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3. Timeline:

   We plan to submit an abstract to the AHA Annual Conference. It is anticipated that a draft of a
   manuscript would be completed by the end of Fall 2003.

4. Background and Rationale:

   Background

   The validity of educational achievement as ascertained from death certificates has been
   assessed in previous studies (Shai et al., 1989; Rosamond et al, 1997). There are few studies that
   assess concordance between occupations recorded on death certificates with occupations
   reported on other sources. In a cohort of electrical utility workers Andrews et al. (2000) found
   substantial differences in occupations and industries reported on death certificates compared to
   those reported on industry records. A similar study was conducted at the University of Utah
involving white males (Turner et al., 1987). The agreement between lifelong occupational
history obtained by interview prior to death with death certificate data for male bladder cancer
patients’ job title was 54%, while the agreement for industry was 72%. Similar results were
obtained previously for male colon cancer patients. The authors conclude that occupations and
industries listed on death certificates reflect at least part of the work history of the majority of
individuals. Variables affecting agreement were duration of the job and education. Another study
(McLaughlin et al., 1991) showed the highest levels of concordance (over 80%) between
occupational data reported on the death certificate and interviews for agricultural, medical, and
public administration activities. The overall agreement (56% for usual occupation and 51% for
usual industry of employment) led authors to question the value of death certificate derived
occupational assessment. Agreement was relatively high for agricultural, forestry, fishing, public
administration and transportation and public utilities.

**Rationale**

The validity of occupation as recorded on death certificates has been questioned as it is
recorded by next of kin or another informant, whose information is at times inaccurate.
Nevertheless it may be the only available source of data on occupational exposure in studies of
decedents when occupational data are not available from other sources.

Death certificates are currently standardized and record information on ‘usual occupation’
during the decedent’s lifetime. This is in contrast to many epidemiologic studies where data are
collected based on current occupation at the time of the interview or study inception. The ARIC
study has the advantage of having collected current occupational data at each of the ARIC visits.
Additionally, during the 4th ARIC examination, information on most representative occupation
between the ages of 25 and 44 years was also collected. Thus, self-reported occupation is
available for multiple time periods.
In occupational studies, precise ascertainment of job title and industry is often crucial in estimating exposure to various occupational hazards. However, in studies assessing influences of socioeconomic status on health, occupational and educational exposures are often categorized. Rosamond et al. found that in the ARIC study concordance between death certificate-derived education and self-reported education was higher when data were grouped than when exact values were used. Similarly, an assessment of the correspondence between death certificate-derived occupational information with self-reported occupation would be of interest and have potential applications to analytic work on ARIC data.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

1. What is the concordance between occupations recorded on death certificates to those reported by these participants during the 1st ARIC examination?
   - Does level of agreement vary by sociodemographic characteristics?
   - Is agreement higher for occupational categories than for specific job titles?

2. For the subset of ARIC Forsyth decedents who provided occupational data at repeat examinations in ARIC, what is the correspondence between occupation / employment status reported at each visit/period (V1-V4, and for ages 25-44 as ascertained at V4) with each other?
   - Does the correspondence between death certificate derived occupation and self-reported occupation varies for different life epochs (early adulthood vs. middle-age)?

6. Data (variables, time window, source, inclusions/exclusions):

   Death certificate-derived occupational data will be obtained from 450 death certificates of Forsyth County ARIC decedents obtained as part of ARIC ancillary study 031 (“Using Historical Records to Reconstruct the Early Life SES Exposures of Decedents.”) This information will be abstracted and coded to correspond to the 1980 Bureau of Census job titles
and occupational categories used in the ARIC study. Coding will be performed by two trained coders, independently. Discrepancies will be identified and resolved with input from a certified coder. The following Visit 1 ARIC data will then be obtained for these decedents: age, race, sex, and educational attainment, and self-reported occupation (job title and occupational grouping). Occupational data (code for job title and occupational category) from the two sources will be compared using percentage agreement and kappa-coefficient, with confidence intervals (Fleiss, 1981). We will also evaluate variations in agreement by age group, gender, race, and education.

For the subset of 112 Forsyth decedents who were alive, and participated in the V4 examination, we will compare Visit 1, self-reported occupation, coded into census categories, with occupational categories reported at the time of V2-V4, and the self-reported longest occupation held (between ages 25 and 45). We will also examine variations in death certificate-derived occupation with self-reported occupation across the ARIC visits. The kappa statistical technique that was described above will be used.
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