
reflect the recent blood donation and the individual is sent to the
venipuncture workstation.

2.3.3.3 Training

Study coordinators are centrally trained before Visit 2 and are responsible for
providing local staff training before Visit 2 start-up.

2.3.3.4 Certification

Certification is required, provided by the study coordinator.

2.3.3.5 Quality Assurance

Routine quality assurance is locally provided by observation of the local study
coordinator. Protocol adherence and interviewing techniques are reviewed at
least biannually by Coordinating Center field center monitors. Deviations from
protocols and possible remedial actions are discussed with study coordinators
and staff. Major deviations are brought to the attention of the Cohort
Committee.

2.3.3.6 Data Collection

The Fasting/Tracking form is collected by direct data entry on a data entry
screen unless the computer is not operational. Computed fasting time is
calculated by the Data Entry System (DES). A paper version is available for
back-up and subsequent data entry. Computed fields may be hand calculated and
written in the margin to assist in determining the need to reschedule the
participant for venipuncture. The data field will be automatically calculated
at data entry.

2.3.4 Medication Survey

2.3.4.1 Rationale

As in Visit 1, the goal of the Medication Survey is to ascertain medication
usage by coding both prescription and nonprescription drugs used by the
respondent within the two weeks preceding the examination date. Information on
use of medications assists in measuring patterns of medication use in the study
communities, temporal changes in medical care practice, diagnostic
classification of cardiovascular diseases, interpretation of laboratory
results, frequency and type of vitamin/mineral supplement use, and predictors
of study end points.
2.3.4.2 Administration

The Medication Survey questionnaire is divided into three major sections and is completed in several stages, at one or more workstations. At the Reception station, it is determined whether the participant has brought in all medications taken within the last two weeks. Identification labels are placed on the participant's medication bag and Medication Survey form. If the participant has not brought in any (all) medications, inquiries are made whether s/he has taken any medications during the past two weeks, or for possible reasons for noncompliance. In case of inadvertent omissions, arrangements are scheduled for obtaining the information by telephone. The deliberate omission to bring medications to the Field Center is recorded on the Participant Itinerary Sheet (Appendix 2.13) and conversion is attempted later during the medical review with the participant.

Subsequent parts of the Medication Survey can be administered at the Reception workstation or later, by trained interviewers or the physician assistant/nurse clinician.

The Medication Survey completes the interviews at the Reception workstation and the participant is asked to change into a loose-fitting scrub suit and place his/her personal belongings in a locker.

Before starting Part B of the Medication Survey, the name on the medication bag is checked against the name on the Medication Survey form. The medication containers are removed from the participant's medication bag and the medication name and concentration are transcribed into column (a) of Section B of the Medication Survey form. Medications that are not in a container are opened only in front of the participant, with his/her permission. When there are more than 17 medications, recording the name and concentration is continued on the back of the page if a paper form is used. If the Medication Survey DES is used and more than 17 medications need to be entered, the name and concentration of the additional medications are written on a piece of paper labelled with the participant's ID, and filed in the participant's folder for future coding. See below for coding instructions. If the name of the medication exceeds the number of fields in the DES, the name is abbreviated on the screen and its complete name is recorded on a piece of paper (labelled with the participant's ID number) and filed in the participant's folder for future coding.

If the interview portion of the Medication Survey is not to be administered at the Reception workstation, after the medication names and concentrations are transcribed, the medications are placed in the carrying bag and taken to the workstation designated for the completion of the medication survey. Otherwise, a trained interviewer or the physician assistant/nurse practitioner conduct a brief medication use interview by asking two questions for every medication listed in Section B: (1) classification of the drug - shared, prescribed, or over-the-counter and (2) use of the medication within the last 24 hours.

If the participant has not brought in all (any) medications, compliance is attempted at this time.
When more than 17 medications have been recorded, the priority algorithm for data entry and coding of the medications is as follows: prescription medications first; aspirin and aspirin containing medications (aspirin, Alka Seltzer, headache powders, cold medications, medication for arthritis, etc.); anti-inflammatory drugs (ibuprofen, motrin, nuprin, etc.); then over-the-counter medications, followed by vitamins and food supplements.

When preparing to ask the participant about each medication, the interviewer removes all containers from the bag and sets them in front of the participant. As each medication is reviewed, it is shown to the participant while keeping the other medications in view. After the questions are answered for each medication, each container is placed back in the carrying bag to minimize confusion and to assure that all medications are returned.

In the process of asking these questions about each medication, the interviewer verifies the transcription of medication names and makes corrections on the paper form as required. Unknown and incomplete names are checked against the American Drug Index and Physician's Desk Reference.

Part C of the Medication Survey re-asks categorical information on medications: use in the past 24 hours; use of any of the medications within the past 2 weeks for cardiovascular diseases; and use of medications containing aspirin.

2.3.4.3 Training

Study coordinators and medication coding specialists are centrally trained and are responsible for providing local staff training in the transcribing and coding of medications.

2.3.4.4 Certification

Certification by the study coordinator is required for medication transcription and interview. No recertification is required.

Separate certification is required for medication coding, based on a certification test provided by the Coordinating Center and administered by the local medication coding specialist. Recertification for medication coding is also required annually. For the medication coding specialist, this includes coding a set of selected medicines circulated for this purpose and adequate performance on blinded recoding of medications recorded during the previous year. Recertification criteria for field center medication coders require meeting minimum standards of coding repeatability (by interviewer/transcriptionist) and a review at the Coordinating Center of the accumulated performance on quality control repeat medication coding.

2.3.4.5 Quality Assurance

For each person certified to code medications a ten percent sample of medication coding records is identified by the Coordinating Center for blinded repeat coding at the field center.

2.3.4.6 Data Collection

The Medication Survey can either be collected on screens by direct data entry or on paper for delayed data entry.
Figure 2.1  Bony Landmarks for Anthropometric Measurements
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2.4 Anthropometry

2.4.1 Rationale

As in Visit 1 various anthropometric measurements are obtained on the ARIC participants to assess ponderosity, frame, thickness of subcutaneous fat, and pattern of distribution of body fat. Standing and sitting height were measured as part of Visit 1 and are not repeated at Visit 2 because little change is expected in these indices over this time period. Elbow breadth is measured in Visit 2 instead of wrist breadth, which was measured in Visit 1, because the former is considered a more widely accepted measurement of frame size.

2.4.2 Procedures

Anthropometry is performed before the clinic snack and after offering the participant an opportunity to empty his/her bladder. All measurements are made with the participant wearing light-weight, nonconstricting underwear. Each field center determines at the beginning of the study whether hip measurements are to be taken over or under the scrub suit and then follows that procedure consistently for the duration of Visit 2. Weight is measured without shoes.

All anthropometric measurements are taken by either a team of two persons (one serving as observer; the other as recorder) or by one technician using a full length mirror to aid in the appropriate placement of the tape measure. Using the team approach, the observer calls out the name of the next measurement, takes the measurement, and keeps the measuring instrument in place until the recorder repeats the number. The recorder checks the position of the examinee and verifies the accurate placement of the measuring instrument during each procedure, and records the result. When a single technician performs the measurements, he/she verifies the accurate placement of the measuring instrument (using the mirror when appropriate) for each measurement and records each measurement immediately after it is taken. Values are rounded down to the unit indicated for each measurement. Anatomical landmarks for the anthropometric measurements are identified in Figure 2.1.

2.4.2.1 Body Weight

Before a participant is weighed, the scale is balanced so that the indicator is at zero when no weight is on the scale. The scale must be level and on a firm surface (not a carpet). The participant is instructed to stand in the middle of the platform of the balance scale (Detector, model #437) with head erect and eyes looking straight ahead. Weight is adjusted on the indicator until it is balanced. Results are recorded to the pound, rounding down. To maintain accuracy, the scale is zero balanced daily and calibrated with a known weight (50 lbs) every week or whenever the scale is moved. The daily zero balance and the weekly scale calibration are documented on the Anthropometry Equipment Calibration Log (Appendix 6.2).

2.4.2.2 Skinfolds

The Lange caliper is used for all skinfold measurements. The caliper is calibrated using the calibration block prior to taking measurements on each participant. A chart of percent body fat computed from the sum of triceps and
subscapular skinfolds is available if the participant asks for the interpretation. (See Appendix 5.)

All measurements are taken on the participant's right side. Positions are marked with an erasable marking pen. A fold of skin is firmly grasped one (1) cm above the pen mark between the left thumb and first two fingers and then the fold is gently lifted away from the body only to the extent to determine that no muscle is grasped. A firm grip is necessary, but it must not exceed the pain threshold. The skinfold is not stretched away from the body; the fold is gently lifted two or three times to make certain that no musculature is grasped. The skinfold is gently grasped again, the skinfold continues to be held firmly, and the calipers are placed at the pen mark midway between the base and the crest. The skin fold is not let go of. The grip on the caliper is released completely, allowing the spring to compress the fold. Counting silently 1-2-3 (approximately 2 seconds), a reading on the caliper dial is taken to the millimeter, rounding down. (Keeping the left hand above the skinfold allows the dial to be read easily. See Figure 3.) The caliper is released, and then the fold. When using a team, the observer performs the measurements and calls out the value to the recorder for data entry. When performed by a single technician, he/she measures the skinfold, removes the caliper, releases the skinfold, and then immediately records the measurement. The entire procedure is repeated a second time.

The width of the skinfold that is enclosed between the fingers varies from one site on the body to another. With a thick subcutaneous layer, a wider segment of the skin must be "pinched" than when there is little adipose tissue. For a given site, the width of the skin is the minimum needed to yield a well-defined fold.

The depth of the skinfold at which the calipers is placed on the fold also requires comment. The two sides of the fold are not likely to be parallel, being narrower near the crest and broader toward the base. The measurement is too large when the calipers are placed at the base. The correct location is approximately midway between the crest and the base, where surfaces are approximately parallel to each other. The contact surfaces of the calipers should be parallel and applied perpendicular to the grasped skinfold. Pen marks are erased.

2.4.2.2.1 Triceps Skinfold

The posterior tip of the acromion process is marked. The tape measure is used to measure from the tip of the acromion process on the right shoulder to the tip of the olecranon process on the back of the elbow. With the participant flexing the right arm 90 degrees, the tip of the olecranon is marked. The participant is then requested to straighten the arm, allowing it to hang loosely at the side. A mark (+) is made at the midpoint between the acromion process and olecranon in the midline of the back of the arm (Figure 2.2). Using thumb and first two fingers, a skinfold is grasped parallel to the long axis of the straightened, relaxed arm one centimeter above the mark. The caliper is applied at the mark perpendicular to the grasped skinfold. Counting silently 1-2-3 (approximately 2 seconds), a reading on the caliper dial is taken to the millimeter, rounding down. Measurements must be read two seconds after the full pressure of the caliper jaws is applied to the skinfold. If a longer interval is allowed, the jaws may "creep" or fat may compress and the reading will be inaccurate. The caliper is removed, then the skinfold is released. Pen marks are erased.
Triceps: a vertical fold on the posterior midline of the upper arm (over triceps muscle), halfway between the acromion and olecranon processes; the elbow should be extended and relaxed.
Figure 2.3  Location of Skinfold Measurements: Subscapula
Figure 2.4 Location of Waist Girth Measurement
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Figure 2.5 Location of Upper Arm and Hip Circumferences; Subscapular Skinfold
Figure 2.6 Elbow Breadth Measurement at the Epicondyles of the Humerus

Figure 2.7 Location of Epicondyles of the Humerus
2.4.2.2.2 Subscapular Skinfold

This measurement is made one (1) cm below the inferior angle (tip) of the right scapula (Figure 2.3). To find the right medial scapular border, have the participant place the back of his right hand on the middle of his back. The right medial scapular border is located by moving the fingers down the full length until finding the inferior angle. With the participant's arm relaxed, a pen mark is made one (1) cm below the inferior angle on a diagonal line coming down from the medial border. With two fingers on top and thumb below, a skinfold is grasped 1 cm above the mark, on and in the direction of the diagonal line coming down from the medial border of the scapula. The skinfold should be angled about 45 degrees from the horizontal, going medially upward and laterally downward. The calipers are placed on the pen mark perpendicular to the grasped skinfold. The measurement is made to the millimeter, rounding down. The caliper is removed, the skinfold released, and the measurement is recorded. The procedure is repeated. Pen marks are erased.

