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4. Rationale:
Our ancillary studies to relate air pollution and cardiovascular responses have been funded by US EPA and
NIEHS. This proposal address research questions for these ancillary studies.

A considerable number of published epidemiological studies show an association between exposure to airborne
pollutants below the current national air quality standard and excess mortality and morbidity. These studies have been
extensively reviewed in the US EPA final report - Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter (1). In over 20 time-series
studies, a consistent relationship was found between daily variations of ambient particles and all-cause mortality, with
a stronger effect among the elderly, and from CVD and pulmonary disease. These effects were robust across different
statistical models, persisted after adjusting for season and weather, and were not confounded by other pollutants.
Consistent with the mortality findings, epidemiologic studies (2-13) also have demonstrated significant associations
between ambient particles and hospital admissions for respiratory and CVD. The effects of gaseous criteria pollutants
(including O3, CO, NO2, and SO2) and CVD risk also have been reported in few studies (14-17). For example, Schwartz
reported that an inter-quartile (1.75 ppm) increase in CO was associated with a 2.79% increase in hospital admissions
of heart disease in the elderly, independent of day-of-the-week and season (15). In a systematic analysis performed by
Samet (16), ambient PM and mortality association was not meaningfully altered after controlling for weather effects
using various methods. Samet (16) also confirmed previous reports that individual air pollutants (PM, SO2, and O3)
were associated with increased daily mortality, and concluded that the observed association of pollution with daily
mortality cannot be reliably attributed to any single pollutant. Samet (16) did not find a significant association between
NO2 and daily mortality, in contrast to that reported by Moolgavkar (17), who identified a significant NO2 effect on
daily mortality. This discrepancy may be due to different methods used to adjust for other copollutants. Recently,
Samet (18) reported the association between daily PM levels and daily mortality rates in 20 US cities for the period
1987-1994, independent of season and other copollutants. In this report, daily O3 was weakly but significantly
associated with mortality in the summer, but not in the winter when the O3 levels were very low.
Most of the previous epidemiological studies on pollution and CVD did not have measurements at the individual level, and thus could not address the injury mechanisms, nor appropriately adjust for confounders, particularly the individual level covariables, such as age, race, sex, social economic status, other CVD risk profiles, and history of CVD. Moreover, most of the previous epidemiological studies were not powered to identify potential health conditions or population sub-groups that would enhance susceptibility to adverse PM health effects and how host susceptibility factors influence the dose response relationship. Studies are also needed to investigate the biological mechanisms associated with enhanced susceptibility to adverse PM health effects.

Several potentially important subclinical diseases and physiological responses in relation to air pollution are being investigated. One of which is the direct adverse effect of PM on cardiac autonomic control. We are among the first to hypothesize and report that increased PM10 and PM2.5 levels stimulate the autonomic nervous system, leading to an imbalance of cardiac autonomic control, i.e. sympathetic activation relatively unopposed by parasympathetic influence. Such an imbalance of cardiac autonomic control may predispose persons, especially those who are more susceptible to PM, to higher risks of life-threatening arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Others have reported similar findings. In addition, arrhythmias and higher mortality in rats were observed after inhalation of combustion particles. ECG changes, including T-wave alternans and arrhythmias in dogs exposed to concentrated ambient air particles, have also been reported. It is likely that PM induces inflammatory responses in the lungs with a subsequent release of chemical mediators that alter the autonomic nervous control of cardiac rhythm.

We hypothesize that bronchial inflammation due to environmental pollution forms a chronic and repeated stimulation resulting in elevated systemic inflammation, increased blood coagulability, impaired cardiac autonomic balance, and increased heterogeneity of cardiac repolarization. The alteration of these factors may lead to increased risk of atherosclerosis and other forms of clinical manifestation of cardiovascular disease.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

Our primary focus is particulate matter (PM10 and TP), followed by O3, SO2, CO, and NO2.

(a) Are exposures to each individual ambient air pollutant prior to the clinical examination associated with (a) lower cardiac autonomic control as measured by 5-minute heart rate variability and heart rate corrected QT intervals? (b) higher frequency of arrhythmia as measured from 2-minute ECG rhythm strips.

(b) Do other gaseous copollutants synergistically modify the PM-response variable associations?

(c) Does previous history of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, or smoking modify the above relationships?

(d) Do the above associations differ by age, sex, social economic status, and ethnicity?

6. **Data (variables, time window, source, inclusions/exclusions):**

This will be a cross-sectional study using the baseline and visit 4 data. The ARIC V1 and V4 data include variables in the derived data set, heart rate variability data, 2-minute ECG rhythm strip data, and standard 12-lead ECG data. Specifically, we will use 5-minute heart rate variability indices (HF, LF, SDNN, HR) as measures of cardiac autonomic function; 12-lead ECG-derived QT interval as a measure of ventricular repolarization; and 2-minute ECG derived premature ventricular complexes (PVC) as a measure of arrhythmia. We have derived from the USEPA Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS) the air pollution exposures during the visit 1 and visit 4 periods for all the ARIC study participants. These exposures are measured as the average daily exposures to the ambient criteria pollutants for each of the 15,792 individuals prior to their cohort clinical examinations.

Major covariables of interests include age, sex, ethnicity/center, education, smoking, BMI, physical activity, prevalent chronic pulmonary disease, CHD, stroke, hypertension, and diabetes.
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