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4. Rationale:

Kidney disease prevalence and incidence rates show an inverse social gradient that is typical of many chronic conditions.
This social gradient is most striking in the comparison of white and African-American rates: African-Americans have over four times the incidence rate as whites (USRDS, 2002; Matins et al., 2002). The gradient by race-ethnicity has also been observed for serum creatinine, a marker of subclinical renal insufficiency, using NHANES data (Coresh et al., 2001). Racial differences in incidence appear to be primarily the result of socioeconomic status differentials, as evidenced by attenuation of relative kidney disease risk when individual education and household income (Krop et al., 1999) and contextual median income (Klag et al, 1997) are held constant. Although the focus of this paper will not be on race per se, it is recognized as an important form of social stratification with health consequences. Much of the social epidemiology of renal disease has focused on this topic.

Multiple factors mediate the relationship between socio-economic status (SES) and disease (Link and Phelan, 1995), and kidney disease is no exception. Hypertension and diabetes are the underlying cause in over 70% of incident cases of kidney disease. Other identified factors for kidney damage include smoking (Hogan, 2001), access to health care (Perneger et al, 1995), HIV infection (Szczech, 2001), alcohol and illicit drug use (Crowe et al., 2000), heavy metal exposure to cadmium, lead, and mercury (ATSDR, 1999a-c; Wedeen, 1997), high-protein diet (Dwyer et al., 1994), and plasma lipids (Muntner, 2000).

Most work relating individual-level socioeconomic position to overall and cause-specific mortality has used cross-sectional or prospective cohort designs, relating current SES to current or prospective health conditions. Under such a model, SES is considered a static category; education level is the favored measure, due in part to its stability after early adulthood (Lynch and Kaplan, 2000).

The life course model has been employed for several decades in sociology, psychology, and human development (Featherman, 1985), and is now being incorporated into research on health outcomes. The life course model of SES has been proposed as a more robust alternative to static definitions of SES (Kuh et al., 1997; Davey Smith et al., 1998).

Although individuals have a life-course SES trajectory, this trajectory occurs within a dynamic context. The socio-economic characteristics of a neighborhood may change due to out-migration of wealthy residents (Wilson, 1987), to generalized changes in social and economic structure (Blau and Duncan, 1967), or to some combination of factors such as availability of jobs and racial segregation (Massey and Gross, 1994; Quillian, 1999). These changes are differential by SES and race, and they impact health (Krieger, 2000). However, life course exposure to different neighborhood conditions has not been examined in relation to renal disease.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

The primary hypothesis is: low individual SES across the life course is associated with risk of kidney disease.

Three theories of individual life course SES will be used:
- A "cumulative" model, which views low SES as an insult which accumulates over the life course; a score of cumulative disadvantage is the exposure of interest
- A "trajectory" model, which regards social mobility as the salient SES characteristic
- A "categorical" model, which treats each stage of the life course (childhood, young adulthood, middle age, and retirement) as an independent exposure. The latency model (Barker, 1992) is one example of a categorical model.

The second hypothesis is that contextual SES at birth and across the life course is both an independent risk factor and effect modifier of the relationship between individual-level SES measures and kidney disease. For example, individuals born to low-SES parents who spent childhood in a disadvantaged neighborhood are hypothesized to be at increased risk of kidney disease; on the other hand, growing up in a wealthy neighborhood may mitigate some of the effect associated with childhood disadvantage.

A secondary analysis will assess which biological and social attributes mediate the relationship between SES and kidney disease. Mediators will be investigated by adjusting for the mediators in adulthood. For example, if the childhood SES-kidney disease association is attenuated upon adjustment for hypertension, this will be evidence in favor of hypertension as a mediator of this relationship.

6. Data (variables, time window, source, inclusions/exclusions):

**Outcome variable**

Decreased kidney function is the outcome variable. Kidney function will be assessed in three ways:

1. according to National Kidney Foundation (2002) K/DOQI guidelines for stage 3 or higher kidney disease (GFR < 60)
2. an increase in serum creatinine of 0.4 mg/dL at visits 2 or 4 (Krop et al., 1999; Muntner, 2000)
3. diagnosis of a kidney disease (such as ESRD)

GFR will be estimated using the modified MDRD formula (Levey et al., 1999). These three outcomes will be used in combination to define "kidney disease." Annual change in GFR will be assessed by subtracting the latest visit GFR from the baseline GFR in the follow-up visits, and dividing by the number of years in between.

**Main exposure variables**

- Context during childhood, at ages 30, 40, and 50 years will be defined using the Life-course SES Ancillary Study of ARIC. Context at time of inclusion into ARIC will be defined by place of residence at that time.
- Contextual SES will be assessed during childhood, at ages 30, 40, and 50 years, and at the time of induction into ARIC. Contextual SES will be defined using census data, at the level of county for 1930 and 1940 observations, and at the level of census tract for later censuses. As the available data changes across censuses, a robust measure of contextual SES will be chosen.
- Individual SES will be assessed in several manners:
  1. by parental education (for childhood)
  2. by highest education level (at time of ARIC)
(3) by level of control at work, for parent (childhood SES) and self, at 30, 40, and 50 years old, and at time of inclusion in ARIC
(4) by parental (childhood) and self's occupational status at ages 30, 40, and 50, and at the time of inclusion in ARIC.

- Contextual trajectories will be defined by moving up or down in relative contextual SES rank, from childhood, and at each point along the life course at which data was collected (ages 30, 40, 50, and at time of ARIC). A measure that allows comparability across censuses for each point in the life course will be used.
- Individual trajectories will be defined categorically as:
  1. Having higher, lower, or same level of education as one's parents
  2. Having a greater, lesser, or same degree of control at work as in previous points in the life course
  3. Having higher, lower, or same occupation ranking

Covariates

- Due to the difficulty of separating social and other aspects of race (Kaufman et al., 1997), race will be addressed through stratification. Age and gender will be treated as covariates.
- A secondary analysis will investigate the role of mediating factors such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and access to health care.

Statistical methods

A mixed model will be employed that simultaneously adjusts for individual and contextual SES across the life-course, taking into account the SES of a neighborhood at the time the ARIC participant lived there. Clustering will be by census tract. As just one outcome measure (low GFR at any visit, or change in GFR over all ARIC visits) will be used, there is no clustering of observations within individuals.
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