2.4.2.3 Waist (Abdominal) Girth

The participant is instructed to stand erect and relaxed with weight equally distributed on both feet. Have the participant lift the scrub suit top just high enough to make the area visible. An anthropometric tape is applied at the level of the umbilicus (navel) and the participant is instructed to breathe quietly. The tape should be snug, but not so tight as to compress tissue. (See Figure 2.4). The full length mirror or recorder verify that the participant is standing erect and that the tape is kept horizontal. The measurement is recorded to the nearest centimeter, rounding down.

2.4.2.4 Hip Girth

Have the participant stand erect, yet relaxed, with weight distributed equally over both feet. The hip girth is measured at the level of the maximal protrusion of the gluteal muscles (hips). (See Figure 2.5). An anthropometric tape is kept horizontal at this level. The measurement is recorded to the centimeter, rounding down. The greatest source of error for this measurement is due to not having the tape horizontal and not verifying that the maximum width is being measured. The position of the tape is checked from both the front and the back.

2.4.2.5 Elbow Breadth

The participant is asked to raise the right arm to the horizontal, with the elbow flexed at 90 degrees. The dorsum (back) of the hand faces the measurer (Figure 2.6). The measurer stands in front of the participant and palpates the lateral and medial epicondyles of the humerus (see Figure 2.7). The sliding caliper is applied, pointing the blades upwards, to bisect the right angle formed at the elbow. The caliper is held at a slight angle to the epicondyles rather than parallel to them, because the medial epicondyle is distal to the lateral epicondyle. The measurement is recorded to the nearest millimeter, rounding down. Measurement is easiest if, unlike Figure 2.6, the technician holds the caliper near its tips, and simultaneously places his/her fingers bilaterally on the elbow. This allows the technician to continue to feel the bony landmarks while sliding the caliper jaws into place.
2.4.3 Training

An anthropometry supervisor from each field center is trained centrally (or locally by the study's central anthropometry expert) and is responsible for the local training of her/his local anthropometry technicians (observers) and recorders. Training includes an (1) introduction to the rationale for body size measurements, the expected limits of reproducibility, and usual errors; (2) a demonstration of proper and improper procedures; (3) practice on volunteers and (4) testing on volunteers with four different body types - lean, obese, athletic and aged.

2.4.4 Certification

Initial certification and recertification criteria are identical for anthropometry. Field center anthropometry supervisors are (re)certified annually by the study's central anthropometry expert. Local technicians must meet the same criteria. Each technician measures at least two certification volunteers, meeting the following criteria:

1. Each skinfold measurement must agree within ± 2 mm of the expert (locally the anthropometry supervisor's measurements constitute the gold standard) on two certification volunteers (an average difference with ± 1 mm on both volunteers).

2. The waist and hip circumference measurements must agree within ± 1.5 cm on each certification volunteer; average difference within ± 0.75 cm for both volunteers.

3. Weight must agree within ± 0.5 lb.

4. Elbow breadth must agree within 1 mm.

Recertification is required annually, meeting the following criteria:

1. Absence of end digit preference for more than 6 months during one year;

2. Absence of systematic differences in mean values;

3. Adequate performance on replicate measurements.

2.4.5 Quality Assurance

In addition to annual recertification, protocol adherence in the performance of each procedure is reviewed at least biannually by Coordinating Center field center monitors. Deviations from protocol and possible remedial actions are discussed with study coordinators and staff. Major deviations are brought to the attention of the EXM Committee.

Anthropometry equipment is calibrated frequently and results are recorded on an Anthropometry Equipment Calibration Log (Appendix 6.2) and sent to the Coordinating Center weekly. Scales are zero balanced daily and calibrated weekly. The Lange (10 mm) and sliding (50 mm) calipers are calibrated before...
measuring each participant. Measuring tapes are checked monthly and replaced as needed.

Digit preference, systematic differences in location statistics, completion of checklists/logs according to schedule are analyzed by the Coordinating Center and reviewed by the Quality Control Committee. Refer to Manual 12 for a detailed description of quality assessment procedures.

2.4.6 Data Collection

The Anthropometry Form is collected by direct data entry on a data entry screen or on a paper form if a computer is not available by either the technician (observer) or recorder.

2.5 Sitting Blood Pressure

2.5.1 Rationale

As one of the most powerful risk factors of cardiovascular disease, a measurement of sitting blood pressure is included in every clinic examination of the ARIC cohort. The procedures are identical to those used in Visit 1, as detailed in Manual 11 of the ARIC Protocol.

2.5.2 Procedures

Sitting blood pressure is a fixed component of the participant flow obtained before venipuncture. Procedures for obtaining sitting blood pressure are found in Chapter 1 of Manual 11. Guidelines have been established for referring participants with abnormal blood pressures for clinical care or follow-up in sections 2.19, Medical Data Review and 2.21, Referrals and Review Guidelines.

2.5.3 Training

Blood pressure technicians were trained centrally prior to participant recruitment before Visit 1. New technicians were and are trained locally by the study coordinator or designated local expert. Refer to Manual 11 for further details.

2.5.4 Certification

Certification is required; criteria are listed in Manual 11. Recertification is performed annually. Recertification criteria include:

1. Successful completion of double-stethoscope observation, semi-annually;

2. Semi-annual test with recertification tapes; 3. Absence of end digit preference for more than 6 months during one year; 4. Annual review by the central ARIC blood pressure trainer.

2.5.5 Quality Assurance

Detailed quality control procedures are provided in Manuals 11 and 12, and include periodic review by the Quality Control Committee of end digit preference, systematic differences between technicians in mean values, and
completion of performance on checklists/logs. Monitoring of certification status is conducted by the Coordinating Center.

2.5.6 Data Collection

The Sitting Blood Pressure Form is collected by direct data entry on screen unless the workstation computer is disabled. A paper version of the form is available as backup.

2.6 Venipuncture

2.6.1 Rationale

Venipuncture is a fixed component of the participant flow and performed after on all cohort members who have met fasting requirements (or who are medically unable or indicate an unwillingness to adhere to fasting).

2.6.2 Procedures

The venipuncture protocol is a separate document, Manual 7: Blood Collection and Processing.

2.6.3 Training

Phlebotomists were trained centrally prior to participant recruitment before Visit 1. New technicians are trained locally by the chief laboratory technician. Refer to Manual 7 for further details.

2.6.4 Certification

Certification is required and takes place semiannually at the field center. Criteria are listed in Manual 7.

Recertification is required annually and is performed by the chief ARIC technician at the Central Hemostasis Laboratory or by trainer/certifiers from two of the ARIC field centers. Criteria are described in Manuals 7 and 12.

2.6.5 Quality Assurance

Data quality monitoring includes periodic review by the Quality Control Committee of tube filling time; number of venipuncture attempts; condition of specimens on arrival at the central laboratories; and selected markers of lack of adherence to protocol during phlebotomy and/or processing of specimens at the field center laboratory.

2.6.6 Data Collection

Venipuncture data are collected on a hard copy of the Venipuncture Form (see Appendix 2.3.g. Notes reflecting blood drawing or processing problems are recorded on the accompanying Venipuncture Incident Log which is forwarded as hard copy to the central laboratories and Coordinating Center.
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2.7 Snack

A light snack is scheduled as soon as possible after venipuncture. Caffeine-free refreshments are provided; however, decaffeinated coffee and tea may be offered. Menus are locally determined.

2.8 Cognitive Function

2.8.1 Rationale

Measurements of cognitive function are introduced in the second cohort examination. Reportedly a decline in cognitive function may be related to cardiovascular risk factors, e.g., hypertension, elevated cholesterol, or cardiac arrhythmias. It has also been reported that cerebrovascular disease or multi-infarct dementia is the second leading cause of dementing illness among Caucasians in the United States, preceded only by dementia of the Alzheimers Type. Although the ARIC study population is too young at this time to evaluate frank dementia, it provides the opportunity to investigate changes in cognitive function over time. This in turn can be correlated with specific risk factors and progression of atherosclerosis. The main objective of cognitive function testing in Visit 2 is to establish a baseline for future comparison.

Three measures of cognitive function have been selected to establish a baseline and assess changes over time: the Delayed Word Recall, Digit-Symbol Substitution and Word Fluency tests. These tests cover a broad range of cognitive function, have been standardized, are challenging enough to elicit variability, are easy to administer and are easy to score. None of these tests have an upper limitation on performance, and can be expected to allow small changes in mental performance to be detected longitudinally.

The Delayed Word Recall is a test of short term memory. This test has the added feature of allowing participants to encode the words to be recalled (use each word in a sentence) to enhance retrieval. Ten words are given which in effect removes the ceiling or upper limit of performance.

The Digit Symbol Substitution Test requires response speed, sustained attention, and visual-spatial skills. It is part of the widely used Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale. This test requires that the participant fill in a series of symbols within 90 seconds.

The Word Fluency Test measures verbal function. This too requires speed and sustained attention, but measures mental agility in retrieving words. This test has been used widely, is standardized, and is easy to administer.

2.8.2 Administration

A trained ARIC interviewer administers all three cognitive function tests in a quiet room which is sheltered from distracting noises and has sufficient work space for the participant to place the Digit Symbol Substitution form on a table before him/her and fill in the blanks on the form. The purpose of the tests is briefly explained to each participant. The tests are administered following the step by step instructions printed on the Cognitive Function paper forms (see Appendix 2.4.b). Responses to Parts A and C are recorded on a paper
form by the interviewer. Part B is completed by the participant. Test results are tabulated by the interviewer after the participant has completed the tests and left the room. Test results are entered on the Cognitive Function data entry screen by the interviewer. Final scores for the Word Fluency Test, which are based on the total number of words given by the participant and other demographic data collected during Visit 1, are calculated through analysis requests once the data have been entered into the ARIC collaborative database.

2.8.3 Training

Interviewer supervisors are trained centrally prior to Visit 2, and are responsible for training and certification of the field center technicians. New technicians are trained locally by the supervisor or study coordinator.

2.8.4 Certification

Certification by the supervisor or study coordinator is required, and monitored by the Coordinating Center.

2.8.5 Quality Assurance

A non-systematic sample of Cognitive Function tests are reviewed by the supervisor. Technique and adherence to protocol are also monitored at least semi-annually by Coordinating Center Monitors; data quality is monitored by the Quality Control Committee on a semi-annual basis.

2.8.6 Data Collection

Cognitive function data are collected on a three part paper form for delayed data entry. Scores are tallied by the interviewer or a certified staff member and recorded at the end of each test after the participant has left the interview room.

2.9 Family History

2.9.1 Rationale

The first half of the Family History Form repeats questions from the Visit 1 Home Interview on the participant’s marital status and the vital status of natural parents. The second half introduces new questions on the vital status and cardio- and cerebrovascular medical history of the participant’s full siblings. The availability of a more detailed family history makes the study's database more comparable to the information currently being collected in other national and international cardiovascular disease epidemiologic studies.

2.9.2 Administration

The Family History Form is administered by certified interviewers within the flexible component of the participant flow. To assist the participant in remembering the names and ages of his/her natural siblings, a paper list is made of all siblings before specific questions on vital status and medical history are asked of each sibling. The interviewer then orders the eligible siblings by age (oldest first) so that the interviewer and the respondent can
use the list as a reference sheet from which to answer the questions about the five eldest natural siblings on the Family History Form.

