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3. Timeline: Begin immediately

4. Rationale: Insulin resistance is a key risk factor for the development of type 2 diabetes. Conventional wisdom is that insulin resistance stems from defects in insulin binding or intracellular signaling, but common defects in these processes are yet to be identified, despite significant research effort over the past decade (1). A less well investigated hypothesis is that abnormal capillary blood flow might limit insulin and glucose delivery to target organs (2).

   Several lines of emerging evidence support this hypothesis. Thirty percent of insulin’s action on glucose metabolism is due to its effect on muscle perfusion through arteriolar vasodilatation (2). Endothelial dysfunction limits insulin’s effect on vessel diameter and predicts incident diabetes.

   In addition to vessel diameter, flow depends upon blood pressure and blood viscosity (Poiseuille’s Law). Gress et al. found that blood pressure was a strong predictor of incident diabetes in ARIC (3). However, no studies have examined the relationship between blood viscosity and diabetes. Several small but provocative studies have found that whole blood viscosity was strongly correlated with insulin resistance (4)(5).
Whole blood viscosity studies carried out in vitro have been well documented in the literature (6)(7)(8). It can be calculated using several previously validated formulas. The Merrill formula takes into account hematocrit and fibrinogen = 13.5 $\times$ $10^{-6}$ * (fibrinogen concentration in g%)$^2$ * (hematocrit – 6)$^3$ (9) and the deSimone formula takes into account hematocrit and plasma proteins at different shear stress rates = 0.12 * hematocit + 0.17(total plasma protein-2.07) (8). Viscosity increases linearly with venous hematocrit up to approximately .42 to .65, then the curve becomes exponential. One small study found that hematocrit was proportional to insulin resistance (10). Two large longitudinal studies of men found that baseline hematocrit or hemoglobin predicted incident type 2 diabetes (11)(12). In the most recent study, Wannamethee followed 7,735 middle-aged men for 12.8 years and found that the relative risk of incident type diabetes was 4.5 comparing the highest quartile to the lowest (95% confidence interval, 2.5-6.3) (12). While important, this study was limited by a sub optimal definition of diabetes, lack of information on important biological characteristics, and the exclusion of women and people of African descent.

Smoking and hypoxemia are potential risk factors for type 2 diabetes. Both are positively correlated with hematocrit and may therefore, in theory, influence diabetes risk through their influence on blood viscosity. In addition, viscosity may explain a portion of the protective effect of exercise. Endurance training leads to a fall in hematocrit and blood viscosity (13). As hematocrit and viscosity fall, oxygen delivery improves due to reduced resistance to blood flow. Insulin and glucose delivery may improve as well. Finally, viscosity is positively correlated with obesity and may explain a portion of the elevated risk for type 2 diabetes among people who are obese. Endurance training, independent of weight loss, markedly reduces the risk of type 2 diabetes among people who are obese (14).

Other contributing factors to the blood viscosity include white blood cells, immunoglobulins, and other large molecules. Since many of these are also markers of inflammation, they do not serve the purpose of providing an independent test of the viscosity hypothesis. However, under our hypothesis, elevated blood viscosity might be one possible mediator of the diabetogenic effects of inflammatory molecules.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**
A. In cross-sectional analyses of baseline data, hematocrit and estimated plasma viscosity (based on the Merrill formula or the deSimone formula) are independently associated with elevations in fasting insulin, fasting glucose, and other indicators of insulin resistance.
B. In prospective analyses, hematocrit and estimated plasma viscosity are independent predictors of incident type 2 diabetes (visits 2-4) and impaired glucose tolerance (visit 4 only).

6. **Data (variables, time window, source, inclusions/exclusions):**
Most analyses would focus on the subset of ARIC participants without diabetes at baseline. Key variables would include: diabetes status, glucose levels, hematocrit, other factors that influence plasma viscosity (fibrinogen, total protein and immunoglobulins, and white blood cell count), blood pressure, plasma lipids, height, weight, waist circumference, smoking history, and socio-demographic variables, cardiopulmonary diseases and other medical history at baseline.
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