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4. Rationale:
1,5 anhydroglucitol (1,5 AG) competes with glucose for reabsorption in the renal tubules and is a marker of short term glycemic control. Glycosuria interferes with reabsorption of 1,5 AG and is associated with lower serum 1,5 AG levels (<10 µg/ml). The association was found to be stronger with the severity of the glycosuria: serum 1,5 AG levels were often ≤2 µg/ml in severe and persistent glycosuria. 1,5 AG level, which can be assessed through the commercial GlycoMark test, is a marker of glycosuria associated with hyperglycemic excursions in the prior 1-2 weeks. It is a more rapid indicator for recent changes in glycemic control (~2 weeks (1,5 AG) vs 8–10 weeks (HbA1c)).

Consistent with its role as an indicator of glycemic control, serum 1,5-AG increases when patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) are treated with diabetes medications such as metformin, glimepiride, or pioglitazone. By contrast, SGLT2 inhibitors, a relatively new class of anti-diabetic medications, act by competitively inhibiting the reabsorption of glucose in the renal tubules and are associated with lower rather than higher serum levels of 1,5 AG. This reduction in 1,5 AG levels, despite more favorable changes in other glycemic markers, is due to the competitive inhibition of 1,5 AG reabsorption by the glucose excreted in urine secondary to the SGLT2 inhibitor action.

In a recent study, 1,5 AG measurements were available in a convenience sample of 292 diabetic patients who had been prescribed SGLT2 inhibitors. Among 240 patients taking SGLT2 inhibitors at the time of their 1,5 AG measurements, the average 1,5 AG levels was 1.2 µg/ml and 92% of patients had levels ≤2 µg/ml. The average 1,5 AG levels in 52 patients not taking the SGLT2 inhibitors was 6.4 µg/ml and only 12% had values ≤2 µg/ml. In another study, 1,5 AG levels decreased by an average of 5.7 µg/ml over 26 weeks in 20 diabetic patients randomized to an SGLT2 inhibitor (canagliflozin) and increased by an average of 1.0 µg/ml in 20 diabetic patients randomized to placebo.

Although there are reports of lower levels of 1,5 AG in diabetic patients taking SGLT2 inhibitors, use of low 1,5 AG as a marker SGLT2 inhibitor use has not been studied in great detail. This manuscript will investigate the association of SGLT2 inhibitor use with levels of 1,5 AG and other glycemic markers in members of the ARIC cohort.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Compared to users of other diabetes medications, users of SGLT2 inhibitors have lower serum levels of 1,5 AG despite similar levels of other glycemic markers (fasting glucose; hemoglobin A1c).

The inverse association between 1,5 AG and other glycemic markers will be stronger in users of other diabetes medications compared to users of SGLT2 inhibitors.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Design: This will be a cross-sectional analysis of data collected at visit 6. Analysis will be repeated using data from visit 7 when available.

Primary variables of interest: 1,5 AG, fasting glucose, hemoglobin A1c, diabetes diagnosis, medication classes derived from the medication inventory.

Other variables of interest: age, sex, race/center

Data analysis: Recognizing that confounding by indication is a serious threat to validity when assessing medication effects in observational data, analysis will be restricted to individuals taking diabetes medications in order to focus on individuals with diagnosed, treated diabetes. The primary analysis will use linear regression, with 1,5 AG level as the dependent variable and SGLT2
inhibitor use (yes/no) as the main predictor. Covariates will include age, sex, and race/center. To assess whether differences in 1,5 AG level by SGLT2 inhibitor use persist after controlling for long-term hyperglycemia, models will additionally adjust for HbA1c and fasting glucose. Sensitivity analyses will explore the effect of additional adjustment for other types of diabetes medication, or number of diabetes medications. To assess whether the inverse association between 1,5 AG and HbA1c is different in users of other diabetes medications compared to users of SGLT2 inhibitors, we will add an interaction term (HbA1c*SGLT2 inhibitor) to the above models. To study the effect of dose of SGLT2 on 1,5 AG, an exploratory analysis will be carried out (depending on the number of SGLT2 takers). We will also explore adding an indicator for the presence of loss of function alleles in SLC5A10, the main 1,5 AG transporter, as these gene variants have been shown to have large effects on 1,5 AG levels.\(^\text{11}\).
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