2.9.3 Training

A supervisor or study coordinator from each field center is centrally trained before Visit 2 and is responsible for providing local staff training in interviewing techniques and the question by question instructions for the Family History Form.

2.9.4 Certification

Certification by the supervisor or study coordinator is required and monitored by the Coordinating Center. With participant approval, all interviews are taped. Satisfactory performance on ten taped interviews reviewed by the supervisor during the first month leads to certification. Recertification is not required.

2.9.5 Quality Assurance

With participant approval, all interviews are taped for quality control. A non-systematic sample of interviews is reviewed by the supervisor. Technique and adherence to protocol are also monitored at least semi-annually by Coordinating Center Monitors; data quality is monitored by the Quality Control Committee on a semi-annual basis.

2.9.6 Data Collection

Data from the Family History form are collected by direct data entry on a data entry screen unless the work station computer is inoperable. A paper version of the form is available for back-up and delayed data entry.

2.10 Health History

2.10.1 Rationale

The Health History Form updates information on occupation, history of serious illnesses, smoking habits, reproductive history, and alcohol consumption initially collected at Visit 1. New questions on handedness and the use of medical care have been added.

2.10.2 Administration

The Health History Form is administered by certified interviewers within the flexible component of the participant flow. Visit 1 participant responses to the lead-in questions for occupation, and reproductive history are provided on the Visit 1 Participant Information Sheet (PIN) to assist the interviewer in verifying/adjudicating the name and address of previous employment with current employment and determining whether the smoking and reproductive history questions should be administered or skipped (see Appendix 2.14). A drink conversion table for recording alcohol consumption has been added to the question by question instructions for administering the alcohol consumption component of the form.
2.10.3 Training

Study coordinators and interviewer supervisors are centrally trained before Visit 2 and are responsible for providing training to local staff in interviewing techniques and the question by question instructions for the Health History form.

2.10.4 Certification

Certification by the supervisor or study coordinator is required, and monitored by the Coordinating Center. Satisfactory performance on ten taped interviews reviewed by the supervisor during the first month leads to certification. Recertification is not required.

2.10.5 Quality Assurance

With participant approval, all interviews are taped for quality control. A non-systematic sample of interviews is reviewed by the supervisor. Technique and adherence to protocol are also monitored at least semi-annually by Coordinating Center Monitors; data quality is monitored by the Quality Control Committee on a semi-annual basis.

2.10.6 Data Collection

Data from the Health History form are collected by direct data entry unless the workstation computer is inoperable. A paper version of the form is available for back-up and delayed data entry.

2.11 Health and Life Profile

2.11.1 Rationale

Several psychosocial instruments on social support/networks, hostility/anger, and depression are introduced in Visit 2. To date, no psychosocial studies have been used in conjunction with ultrasound evaluation of atherosclerosis. The use of these noninvasive procedures in a prospective study of atherosclerosis and the heterogenous nature of the ARIC cohort could help to determine whether psychosocial measures are associated with asymptomatic, early disease versus the precipitation of clinical events. The Health and Life Profile forms (HPA, HPB and HPC) incorporate four well established, widely used, standardized psychosocial instruments into three self-administered forms.

The first form (HPA) of the Health and Life Profile forms combines the Interpersonal Support Evaluation List and the Lubben Social Network Scale (Broadhead WE et al., The Epidemiologic Evidence for a Relationship Between Social Support and Health. Am J Epidemiol 1983: 117:521-37 and Heitzmann CA et al., Assessment of Methods for Measuring Social Support. Health Psychol 1980; 7:75-109). There is considerable evidence that social support is related to all-cause mortality. However, the specific relationship of social support to cardiovascular disease is less well established. Furthermore, no study has examined the association of social support and carotid atherosclerosis. The social support/network sections ascertain how well subjects perceive their support. The perceived availability of social support may buffer the
individual against the negative effects of significant life stressors.
The second Health and Life Profile form (HPB), the Maastricht Questionnaire, ascertains a participant's outlook on life and symptoms of fatigue and depression. It has been chosen because for many years excess fatigue and depression have been anecdotally associated with the occurrence of cardiovascular disease. Epidemiologic evidence to support such an association have been lacking until a recent study by Appels and Mulder found a strong association between excess fatigue and coronary heart disease incidence (Appels A et al., Excess Fatigue as a Precursor of Myocardial Infarction. Eur Heart J 1988; 9:758-64). These investigators developed a new scale, the Maastricht Questionnaire, which has good psychometric properties and offers a new avenue of research for the ARIC study.

The third form (HPC), the Spielberger Trait-Anger Scale, is a brief, but widely used instrument with known psychometric properties that measures the most important components of Type A behavior, hostility and anger. It has been hypothesized that increased anger alters adrenergic blood hormones, thereby increasing risk of cardiovascular disease. Although several scales to measure anger have been developed and used, the administration of the Spielberger Trait-Anger Scale in the ARIC cohort offers a new opportunity to explore this hypothesis in relation to cardiovascular disease prospectively.

2.11.2 Administration

The Health and Life Profile forms are designed to be self administered, but can be interviewer-administered if necessary. (The HLP forms are routinely interviewer administered in the Jackson field center.) There are three parts to the form (HPA, HPB, and HPC) to facilitate completion during the clinic visit. Each part begins with a title, is followed by a body of questions which is completed by the participant and ends with an administrative section which is completed by the interviewer.

The scheduling of the administration of this form is flexible and is tailored to the needs of each field center. Possible times are at a specifically scheduled time on the participant's itinerary, or during waiting times between exams and interviews. Some participants may be able to complete all the questions by themselves in one sitting, some may require more time, and others may require interviewer assistance with part or all of the questions.

Prototypes of interviewer scripts to support self-administration are provided in the first section of the question by question instructions. Administration instructions are read to participants; the participants' ability/willingness to complete the forms is assessed, and the availability of the interviewer is made known in case of participant questions. When it is known/determined in advance that a participant is incapable of completing a self-administered version of the Health and Life Profile, all three forms are interviewer-administered. Interviewer assistance can be offered or initiated at any point in the administration of the Profile. The type of administration (self, interviewer-assisted, or both) is coded in the administrative section at the end of each form. Question by question instructions for interviewer administration are provided in the appendix.
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The completion status of all three forms of the Profile is assessed during the Data Inventory. Participants who have not completed all questions are encouraged to do so; interviewer assistance is offered if appropriate.

It is anticipated that occasionally participants will express manifestations compatible with depressive symptoms or extreme exhaustion in their responses to selected items. Guidelines are provided in the question by question instructions for this form (Appendix 2.8.c) to call these to the attention of the physicians assistant/nurse practitioner in order to address possible participant safety concerns during the Medical Data Review.

Upon completion by the participant, the forms are reviewed by the interviewer responsible for the form's administration and all three parts of the form are coded.

2.11.3 Training

Study coordinators and interviewer supervisors are centrally trained before Visit 2 and are responsible for providing local staff training in interviewing techniques and the question by question instructions for the Health and Life Profile form.

2.11.4 Certification

Certification by the supervisor or study coordinator is required, and monitored by the Coordinating Center.

2.11.5 Quality Assurance

Technique and adherence to protocol are also monitored at least semi-annually by Coordinating Center Monitors; data quality is monitored by the Quality Control Committee on a semi-annual basis.

2.11.6 Data Collection

The Health and Life Profile forms are intended to be self-administered, and are therefore, designed as paper forms. Data are keyed by the interviewer into the HLP data entry screens on the participant's diskette as soon as is feasible. The HLP form is one of the few forms for which the data entry screens are different from the paper version. The primary difference consists of a "don't know" response category in Parts A and C of the screen version to document that the participant either did not complete the question or the questionnaire. The exclusion of this category on the self-administered version of Parts A and C of the questionnaire is done in compliance with standardized administration instructions. The data entry screen includes this category to document non-response.

Scores for each part of the HLP form are calculated once the data are in the ARIC collaborative database.
2.12 Respiratory Symptoms

2.12.1 Rationale

The Respiratory Symptoms form is a condensed version of the questions on respiratory symptoms asked in the Respiratory Symptoms/Physical Activity form during Visit 1. Relevant questions for the analysis of the pulmonary function tests are updated by restricting the response period to the interim between Visit 1 and Visit 2.

2.12.2 Administration

The Respiratory Symptoms Form is administered by certified interviewers within the flexible component of the participant flow. Although the number of questions has been condensed and the reference period restricted to the past 3 years, the wording and structure of the questionnaire, as well as the detailed instructions to the interviewers, are taken directly from the Epidemiology Standardization Project. Interviewers are instructed to read each question as printed and accept unequivocal answers as provided by the respondent. The wording of the questions, and the instructions by the interviewer before starting the interview, lead to simple "yes" or "no" answers. Probing is limited to a repetition of the question when possible, and equivocal answers are recorded as "no".

2.12.3 Training

Study coordinators and interviewer supervisors are centrally trained before Visit 2 and are responsible for providing local staff training based on a common training manual, practice scripts, and role playing.

2.12.4 Certification

Certification by the supervisor or study coordinator is required, and monitored by the Coordinating Center. Satisfactory performance on ten taped interviews reviewed by the supervisor during the first month leads to certification. Recertification is not required.

2.12.5 Quality Assurance

With participant approval, all interviews are taped for quality control. A non-systematic sample of interviews is reviewed by the supervisor. Technique and adherence to protocol are also monitored at least semi-annually by Coordinating Center Monitors; data quality is monitored by the Quality Control Committee on a semi-annual basis.

2.12.6 Data Collection

Data from the Respiratory Symptoms form are collected by direct data entry on a data entry screen unless the computer is down or a workstation is inoperable. A paper version of the form is available for back-up and delayed data entry.
2.13 TIA/Stroke

2.13.1 Rationale

Stroke and transient ischemic attack (TIA) have been identified as important end points in the ARIC study. A baseline history of TIA/stroke was collected during Visit 1. New occurrence(s) of cerebrovascular disease is updated by repeating all questions in the TIA/Stroke form (TIA), but restricting the response period to the interim between Visit 1 and Visit 2.

2.13.2 Administration

The TIA/Stroke Form is administered by certified interviewers within the flexible component of the participant flow. Any positive symptom is recorded on the TIA Summary Review (TSR) form for assessment during the Medical Data Review at the end of the clinic visit, at which time the physicians assistant/nurse practitioner probes for non-cerebrovascular explanations for the event(s).

2.13.3 Training

Interviewer supervisors and study coordinators are centrally trained before Visit 2 and are responsible for providing local staff training based on a common interview training manual, question by question instructions for the TIA/Stroke and TIA Summary Forms, practice scripts, and role playing.

2.13.4 Certification

Local as well as central certification criteria have to be met for this form. Satisfactory performance on ten taped interviews reviewed by the supervisor during the first month leads to certification by the study coordinator/local supervisor. Interviewers also code three TIA/Stroke forms based on three sets of scripts distributed by the Coordinating Center. Certification is conferred after review by the study's neurologist and the Coordinating Center. Yearly recertification scripts are distributed, reviewed and scored by the Coordinating Center.

2.13.5 Quality Assurance

With participant approval, all interviews are taped for quality control. A non-systematic sample of interviews is reviewed by the supervisor. Technique and adherence to protocol are also monitored at least semi-annually by Coordinating Center Monitors; data quality is monitored by the Quality Control Committee on a semi-annual basis.

