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3. Timeline:

The first manuscript is expected in 4-6 months.

4. Rationale:

Because hypertension leads to end-organ damage, it is reasonable to postulate that neuropsychological deficits resulting from brain damage may occur as a consequence of high blood pressure levels (1-3). Psychomotor speed, visual constructive ability, learning, memory and executive ability seem to be particularly vulnerable to increases in blood pressure (4). Cognitive decline as measured over 10 years using the Wechsler Adult Intelligence test (WAIS) was found to be more marked for hypertensive patients with cardiovascular disease than for normotensive subjects in relatively good health (4). A prospective relationship between systolic and diastolic levels and dementia was demonstrated in a cohort aged 79-85 years (5). Based on a
review of 34 papers, Anstey & Christensen suggested that hypertension, low educational level, and markers of cardiovascular health, should be considered as risk factors for poor cognitive performance (6). In a recent analysis from the ARIC cohort study, Knopman et al reported independent effects of both hypertension and diabetes on cognitive decline (7). In addition, in the Framingham study, an important interaction was found between hypertension and diabetes in relation to visual memory impairment (8). No cognitive function effects were, however, observed in studies evaluating the effect of anti-hypertensive therapy (9-12).

In Knopman et al’s study (7), only predictors at baseline (2nd clinic visit) were considered. No studies have been done heretofore examining the relationship of cognitive function changes to blood pressure changes, or the predictive values of prevalent vs. incident hypertension on age-related cognitive declines. The availability of blood pressure and cognitive data for ARIC’s visits 2 and 4 provides an opportunity to investigate these relationships while simultaneously considering time-dependent confounding variables.

5. Main hypotheses/Study questions

The analyses aim at examining the relationships between visit 2 to visit 4 changes in blood pressure and changes in cognitive function, with adjustment for time changes in the level of confounding variables.

6. Data (variables, time window, source, inclusions/exclusions):

Visit 2 and visit 4 data are necessary to examine the study questions. Blood pressure change is the main exposure variable, and average cognitive change, the main outcome variable. In addition to the use of blood pressure as a continuous variable, the following normotension/hypertension categories will be considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypertension in:</th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
<th>Visit 4</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Controlled hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incident hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalent Hypertension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These categories of hypertension will be defined using ARIC standard definitions. Cognitive changes will be based on the average [visit 4 minus visit 2] differences, and will be assessed separately for each of the tests used in ARIC (Delayed Word Recall, WAIS Digit Simbol Subtest, and Word Fluency).

Covariates include age, gender, race/center, diabetes, education, health status, total plasma cholesterol and fractions, plasma fibrinogen, vital exhaustion, BMI, and carotid IMT. Education,
health status and fibrinogen are available only for visit 1. Vital exhaustion was evaluated only in visit 2. The other continuous variables will be categorized in quintiles of visit 4 - visit 2 changes. Exclusions include history of stroke or TIA, and use of medications affecting the CNS.

**Statistical Power Considerations:**

The statistical power of the study (table below) was calculated taking into account the Pearson correlation for each pair of cognitive test in both visits (Ancova Method: Stata-vs, 6.0) and the 4 categories of the main exposure variable taking into account an alpha value = 0.05. The mean cognitive changes (visit4 minus visit2) and standard deviations were considered in each category of hypertension: The overall mean cognitive changes, based on the women population were respectively: DWR (-0.13); DSS/W-R (-2.61); and WF (-0.53).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypertension in:</th>
<th>DWR</th>
<th>DSS/W-R</th>
<th>WF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visit 2</td>
<td>Visit 4</td>
<td>Statistical Power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No*</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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