2.13.6 Data Collection

Data from the TIA/Stroke form are collected by direct data entry on a data entry screen unless the work station computer is inoperable. A paper version of the form is available for back-up and delayed data entry.
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2.14 Physical Exam

2.14.1 Rationale

A brief physical examination takes place during the clinic visit to ascertain major cardio-pulmonary conditions and sequelae of cerebrovascular accidents. The examination is administered by a physician assistant, a nurse practitioner, or a physician trained in the protocol of the ARIC Study. At this time the examiner also administers a brief questionnaire on history of exposure to diagnostic and therapeutic procedures since Visit 1, and documents the characteristics of any chest pain on effort reported by the participant during the previous year (ascertained during the last annual follow-up call).

2.14.2 Procedures

2.14.2.1 Walking/Standing

Use of cane/wheelchair. The use of ambulatory aids is ascertained at the time the participant enters the examination room.

Gait. If the person uses a cane for normal walking, the cane is part of the examination. The inability to walk is documented on a note log. The participant walks ten steps along a line in the center of a hallway at a rapid rate. A dystaxic gait is present if the individual passes one ankle more than six inches away from the other in walking. A hemiplegic or paretic gait is noted when the normal leg is on the ground and the abnormal leg swings in a circular motion to place the opposite foot on the floor. A limp is usually apparent. If an arm is affected, it usually does not swing and may be held flexed at the elbow.

Arm strength/Romberg. The participant stands with feet together, ankles and big toes of each foot touching. He or she is asked to fix gaze on a distant location with arms outstretched horizontally, palms up, and hands and fingers extended. If the individual cannot balance with the feet together, have the person stand so that balance is achieved. If the person cannot balance, this is recorded on a note log. When balance is achieved, the participant is asked to close eyes and balance for ten seconds. Weakness in one arm is noted by a downward drift in that arm of one foot or more, or pronation of the hand toward the vertical position. A positive Romberg sign is one in which the individual has to move a foot from the starting position to maintain balance. During this procedure the examiner stands close to the participant, to assist in case of loss of balance.

2.14.2.2 Confirmation of Reported Chest Pain

A positive participant response to the Rose Questionnaire which was administered during the Annual Follow-up contact which immediately preceded the Visit 2 examination is documented on the Visit 1 Participant Information Sheet (PIN). The occurrence of the participant reported pain is confirmed, its location documented, and its frequency ascertained by the physician assistant/nurse practitioner.
2.14.2.3 History of Diagnostic or Invasive Procedures on the Cardiovascular System

The participant is asked about diagnostic or invasive procedures on the cardiovascular system since the first cohort exam. Diagnostic procedures include echocardiogram, electrocardiogram, treadmill or cardiac stress test, carotid ultrasound studies or heart catheterization. Invasive procedures include surgery to the heart, or the arteries of the neck or legs (excluding varicose veins) and various arterial revascularization procedures.

2.14.2.4 Procedures Performed while the Participant is Sitting

Lungs, Rhonchi, and Rales. Men are asked to remove the scrub top entirely, women to lift it. The stethoscope diaphragm previously warmed in the palm of the hand is used. The participant is instructed to take deep breaths through the mouth. After the first five or six breaths and as needed thereafter, the participant is asked about symptoms of lightheadedness. Auscultation takes place over the posterior lung fields, beginning at the apices with at least one full breath in each location. The locations on each side are examined: apex, mid-lung field (approximately at the 6th intercostal space) and the base, which may need to be determined by percussion. Rhonchi are described as coarse breathing noises. Rales are fine moist noises.

Heart. The diaphragm of the stethoscope is placed consecutively at the apex, the left sternal border at the 5th intercostal space, the left sternal border at the 2nd intercostal space, and the right sternal border at the 2nd intercostal space. The examiner listens for at least five beats in each location. This is repeated at each of the four spaces with the bell of the stethoscope lightly applied to each area. The location of a systolic or diastolic murmur is reported in the area in which it appears loudest. More than one location of equal intensity is acceptable. A grade one murmur is barely audible. Grade two is just easily audible. Grades three and four are intermediate and increasing in intensity; grade four is palpable as a thrill. Grade five is louder, palpable, but still requires the stethoscope on the chest, lightly applied. Grade six can be heard with the stethoscope off the surface of the chest. Other findings include the radiation and the character of the murmur. Other cardiac findings include changes in breath sounds and evidence of surgery.

2.14.2.5 Procedures Performed while the Participant is Supine

Neck. While supine, the participant is asked to stop breathing momentarily. With the stethoscope bell, the examiner listens first above the clavicle for the common carotid artery and second, at the angle of the jaw for the carotid bifurcation. In each position, the stethoscope is placed for three cardiac cycles, alternating sides of the neck.

Cardiopulmonary. Auscultation to document systolic and diastolic murmur(s) is performed in the supine position as described above for the sitting position (Section 2.14.2.4).

Lower Extremities. To document ankle edema, the socks or other foot coverings are removed. Contro but firm pressure is applied along the mid-tibia, anteriorly down to the ankle in each leg. Pitting or indentation remaining
after pressure is removed constitutes definite edema. The examiner identifies the mid-point between the prominence of the medial malleolus and the inferior border of the patella. Pitting at or above that mid-point is recorded as "marked" edema. Pitting only below that point is recorded as "mild" edema.

Posterior Tibial Pulse. The examiner palpates inferior to the medial malleolus of each foot. The presence or absence of arterial pulsation is recorded. If in doubt, the examiner compares it with the radial pulsation.

Babinski. The lateral surface of the sole of the foot (plantar surface) is stroked with pressure beginning at the heel and going forward along the lateral surface, crossing the forefoot (ball of the foot) toward the big toe.

The absence of Babinski reflex is a plantar flexion of the great toe. If the leg is withdrawn (a tickle response), the lateral surface of the foot (not the sole) is stroked similarly beginning at the heel and going forward toward the little toe. The Babinski sign is present when the great toe extends on these maneuvers (dorsiflexion).

Other significant findings. Other significant findings are documented by recording in a note log.

2.14.3 Training

Training is required, consisting of (1) training as nurse-practitioner, nurse-clinician, physician assistant, or physician; and (2) command of the pertinent protocol sections and forms.

2.14.4 Certification

Certification by the (central) certifying physician is required. This takes place after a review (in person or over the phone) of the procedures detailed in the protocol with the central certifying physician.

2.14.5 Quality Assurance

Periodic observation by the field center medical director or physician takes place at field centers. Data quality is monitored by the Quality Control Committee by means of an annual examination of patterns in the data and of incorrect use of the Physical Exam form.

2.14.6 Data Collection

Data from the Physical Exam form are collected by direct data entry on a data entry screen unless the work station computer is inoperable. A paper version of the form is available for back-up and delayed data entry.

2.15 Electrocardiogram

2.15.1 Rationale

A resting 12-lead ECG is performed on each participant in Visit 2 using procedures and equipment identical to those employed in Visit 1. A 2-minute
rhythm strip was obtained on all participants of the baseline cohort examination, but is not included in Visit 2. Processing and coding at the Minnesota and Halifax central electrocardiographic reading centers follows the same procedures used in the baseline visit. Full details are provided in Manual 5 of the ARIC Protocol. The main purpose of the electrocardiographic measurements is to provide information on (1) interim myocardial infarction; (2) changes in conduction pattern, ventricular hypertrophy and ischemia; (3) and other indicators of cardiac function. Hospital ECGs are also read and abstracted for all cohort participants hospitalized after their baseline visit, to determine if a cardiac end point event has occurred.

2.15.2 Procedures

Standard (12-lead) ECG operational procedures are provided in Manual 5, Electrocardiography.

2.15.3 Training

Central training of senior field center technicians was initially performed in Visit 1. Training for new ECG technicians is provided by the senior certified ECG technician at each field center, consisting of (1) electrode placement, (2) skin preparation, (3) MAC PC menus and data entry, and (4) self-evaluation techniques for technical performance.

2.15.4 Certification

Certification is required for ECG technicians performing 12-lead ECGs. Requirements and procedures are listed in Manual 5. The Minnesota ECG Reading Center serves as the certifier. Recertification is performed annually.

2.15.5 Quality Assurance

To maintain certification each technician is required to perform a minimum of 3 ECGs per week over a two-month period; quality grades for each 12-Lead ECC are reported by the Halifax ECG Computer Center to each technician on an ongoing basis; a monitoring/re-training visit by the local ECG trainer takes place annually; an ECG quality control checklists is administered quarterly (see Appendix Q of Manual 5).

Quality assurance of the ECG coding at each of the two central ECG reading facilities includes internal, and external quality control programs. These are detailed in manuals 5 (Electrocardiography) and 12 (Quality Control) of the ARIC Protocol.

2.15.6 Data Collection

The standard electrocardiograph for the recording of 12-lead ECGs is the MAC PC Personal Cardiograph by Marquette Electronics, Inc. Data collection procedures are fully documented in Manual 5. Tracings are transmitted daily to the ECG Computer Center at Halifax, Nova Scotia via modem. Paper tracings are stored in the participant's folder.
2.16 Pulmonary Function

2.16.1 Rationale

Pulmonary function studies were implemented in Visit 1 and continued in Visit 2 to study the associations between impaired ventilation (spirometry) and mortality. The previously observed excess mortality associated with impaired respiratory function is due to a variety of causes, especially cardiovascular disease and cancer. Although the reasons for the association of impaired ventilation with cardiovascular mortality are not known at present, the repeatability of this association and the demonstration of a dose-response suggest that the relationship is real and important.

A simple, rapid measurement of respiratory muscle strength (maximal inspiratory pressure) has been added to Visit 2. The predictive value of FEV₁ impairment for subsequent cardiovascular mortality is becoming well recognized. In addition to intrinsic pulmonary limitations to airflow, recent publications have suggested that respiratory muscle strength is an important determinant in impaired ventilation. The importance of respiratory muscle strength as an independent component of (oxygen delivery and) cardiovascular mortality are as yet unknown. The complementary studies of fitness, respiratory muscle strength and cardiovascular events in the ARIC study's middle-aged cohort provide an opportunity to study these interactions.

2.16.2 Procedures

Standard spirometry (FEV₁) and maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP) procedures are documented in Manual 4, Pulmonary Function Assessment.

Participants with an average sitting blood pressure greater than or equal to 200/120 mg/Hg cannot perform any of the pulmonary function tests (PFT) until their blood pressure falls below that level. Following the PFT procedures in Manual 4, the PFT technician reviews each participant's itinerary form to ascertain the sitting blood pressure before beginning testing. Participants with sitting blood pressure outside the acceptable range are told that they currently do not meet testing criteria, but that they can be rescheduled for the PFT at a later date. Likewise, participants with a history of myocardial infarction or chest/abdominal surgery within the last 6 weeks are excluded from performing pulmonary function testing until a later date. In either situation, after the participants have been escorted to the next workstation, the PFT technician consults with the physicians assistant or nurse practitioner to confirm the appropriate date for rescheduling.

2.16.3 Training

Central training and certification of all pulmonary function assessment technicians are required. Each certified pulmonary function technician completes an intensive two-day training course in spirometric testing which meets the criteria for National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). This includes:
1. Basic physiology of the forced inspiratory maneuver and determinants of airflow limitation with emphasis on the relation to reproducibility of results.

2. Instrumentation requirements including calibration procedures, sources of error, and their correction.

3. Participant preparation and indications for postponing testing.

4. Performance of testing including participant coaching (during inspiration), recognition of improperly performed maneuvers, and corrective actions.

5. Data quality (i.e., what constitutes a valid spirogram) with emphasis on reproducibility.


In addition, each technician receives training in the ARIC Pulmonary Function Testing Protocol (Manual 4), using ARIC pulmonary function calibration and test equipment, computer hardware and software.

2.16.4 Certification

Technicians are certified after successfully completing the training course and a practical examination at the Pulmonary Function Reading Center. To maintain certification technicians must be responsible for one full day of testing per week or equivalent (one complete calibration plus tests on five participants.) Central recertification procedures are carried out at annual site visits to provide assurance that knowledge and competence in ARIC spirometry procedures remain at an acceptable level.

2.16.5 Quality Assurance

Quality of data acquisition is supported by daily calibration of the instrument, annual volume standardization of the four centers by the Pulmonary Function Reading Center (PFRC), review at the of quality measurements, and measurement by hand of a 10 percent sample of spirometry tracings for comparison of field center computer-generated results. A weekly report of the quality control check is returned to each field center. Quality control measures for the PFRC include the periodic use of a test library of previously coded records submitted for computer coding, and hand measuring of original tracings from the standard library.

2.16.6 Data Collection

Measurements are made following procedural guidelines of the American Thoracic Society, with a volume-displacement spirometer supported by a computer through an analog-to-digital interface. The calibration and analytic programs have been installed on the computer to assist the operator in calibration, testing, and assessment of data quality. Data are transmitted to the Pulmonary Function Center each week on diskette.
2.17 Ultrasound

12.17.1 Rationale

Three types of measurements are obtained in the ultrasound work station of the ARIC field centers: B-Mode ultrasound images of the extracranial carotid arteries, distensibility of the common carotid artery, and heart rate and brachial blood pressure in the supine position and on standing up. The main emphasis of the ARIC B-Mode scanning and Image reading methods is placed on the measurement of arterial wall thickness, as detailed in manual 6-A (Ultrasound Scanning) and 6-B (Ultrasound Reading) of the ARIC Protocol. Thickening of the arterial wall attributable to atherosclerotic arterial disease precedes significant stenosis and clinical manifestations. Its prevalence in the ARIC study population and change over time represent the dependent variables for major study questions in ARIC, as described elsewhere (The ARIC Investigators. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study: design and objectives. Am J Epidemiol 1989;129:687-702). Ultrasonographic indices of atherosclerosis will also be examined to test their ability to predict incident cardiovascular events in the ARIC cohort.

Arterial distensibility is measured as the ratio of the change in lumen diameter to the change in blood pressure during a cardiac cycle. Procedural details are provided in Manual 6-D (Arterial Distensibility) of the ARIC Protocol. Measurement of arterial stiffness is included because of reports of reduced arterial distensibility in the offspring of survivors of myocardial infarction, and of an inverse association between distensibility of the abdominal aorta and the degree of coronary artery stenosis.

The measurement of postural changes in heart rate and blood pressure was included in Visit 1 to examine the potential significance of blood pressure and heart rate in standardized body positions and postural changes, as measures of vascular reactivity and autonomic cardiovascular control as risk factors of cardiovascular disease. The operational details of these measurements are provided in Manual 6-A (Ultrasound Scanning) and Manual 11 (Sitting Blood Pressure and Postural Changes in Blood Pressure and Heart Rate) of the ARIC Protocol.

During Visit 1 the examination protocol included a determination of the ankle blood pressure and a B-Mode scan of one popliteal artery. These measurements are considered part of the baseline information on cohort participants and are not repeated in Visit 2. All other measurements performed during Visit 1 at the ultrasound work station are repeated in Visit 2, using the same procedures and equipment.

12.17.2 Procedures

As mentioned above, procedural and operational detail is provided in manuals 6-A (Ultrasound Scanning), 6-B (Ultrasound Reading), 6-D (Arterial Distensibility) and 11 (Sitting Blood Pressure and Postural Changes in Blood Pressure and Heart Rate).
12.17.3 Training

Central training for ARIC sonographers is provided by the Ultrasound Reading Center, and detailed in Manual 6-A.

12.17.4 Certification

Pre-certification of ARIC sonographers by the Ultrasound Reading Center (URC) requires the completion of the central training course, and review by URC experts of videotaped studies on ten volunteers. Sonographers are certified after review of videotapes at the URC confirms a satisfactory performance on at least 10 complete studies on ARIC participants, done by the pre-certified sonographer under the supervision of the field center chief sonographer. To maintain certification a sonographer is required to scan a minimum of five ARIC participants per week (computed as a two-month average). Recertification is annual, based on satisfactory performance on quality control monitoring and annual review of videotaped studies at the URC.

12.17.5 Quality Assurance

Quality assurance of the ultrasound scan is supported by annual retraining of chief sonographers, visits by URC experts to field centers, a preventive maintenance program of the ultrasound equipment, monitoring by the URC of equipment performance, repeat scanning of a randomly selected arterial segment for each participant, and monitoring of data at the URC and the Coordinating Center. The ultrasound system is monitored by scanning of tissue-equivalent phantoms on a schedule determined by the performance characteristics of the systems. The arterial distensibility equipment is monitored by the URC readers.

At the URC a monthly review takes place to monitor the quality of arterial wall boundary images contributed by each sonographer. At the Coordinating Center periodic reports are prepared for the Quality Control Committee, to monitor the rate of success in the acquisition of data, comparability between repeated scans, by sonographer, by field center, and over time. Equivalent reports are prepared by the Coordinating Center to monitor ultrasound reader performance.

Sonographer performance on acquisition of arterial distensibility data is monitored by the URC and the Quality Control Committee. Adherence to protocol in obtaining data on postural changes in heart rate and blood pressure is monitored by means of reports prepared at the Coordinating Center for the Quality Control Committee. Quality assurance procedures to support the reading process at the URC are detailed in Manual 12.

12.17.6 Data Collection

A microcomputer and a specialized flow panel assist the sonographer during the standardized examination sequence and data collection. The B-Mode examination is recorded on 3/4-inch videotape and read at the URC; a back-up 1/2-inch tape remains at the field center. Data on arterial diameters, blood pressures, beat-to-beat heart rate, and their timing are sent to the URC on diskette.
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2.18 Data Inventory

2.18.1 Rationale

The data inventory step initiates the second fixed component of the field center examination, and is done after all interviews and examination procedures have been completed in preparation for the Medical Data Review. Participant data are collected by various means during the course of Visit 2 and require summarization and placement in the participant's folder for physicians assistant/nurse practitioner review.

2.18.2 Procedures

An interviewer assigned to each participant reviews the participant's itinerary sheet, self-administered forms, and folder for completeness. The participant is encouraged to complete any missed portions of the examination; attention is also given at this time to any repeat examinations indicated by the quality control protocol.

After completeness of examination and quality control procedures has been confirmed the participant is invited to change back into street clothes while the data are being prepared for the medical data review with the physician assistant/nurse clinician. Medical data review and pulmonary function (and the interviews if it facilitates participant flow) may be conducted in street clothes.

A program within the ARIC data entry system is run on the participant's diskette which generates a printout of selected items pertinent for the Medical Data Review. A participant's review may be properly conducted with the following components. Their sources and locations include:

1. Blood Pressure
   a. front of chart on Itinerary Form
   b. clinic visit report
   c. inside folder on draft summary

2. Pulmonary Function Test Results
   a. original computer printout from pulmonary function inside chart
   b. clinic visit report
   c. draft summary

3. Electrocardiogram
   a. original copy inside chart
   b. ECG interpretation on both clinic visit report and draft summary

4. Physical Exam Findings
   a. Itinerary Form

5. TIA/Stroke Summary Form
   a. inside chart

6. Interview Note Logs
   a. inside chart

7. Positive notification of Health and Life Profile
   Part B trigger questions
   a. direct notification received from interviewer
2.18.3 Training

At each field center the Data Coordinator and/or the Study Coordinator is responsible for training the personnel charged with data inventory, and the assembly of study materials for the Medical Data Review.

2.18.4 Certification

Certification for data inventory is the responsibility of the trainer.

2.18.5 Quality Assurance

Quality assurance consists of observation by the supervisor and retraining or corrective action, as required.

2.18.6 Data Collection

Please refer to the Manual of Operations for Data Coordinators.

2.19 Medical Data Review

2.19.1 Rationale

Although the ARIC study explains to all cohort participants that the interviews and clinical exams which they undergo are not to be construed as a substitute for regular medical care, one of the benefits to participants is the summary of results distributed by the field center at the conclusion of, and also several weeks following the clinical exam. At the end of the field center visit, participant interview and examination data are reviewed by the physician assistant/nurse clinician to provide the participant with a preliminary summary of study results: weight, blood pressure and preliminary ECG and lung function test reports. (Please refer to the results reporting sheet reviewed with the participant during the administration of the Update form, Appendix 8.1.a).

The paramount objective of the medical data review from the perspective of the investigators is participant safety. Clinical interview data are reviewed with the participants to confirm selected positive symptoms reported during the interviews/exams, to determine if these appear to warrant immediate or additional medical follow-up. When all laboratory data reported by the central laboratories have been received, all data are again reviewed in order to produce summary reports for the participant and their physician. As part of this review ARIC clinical personnel again may recommend follow-up if symptoms/conditions appear to warrant further medical attention.
The participant's Visit 2 data undergo three levels of review at the field center. The first is designated the Medical Data Review (see below, section 2.19), which is conducted by the physician assistant/nurse clinician after all interviews and physical exams have been completed and all data have been assembled as part of the Data Inventory step (section 2.18). The second and third levels of medical data review are described in sections 2.22 (Medical Review) and 2.23 (Results Reporting), respectively.

2.19.2 Procedures

The physician assistant/nurse clinician (practitioner) conducts the medical data review to (1) summarize the results of selected measurements obtained during the exams/interviews and answer participant questions, (2) determine whether a reported stroke/TIA symptom(s) constitutes a possible cerebrovascular event(s), and (3) identify potential medical problems. Prior to meeting with the participant, the Annual Follow-up Form (to document reported positive Rose Angina symptoms), the interview note logs, ECG, pulmonary function tests, blood pressure, physical exam findings, TIA/Stroke form, weight, demographics, major medical problems, positive notification of Health and Life Profile trigger questions and when available, the Medical Data Review printout (Appendix 2.11) are examined.

Access to Visit 1 data by field center staff during Visit 2 is limited to two purposes: (1) to prepare the Visit 2 folder, and (2) to conduct the medical data review. Visit 1 data should not be accessed for other purposes during the course of the Visit 2 exam because of the possibility that it may bias Visit 2 measurements. (For example, knowing a participant's Visit 1 blood pressure might influence a blood pressure technician's measurements during Visit 2.)

The data coordinator, or staff member designated by the study coordinator to prepare participant folders, should be the only person accessing Visit 1 information prior to the follow-up visit. During folder preparation, the chart is to be reviewed for any untoward incidents and special participant needs that may have occurred during the initial visit. In addition, this same staff member should identify factors that could affect participant and staff safety (infectious disease, syncopal episodes, etc.) This is the only Visit 1 information to be brought to the attention of the entire staff. It is to be noted on the Visit 2 Participant Itinerary Sheet or the PIN Sheet. The person performing the medical data review (NP, NC, PA) will access all Visit 1 findings relevant to the med review immediately prior to discussing the first participant's report (Appendix 7.1.b)

If during the course of the Visit 2 examination the participant asks about changes in his values since Visit 1, staff members should defer the questions to the med review. Specifically, the staff could say, "I do not have access to the results from your previous exam, but if you hold your questions until the completion of your visit, Ms/Mr. ______ will answer them." In the med review, the NP, NC or PA should try to address all questions that may arise. Care must be taken not to over-emphasize changes between visits, because some differences may be random variability or measurement error. Real changes may be pointed out, but recommendations about health, as in Visit 1, are to be avoided.
Below are guidelines for Visit 2 recommendations.

1. Changes in anthropometrics should be focused on weight gained or lost. Changes in skinfolds are less reliable because of possible measurement errors. Changes in the order of 3 to 5 millimeters in skinfolds may simply be the result of measurement differences and not real differences. Stress that these are epidemiological findings. Because of the general clinical irrelevances of anthropometrics and the inaccessibility of the data during med review, discussion of these results with participants is discouraged. If necessary, the participant's physician may obtain the results from the ARIC field center.

2. Changes in blood pressure are less important than the actual reading obtained during Visit 2. The reading should be discussed at the Medical Data Review according to the categories listed in the Clinic Visit Report which is given to the participant. Criteria for referrals are based both on the Visit 2 blood pressure readings and the results from Visit 1, and are summarized in Table 2.4.

3. Changes in lung function less than 15% should be considered clinically insignificant and minimized when presented to the participant. Consideration must also be given to associated symptoms. The following are guidelines:

   a. At Visit 2, if there is a \( \geq 15\% \) decrease in pulmonary function, some referral is necessary, even though the results are still within clinically normal ranges, e.g., \( \text{FEV}_1 \geq 65\% \), \( \text{FVC} \geq 65\% \), and \( \text{FEV}_1/\text{FVC} \geq 60\% \). It should be left to the participant's physician to evaluate the significance of the decrease.

   b. If a participant's Visit 1 results bordered above the reference range and on Visit 2 they drop only minimally, falling just below the reference range, the results are referable as long as \( \text{FEV}_1 < 65\% \), \( \text{FVC} < 65\% \), or \( \text{FEV}_1/\text{FVC} < 60\% \).

   c. A participant referred on Visit 1 because of PFT results below the reference range (\( \text{FEV}_1 < 65\% \), \( \text{FVC} < 65\% \), \( \text{FEV}_1/\text{FVC} < 60\% \)) should not be referred again on Visit 2 if results remain reduced unless the decrease is greater than 15%.
Table 2.4 Medical Care Referral Guidelines for Blood Pressure. Findings by Level of Blood Pressure at Visit 2 and Results from Visit 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Classification</th>
<th>Examination Findings</th>
<th>Recommendation to Participant</th>
<th>Explanation to Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Referral</td>
<td>*SBP ≥ 260 mm Hg</td>
<td>See M.D.</td>
<td>BP very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*DBP ≥ 130 mm Hg</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
<td>BP very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Referral</td>
<td>*SBP 240-259 mm Hg</td>
<td>See M.D.</td>
<td>BP very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*DBP 115-129 mm Hg</td>
<td>Today</td>
<td>BP very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent Referral</td>
<td>*SBP 200-239 mm Hg</td>
<td>See M.D. within</td>
<td>BP high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*DBP 105-114 mm Hg</td>
<td>a week</td>
<td>BP high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Routine Referral

- **No Elevated BP at Visit 1**
  - SBP 150-199 mm Hg
  - DBP 95-104 mm Hg
    (see footnote at end of table)
  - See M.D. within month or at first convenient appointment

- **Elevated BP at Visit 1**
  - SBP 160-199 mm Hg
  - DBP 95-105 mm Hg
    - See M.D. within month or at first convenient appointment
    - BP elevated

No Referral

- **No Elevated BP at Visit 1**
  - SBP 140-149 or DBP 90-94
    (verbal recommendation)
    - Have BP rechecked within 2 months
    - The conventional "normal" BP is SBP less than 140 and DBP less than 90
    - Above normal BP but no referral letter to MD

### Table 2.4 Medical Care Referral Guidelines for Blood Pressure, continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Classification</th>
<th>Examination Findings</th>
<th>Recommendation to Participant</th>
<th>Explanation to Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Referral</strong></td>
<td><strong>Elevated BP at Visit 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBP 140-159 or</td>
<td>(verbal recommendation)</td>
<td>The conventional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DBP 90-94</td>
<td>Have BP rechecked</td>
<td>&quot;normal&quot; BP is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>within 2 months</td>
<td>SBP less than 140 and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DBP less than 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above normal BP but no referral letter to MD

**Note:** Conventional DBP ranges (cut points) have been maintained regardless of blood pressure findings at Visit 1. According to the above referral criteria, no referrals are made for SBP less than 150 mm Hg.

4. Action on ECG findings, of course, depends on the severity of the findings and whether there are accompanying symptoms. The previously unrecognized appearances of a major abnormality, especially when accompanied by symptoms, warrants discussion and possible referral. In contrast, a previously referred ECG that demonstrates no change in Visit 2 in an asymptomatic participant does not warrant repeat referral.

5. It is unlikely that participants will ask about changes in other factors. However, these should also be considered in the context of measurement variability before labelling them real changes.

During the Medical Data Review, selected affirmative answers to the standardized questions in the interviews and exams are confirmed through additional, non-standardized, clinically-oriented questions. The TIA/Stroke Summary (TSR) form is completed when participants have reported positive symptoms on the TIA/Stroke form to document (1) the presence of noncerebrovascular causes for an event(s), (2) the impression of a TIA or stroke, and (3) the most recent date of a putative event. Should this event(s) be attributable to cerebrovascular symptoms within the last six months, the field center medical director is consulted for recommendations on referral for medical care. Referral guidelines and alert values are listed in Section 2.21.

The person conducting the Medical Data Review may be notified by one of the interviewers that a participant has shown signs of severe emotional distress as a reaction to the Health and Life questionnaire, or his/her responses to the Health and Life Profile questionnaire are indicative of emotional distress. Under these circumstances, the reviewer addresses the topics covered by the items in the questionnaire with the participant. If it is felt that the participant's emotional status warrants urgent/immediate attention, the clinical director is consulted and appropriate action taken before the participant leaves the field center. If the medical data reviewer perceives the need for counseling, but not on an urgent basis, a counseling appointment...
is recommended using the established ARIC referral procedures.

Factual information (the First Participant Report, Appendix 8.1.b) is given to the participant about his/her results during the Medical Data Review, identifying any abnormalities and recommending referral as needed, but avoiding medical advice about prognosis, prevention or therapy. Physician back-up is available at all times.

2.19.3 Training

Physician assistants/nurse practitioners are trained by the medical director and/or field center principal investigator. The medical director of one of the field centers serves as the central trainer.

2.19.4 Certification

The central trainer is responsible for certification of the physician assistants, and nurse practitioners/clinicians responsible for medical data review. This certification is obtained after review of procedures with the central trainer; it is acceptable to do this over the telephone.

2.19.5 Quality Assurance

It is the responsibility of the medical director of each field center to ensure that the medical data review, referrals and reporting of results are done according to the procedures in the ARIC protocol.

2.19.6 Data Collection

The study data generated during the medical data review includes confirmation of positive symptoms identified on the TIA/Stroke Form, and occasionally critically important notes. The cerebrovascular symptoms are recorded on the TIA/Stroke Summary form for delayed data entry; notes can be stored in the data base as note logs. All other information is stored as hard copy in the participant's folder, inclusive of a log to record any referrals to (or communication with) the participant's provider of medical care, and not stored in the study data base.

2.20 Exit Procedures

After completing all exams and interviews and changing back into street clothing, participants are reminded that an ARIC interviewer will contact them for annual follow-up within a year, and informed that there is a possibility of another clinical examination in three years. Participants are asked whether medications have been returned and all personal belongings have been retrieved from the locker, whether the appointment date and time for any make-up procedures is accurate and if transportation arrangements (if applicable) have been made. Participants are thanked for their time and effort and escorted to the door.

2.21 Referrals and Review Guidelines

Participants are referred based on medical consensus, using the guidelines for referral listed below. For participant safety, the nurse clinician/physicians
Referrals made during the Medical Data Review follow the criteria listed below.

1. **Emergency Referral.** Transportation to the nearest emergency care facility is provided or an emergency squad is called.

2. **Immediate Referral.** The participant is urged to see his/her physician within one day.

   The physician assistant/nurse clinician consults with the ARIC physician, and the participant's physician is called. The participant is provided with an "immediate referral" letter (Referral Letter 1, Appendix 7.2) to take to the physician.

3. **Urgent Referral.** The participant is asked to see his/her physician within one week.

   The physician assistant/nurse clinician confirms the decision with the ARIC physician, and gives the participant an "urgent referral" letter (Referral Letter 2, Appendix 7.2) to take to his/her physician's office. The ARIC physician calls the participant's provider of care, and sends a follow-up copy of Referral Letter 2.

4. **Routine Referral.** The participant is asked to see his/her physician within one month, or at the first convenient appointment.

   The physician assistant/nurse clinician advises a visit to the participant's physician. A "routine referral" letter (Referral Letter 3, Appendix 7.2) is sent to the participant's physician.

5. **No Referral.** The study results are summarized for the participant and held for a routine results letter.

Procedure/symptom specific guidelines are summarized in Table 2.4 (blood pressure) and Table 2.5 (all others). Certain interview items or measurements (identified with an asterisk) require confirmation. The reviewer determines the acuteness of the findings, and whether or not the condition is being monitored by the participant’s physician. If the participant is aware of and being followed medically for a condition, judgement is exercised about whether to refer.
2.22 Medical Reviews

2.22.1 General Policies

The second level of medical data review is a review of the participant's data within one week of the visit, by the field center medical staff, and when appropriate, the field center Ultrasound Director. This procedure includes the information initially reviewed by the physicians assistant/nurse clinician at the Medical Data Review; hematology laboratory results received from local laboratories; clinical chemistry, hemostasis or lipid alert values reported by telephone/electronic mail from one or more of the central laboratories; and ultrasound scans if the field center sonographer has reported finding a lumen diameter meeting the criteria of an alert value.

This general medical review provides (1) a medical staff interpretation of the study results, (2) records the impression of the ARIC physician on the presence of a noncerebrovascular cause(s) for participants reporting positive TIA or stroke symptoms, and (3) provides an overview of referrals and reports from the field center.

2.22.2 Procedures

The medical reviews are an ongoing activity at the field center. Once a week the medical staff reviews the data of participants seen in the preceding week. After reviewing the participant's medical data review printout and ECG, the physician records the interpretation on the Medical Data Review printout and reviews the preliminary interpretation by the physician assistant/nurse clinician. The medical staff also reviews the local hematology results for alert values, and assumes responsibility for any referrals. Any referrals made during Medical Data Review are reviewed. Local field center ultrasound directors provide clinical back up to the field center sonographers. Procedures for reporting possible alert values to the Ultrasound Director at the field centers are initiated when the minimum residual lumen in the carotid artery is ≤ 2mm. Identification of these possible alert values is carried out by the sonographers performing the scans at the field centers or by the readers at the Ultrasound Reading Center. When a field center sonographer suspects one or more sites on the carotid artery meet the possible alert value criterion, the back-up copy of the participant's tape is sent to the field center's Ultrasound Director for reading, in addition to the regular data transfer procedures to the Ultrasound Reading Center.
## Table 2.5 Medical Care Referral Guidelines Excluding Blood Pressure (BP).
(Use Table 2.4 for BP Referral Guidelines.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Classification</th>
<th>Examination Findings</th>
<th>Recommendation to Participant</th>
<th>Explanation to Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Referral</td>
<td></td>
<td>See M.D.</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Referral</td>
<td>*Unstable angina</td>
<td>See M.D. today</td>
<td>Your chest pains may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Neurologic symptoms in past week</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your symptoms may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Other severe symptoms or findings</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your symptoms may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent</td>
<td>*Angina, stable but untreated/not being followed</td>
<td>See M.D. within</td>
<td>Your chest pains may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Neurologic symptoms, untreated, one week to six months ago</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your symptoms may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Acute congestive heart failure</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your symptoms may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFTs: FEV1 &lt; 45% or FVC &lt; 45% or FEV1/FVC &lt; 45%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your lung function is diminished to ___% of predicted and warrants attention; M.D. will get a copy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Other acute, but less severe symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your symptoms may be important</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.5 Medical Care Referral Guidelines, continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Classification</th>
<th>Examination Findings</th>
<th>Recommendation to Participate</th>
<th>Explanation to Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Routine</td>
<td>*Old MI (Rose Questionnaire), previously unrecognized</td>
<td>See M.D. within month or at first convenient appointment</td>
<td>Your chest pain may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Neurologic problem (stroke, TIA exam findings) &gt;6 months ago, unrecognized</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your symptoms may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Claudication, previously unrecognized</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your leg pain may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFTs: FEV1 &lt; 65% or FVC &lt; 65% or FEV1/FVC &lt; 60% and not aware</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your lung function is diminished to ___% of predicted and warrants attention; M.D. will get a copy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NB: if participant's Visit 1 PFT results bordered above reference range and @ Visit 2 drop minimally, falling in the above reference range, refer as above, even if the percent decline is less than 15%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Other symptoms or findings needing evaluation/not being followed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your symptoms may be important</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Classification</th>
<th>Examination Findings</th>
<th>Recommendation to Participant</th>
<th>Explanation to Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Referral</td>
<td>PFTs: FEV1 65-79% or FVC 65-79% and FEV1/FVC &gt; 60%</td>
<td>Your lung function is diminished to ___% of predicted. This does not warrant referral, but M.D. will get a copy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFTs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal, M.D. will get a copy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEV1 &gt; 80% and FVC &gt; 80% and FEV1/FVC &gt; 60% of predicted.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Confirm only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Angina, stable on treatment/being followed.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Confirm only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*MI, previously documented</td>
<td></td>
<td>Confirm only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Height, weight</td>
<td></td>
<td>Report only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.5 Medical Care Referral Guidelines, continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Classification</th>
<th>Examination Findings</th>
<th>Recommendation to Participant</th>
<th>Explanation to Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECG Findings</td>
<td>*Acute pattern</td>
<td>Would like to review with M.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requiring Review by M.D.</td>
<td>abnormalities (MI,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before Participant leaves</td>
<td>ischemia...)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Field Center</td>
<td>*2nd or 3rd degree block,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ventricular tachycardia,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R on T, atrial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fib/flutter with ventricular rate &lt; 60 or &gt; 110,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sinus bradycardia &lt; 50,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sinus tachycardia &gt; 110,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PR interval &gt; 0.26 sec.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ECG Findings</td>
<td>*Any other ECG finding,</td>
<td>I am reviewing this ECG only for major abnormalities and see none. Dr. ___ and I will review this ECG in detail within ___ days. A copy will be sent to your physician with the other results.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Normal ECG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Distress</td>
<td>Acute emotional distress, severe depressive manifestations</td>
<td>Would like to review with M.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Interview items or measurements require confirmation.
2.23 Results Reporting

2.23.1 Rationale

This activity concludes a more extended process over the course of 4 to 12 weeks. After all data results are received and processed by the Coordinating Center, they are summarized and returned to the field centers for final disposition by field center medical staff. Final summaries of study results are compiled, according to the criteria in section 2.23.4, and mailed to participants and physicians.

As alert values (see Section 2.21) are returned from the central laboratories and reading centers, the medical staff reviews them and assumes responsibility for referrals. Routine results may bypass physician review until the final report is generated. The ARIC physician or clinic director reviews all letters and reports sent to participants and their physicians.

Reporting of Visit 2 values are made in the context of Visit 1 results. Specifically, all alert values, such as those in Table 2.6, are reported. However, if an abnormal electrocardiogram or pulmonary function study is noted to be similar or identical to one that was referred from Visit 1, then, at the discretion of the medical director, no referral need be made. However, a copy of the electrocardiogram and the summary of the pulmonary function test are included in the summary of results sent to the participant and his/her provider of care.

With participant approval, all results of routine medical tests (normal and abnormal) are reported to the participant's physician. Routine medical tests are differentiated from those with strictly research value as being of empirical value for diagnosis and/or treatment. Whenever the therapeutic implications of results are not known, a statement to that effect is included in the report to the physician. Copies of all reports and letters concerning examination results sent to participants and physicians are kept at each field center.

All reports to participants or physicians are factual. If verification or follow-up is needed, the participant is advised to discuss the results with the physician. ARIC study personnel provide no specific medical advice or interpretation. This type of medical practice is the prerogative and responsibility of the participant's physician. Consistent with this policy, clear instructions are given to all ARIC staff to avoid interpreting study results. If additional tests and procedures are performed by participant's physicians as a result of ARIC reporting, this is considered an acceptable and necessary consequence.

2.23.2 Overview of Results Reporting

Figure 2.8 (Summary of Review of Results, Reporting, and Referral) provides an overview of this process and illustrates the interface between the review of medical data, the referral process, and the notification of study results. The figure also indicates that certain results are reported on a routine basis, whereas potentially abnormal study results are quickly reported to participants and their physicians.
Figure 2.8 Summary of Review of Results, Reporting, and Referral

ARIC PROTOCOL 2. Cohort Component Procedures - Visit 2. VERSION 3.0 8/90
The reports to the participant and/or the physician provide a minimum, standard set of study results. Reports to participants include a statement indicating either that all study results are within ranges considered normal, or that a study results requires confirmation or further investigation. Normal ranges and brief explanatory statements are provided. Physicians receive a letter of explanation (see Appendix 7) and a copy of the participant's results report, and are thus aware of any results flagged as being outside of the ARIC reference range, and of the wording and explanations provided to their patients.

1. At reception, the participant is given the document Schedule of ARIC Results Reporting (Appendix 7.1.a), describing the tests to be reported to the participant and the physician.

2. At Medical Data Review, a Participant Medical Data Review Printout is generated summarizing findings for the Medical Data Review. Items flagged for review are automatically retrieved from the database and printed on this form. The physician assistant, nurse, or physician conducts the Medical Data Review with the participant, as described in section 2.19. A preprinted First Participant Report (Appendix 7.1.b) is given to the participant to summarize exam results.

3. At the Medical Data Review, a referral may be necessary. Three levels of referral are designated: Immediate (Letter 1), Urgent (Letter 2), Routine (Letter 3), and the corresponding referral letters are sent to the participant's physician (Appendix 7.2). In some cases, a phone call may be indicated.

4. Once a week, a medical review occurs during which the ARIC physician reviews the participant's data and interprets ECG tracings, as described in section 2.22.1. If an abnormality is detected at this time, a report or referral letter, such as the ones described above, is sent.

5. Subsequent to the exam, results will return from various labs and reading centers as described below. If there are "alert values", the participant is notified using an Alert Value Referral Letter (Appendix 7.2 Letters 4 & 5) and his/her physician is notified using either the Urgent, or Routine Letter, or a phone call if indicated. If there are no "alert values", the results are entered in the database for final Results Letters.

6. A record is kept of all alert values and referrals on the Alert/Referral Log (Appendix 7.5) and a copy of all referral letters is filed in each participant's folder.

7. When all results are available, the Summary Report to the Participant and Physician and accompanying cover letters are generated. The types of cover letters are summarized in Table 2.6.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>Type of Results</th>
<th>Type of Cover Letter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Normal results</td>
<td>M.D. Letter 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal results, no earlier referral made</td>
<td>M.D. Letter 2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal results, previous referral made</td>
<td>M.D. Letter 2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>Normal results</td>
<td>Participant Letter 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal results, no earlier referral made</td>
<td>Participant Letter 2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal results, previous referral made</td>
<td>Participant Letter 2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal results, no M.D. designated</td>
<td>Participant Letter 3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal results, no M.D. designated</td>
<td>Participant Letter 3b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. The field center director or a field center physician reviews all results and takes responsibility for letters before they are mailed. If the participant is currently participating in another medical research project, possible unblinding by reporting ARIC results is considered.

2.23.3 Report of Ultrasound B-Mode Scan Measurements

The ARIC ultrasound examination is oriented toward the detection of early changes in the arterial wall and does not provide clinical documentation of the extent of isolated lesions which might be of medical importance. Portions of the internal carotid artery, which may have disease, are not visualized at all. Some of the early arterial changes documented for ARIC (changes in arterial distensibility, for example, or non-lumen encroaching wall thickness) are not, at present, of known medical value and are of research interest only. Such results are not routinely reported to the participant and his/her physician. In the process of obtaining consent, the participant is informed of this fact. No consensus exists as to the most effective treatment of atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid arteries, and surgery has no proven benefit at present. Neither the ARIC ultrasound examination protocol, nor the training of the ARIC sonographers, provide an adequate capability to detect clinically significant arterial lesions in the study participants. If in the course of the highly standardized ultrasound scanning procedures lesions are found that occupy the carotid artery lumen, the ARIC study is not able to adequately characterize such lesions.
False positives cannot be ruled out, and a significant risk would be incurred if "abnormal findings" were reported to participants and their physicians under such circumstances.

For the above reasons, participants and their physicians are notified only if:

1. Participants report recent (six months) symptoms indicative of TIA or stroke, verified during the medical data review, or

2. The Ultrasound Director at a field center confirms a residual lumen of 2 mm or less in a carotid artery segment measured according to the ultrasound reading protocol.

The medical and ultrasound experts of the ARIC Study agree that these criteria are consistent with local medical practice for each of the ARIC study communities. It is an explicit requirement of the participant safety criteria of the ARIC Study that this section of the protocol be reviewed periodically, and modified as needed according to advances in the state of the science and evolving medical practice.

2.23.4 Routine Notification of Study Results

Results of routine medical examinations, normal or abnormal, are reported to the participant and his/her physician, unless the participant has not identified a personal physician or has specifically asked to receive all study results. (Refer to Appendix 8.3 for prototype letters.) This is explained to the participant during the visit to the ARIC field center, and the participant is provided a schedule for results reporting (see Appendix 8.1.a).

2.23.4.1 Results Routinely Reported to the Participant

Results reported to the participant during the clinic visit include weight, blood pressure, lung function test (preliminary report), and ECG (preliminary report).

Within two months after Visit 2, the following are reported to the participant by mail: weight, blood pressure, electrocardiogram (summary report only), lung function tests (summary report only), Ultrasound Examination (summary report only or indication that a report will be made if the findings are abnormal), and blood tests: total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, total HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, hematocrit, hemoglobin, white blood cell count, platelet count, magnesium, sodium, potassium, creatinine, uric acid, and glucose.

2.23.4.2 Results Routinely Reported to the Physician

Participants' physicians receive a copy of the reports sent to their patients, as indicated in Section 2.23.4.1. In addition, physicians are notified of any important symptoms reported by the participant and they are provided with the participant's electrocardiogram, and lung function test (copy and/or interpretation).
2.23.5 Results Reported Only by Request

All other study measurements, i.e., those not routinely reported to the participants and/or their physicians, are considered to be of research value only. If a participant requests them, these values are provided on an ad hoc basis.

On the rare occasion that a field center receives a request for a participant's study results from a third party medical care payor, a results report can be released according to the following steps.

1. A signed statement of release must accompany the request from the participant and is kept in the participant's folder.

2. The report contains only the information that was released to the participant's physician (or the participant), i.e., an exact copy of the cover letter, the results report and the ECG tracing.

3. This information is sent with a cover letter from the field center's medical director stating that the ARIC study does not provide diagnostic services or treatment.

4. The information is sent directly to the third party payor with an exact copy to the study participant, indicating the date on which the information was sent.

2.23.6 Study Results Requiring Special Notification

The ARIC protocol identifies certain potentially abnormal findings that require expedited notification to the participant or his/her physician. These include flagged responses to the medical history questionnaire and findings during the physical examination. These items, and the corresponding referral and notification criteria, are described in section 2.21. Similarly, "alert value" levels have been defined for the functional tests and laboratory measurements.

Laboratory and ultrasound results are not available at the time of the clinic visit. Local hematology results are reviewed at the Field Center for alert values within several days of the clinic examination. Notification in response to an alert value in hematology results occurs after review of the participant's record. Central laboratories and the Ultrasound Reading Center notify field centers directly of any "alert values". Notification of alert values to field centers is by telephone or electronic mail; confirmation and acknowledgment is required. The laboratory alert values are listed in Table 2.8.

2.23.6.1 Ultrasound Scan Alert Values

A minimal residual carotid artery lumen of 2 mm or less is reported to the ultrasound director by the field center sonographer, and by the Ultrasound Reading Center if detected during the routine reading of the study. Records of this notification are kept at the Reading Center and the field center. The field center's Ultrasound Director reviews all studies identified in this manner, suspected to contain an alert value.
2.23.6.2 Criteria for Reporting Alert Values to Participants and their Physicians

At the field centers, alert values require special mention to participants and their physicians. The degree of urgency of notification or referral depends upon the type of finding and level.

1. Immediate/Urgent Referrals - These are based on neurologic symptoms, major ECG abnormalities, or physical examination findings. Alert values received from an ARIC central agency are reviewed by the ARIC physician and/or Ultrasound Director in the context of other data in the participant's record. In this process, extreme laboratory results and readings performed at the ARIC central agencies can lead to urgent notifications to participants and their physicians.

2. Routine Referrals - All confirmed alert values require at least a routine referral. Such alert values include those reported by the ARIC laboratories as well as the URC reports of minimal residual lumen of 2 mm or less in any segment of the carotid system, once confirmed by the field center Ultrasound Director. All communication between the central laboratories, the field centers, the participants, and their referring physicians is documented in writing, and a copy is kept in the participant's file.

2.24 Participant Safety

The safety and welfare of the ARIC examinee is assured by (1) specific measures taken in the design or conduct of the examination for his/her protection, (2) the mechanisms established for handling potential emergencies, (3) routine notification of examinees and their physicians regarding the results of the examination and (4) the procedures ARIC staff use to review all potentially medically important results and make the appropriate referrals.

An important factor in the participant's welfare involves his/her expectations regarding the examination. If he/she believes the ARIC examination is a substitute for a clinical examination, he/she could delay seeking medical care that is needed. Provision of adequate information is a requisite to the ARIC informed consent procedures (described in section 2.3.1).
Table 2.8 Laboratory Alert, and Normal Reference Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Alert Valuea</th>
<th>Reference Range ARIC Laboratoryb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>&lt; 200 Desirable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200-240 Mildly elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 240 Markedly elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>&lt; 165 Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Male &gt; 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female &gt; 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>Male &lt; 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female &lt; 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>&lt;30, &gt;60</td>
<td>Male 41 - 513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female 37 - 473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>&lt;8, &gt;20</td>
<td>Male 13 - 173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female 12 - 163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cell count (x10^3/mm³)</td>
<td>&lt;2, &gt;20</td>
<td>4.8 - 10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count (x10^3/mm³)</td>
<td>&lt;40, &gt;800</td>
<td>140 - 4403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mEq/L)</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>1.3 - 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>&lt;130, &gt;155</td>
<td>136 - 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>&lt;3.0, &gt;6.0</td>
<td>3.5 - 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>Male 0.5 - 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female 0.5 - 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (mg/dL)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Male 3.5 - 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female 2.6 - 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>&lt;60, &gt;200</td>
<td>70 - 130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Laboratory notifies field center; field center MD takes referral or notification action.

b Reference ranges are provided on ARIC reports to participant and their physician.

c Center-specific reference ranges
2.24.1 Measures to Protect the Participant

Examination procedures which convey potential risk to participants include the fasting requirement, venipuncture, pulmonary function test, ultrasound scan and measurement of postural changes in blood pressure. Methods by which participant risk is minimized (more fully described elsewhere in ARIC Manuals) include the following.

The possibility of hypoglycemia with a 12-hour fast is diminished by routine inquiry about diabetes during the scheduling of Visit 2. Other medical conditions or dietary restrictions which may be incompatible with the snack provided in the clinic are also ascertained.

Hematomas or prolonged bleeding may result from venipuncture. These are usually avoided if well-trained technicians follow the procedures for blood drawing and take the precautions described in ARIC Manual 7. Prior to venipuncture, the participant is asked the question "Do you have any bleeding disorders?" If the participant answers affirmatively or is uncertain, he/she is asked about whether he/she has had blood drawn previously and if so, whether there were any problems such as swelling or continuing to bleed at the venipuncture site. If the answer to this question is "yes", or if the participant has never had a previous blood test, the clinic supervisor is summoned and will approve the venipuncture only if so advised by a physician. Occasionally, with any participant, bleeding persists after venipuncture. Procedures described in Manual 7 are followed. If bleeding persists, the clinic supervisor is alerted, and if the measures taken have not stopped all bleeding within 30 minutes, and there is no obvious explanation for the prolonged bleeding, a medical referral is made. Also, the participant is instructed to seek medical care promptly if bleeding recurs after leaving the ARIC clinic. Participants may experience syncope during the venipuncture. Methods for handling minor and major emergencies are described in section 2.24.2.

The exertion and hyperventilation sometimes associated with the pulmonary function test can also produce a syncopal attack. Routine precautions are described in ARIC Manual 4. Procedures followed in the event of syncopal attack are described in this Manual, section 2.24.2.

The ARIC ultrasound exam involves no more ultrasound exposure than is usually the case when examining superficial arteries clinically. See ARIC Manual 6 for details.

The American Institute for Ultrasound in Medicine has issued the following statement concerning the safety of ultrasound.

Safety Statement for Training and Research

Diagnostic ultrasound has been in use for over 25 years. No confirmed adverse biological effects on patients resulting from this usage have ever been reported. Although no hazard has been identified that would preclude the prudent and conservative use of diagnostic ultrasound in education and research, experience from normal diagnostic practice may or may not be relevant to extended exposure times and altered exposure conditions. It is therefore considered appropriate to make the following recommendations:
In those special situations in which examinations are to be carried out for purposes other than direct medical benefit to the individual being examined, the subject should be informed of the anticipated exposure conditions, and of how these compare with conditions for normal diagnostic practice.

Following the 45 minute ultrasound examination, the participant is asked to stand so that postural changes in blood pressure and pulse rate can be measured. These procedures are described in ARIC Manual 11. The precautions against adverse effects of orthostatism are summarized here.

Before beginning, the procedures for measuring postural changes are explained to the participant. The participant is asked whether or not he or she ever feels faint on standing. If the question is answered in the affirmative, permission to make the measurement (postural change) is still sought. Should the patient decline, the procedure is not performed. In the absence of a reason not to continue, however, the participant is asked if he or she is taking medications that produce postural effects. When the postural changes are measured, the sonographer is positioned closely behind the patient as a protective measure should he or she become faint. A sturdy chair is close at hand so that the participant may sit down promptly should s/he feel the need. Furthermore, examinees are advised to notify staff immediately if not feeling well and to ask for the chair. Clinic staff are instructed to watch the participant constantly for signs of distress. In the event that the participant faints, the procedures described in section Manual 11 are followed.

2.24.2 Methods for Handling Emergencies

While all life threatening emergencies (eg. acute MI) require immediate evaluation of the participant at an acute care facility, some emergency measures may be required in the clinic before departure (eg. cardiac arrest). In addition, there are minor emergencies (hypotension, fainting, etc.) which may require treatment in the clinic only. Although most emergencies are of the less severe nature, ARIC Field Center clinics are prepared for both types.

2.24.2.1 Major Emergencies

In a serious event the primary concern of the clinic staff is to implement pre-established procedures to get the participant to the nearest medical facility. All ARIC clinics are located within a few city blocks of a large general acute-care hospital. At every clinic session a physician, physician assistant or registered nurse with certification in basic life support is on duty and physically present. Needed life support procedures are continued until emergency care arrives or the participant is transported to a hospital. Each ARIC clinic, depending on its location and staffing patterns, has specific emergency procedures, which define:

1. Who is in charge during the emergency.

2. Who is to administer treatments.
3. Who is to be notified.

4. What action clinic staff is to take.

5. Which reports are to be filed.

Each clinic has, in addition to trained personnel and emergency equipment, posted in a conspicuous place (e.g., the reception area): phone number of police and fire stations; ambulance services; and specific phone numbers or codes to alert medical teams, if applicable.

In each participant's folder, the name and phone number of his/her physician or usual source of health care is available on a standard ARIC form. The home and work telephone numbers of the next of kin are also listed. Each field center clinic is required to have on site at all times during which participants are interviewed and examined either a physician, a physician assistant or a registered nurse.

All emergency situations are coordinated by a physician if present in the clinic. In the physical absence of the latter, this role is assumed by the charge nurse or senior physician assistant (to be designated by the clinic Principal Investigator). Each center has a designated physician on duty for each clinic session. If not physically present in clinic, he or she is within immediate reach by phone or paging system and within a short distance to the clinic. The physician duty roster is posted with the clinic secretaries and in the office of the head nurse and/or senior physician assistant so that the name of the responsible physician is readily accessible. However, in no case is emergency referral and/or care deferred while staff is attempting to locate a clinic doctor.

All personnel are trained to carry out their specific responsibility during an emergency. Retraining is conducted at least yearly.

All emergencies, whether serious or minor, are documented. This requires filling out a form identifying the type of emergency. This is done by the person in charge at the time, and all reports are co-signed by a clinic physician. These reports are filed at each clinic.

2.24.2.2 Minor Emergencies

The most common minor emergency is simple syncope (fainting) and near syncope. These events may occur during the postural blood pressure measurements, venipuncture, or the pulmonary function test. Management of simple syncope or near syncope is the same whether associated with measuring postural blood pressure changes, drawing blood or performing the pulmonary function test.

Many syncopal episodes can be prevented if clinic staff are alert to early signs. In any situation in which syncope is likely, e.g., before the venipuncture, staff verify that the participant does not look or feel faint. If the participant looks faint or feels faint in the venipuncture area:
1. Have the person remain in the chair and sit with head between the knees.

2. Crush an ampule of smelling salts and wave it under the participant's nose for a few seconds;

3. Provide the participant with a basin and a towel if he/she feels nauseous;

4. Have the participant stay in the chair until he/she feels better and the color returns.

If the participant continues to feel sick, recline the chair, place a cold wet towel on the back of the person's neck, and notify the supervisor. If a participant faints, he/she is cautiously lowered to the supine position on the floor and one attendant immediately calls for an in-house physician assistant or nurse to assist the patient. The remaining attendant raises the patient's legs above the plane of the body to increase venous return. Prior to this, the staff member momentarily palpates for a carotid pulse and checks to be sure the subject is breathing. If life support measures are needed, the procedures outlined in section 2.24.2.1 are followed.

2.24.3 Emergency Equipment

A basic first aid kit is maintained at each Field Center. The kit contains a reference guide of its contents, and is checked every six months and immediately after each use. At each Field Center the Study Coordinator identifies a person responsible for this task.

2.24.4 Notification of Study Results

Before informed consent to be examined is obtained, the ARIC participant is told about each component of the examination. It is emphasized that the ARIC examination is not a substitute for clinical examination. The participant is told, however, that one of the benefits of participation is possible early detection of warning signs of certain diseases.

As described in section 2.23, the ARIC notification mechanism is designed to provide a clear statement to the participant to seek medical care, when confirmation or further investigation of study results indicates this course of action. An additional criterion built into the notification mechanism is to avoid anxiety in the study participants when presented with medical information, and any unnecessary consultation to practitioners.

All letters of notification conform to common procedures stipulated in the ARIC protocol. Appendix 7 of this Manual includes prototype letters of notification. The wording of these letters can be modified by the principal investigators of the ARIC Field Centers, to conform to the referral practices of each ARIC study community.

Section 2.23 of this Manual identifies the minimum set of significant findings and the alert values of laboratory results to be reported to participants and/or their physicians. It also specifies the schedule followed by the ARIC
central agencies and field centers in notifying study participants, according to an expedited and a routine notification procedure. Described in section 2.22 in this Manual is the medical data review mechanism that generates a referral, and the report to the participant and his/her the physician.