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4. **Rationale:**

Dietary acid load is a clinically important aspect of dietary intake. Higher dietary acid load comes from the consumption of animal sources of food, e.g., cheese, meat, and eggs\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\). In contrast, diets that are lower in dietary acid load consist of plant-derived foods, e.g., fruits and vegetables\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^5\). In the ARIC study, higher dietary acid load was associated with higher risk for kidney disease independent of demographic characteristics, socioeconomic status, total energy intake, lifestyle factors, comorbid conditions, antihypertensive medication use, and baseline kidney function\(^6\). The observed association may be partly due to the fact that the kidneys play an important role in the excretion of acid and other toxins to maintain acid-base balance. The degree of acid load may be burdensome and may promote decline of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR).

The measurement of dietary acid load often relies upon the use of self-reported dietary intake. To address this issue, candidate blood biomarkers of dietary acid load were recently reported using untargeted metabolomics data in two studies of kidney disease patients: the African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension (AASK) and the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study\(^7\). Recently, thirteen metabolites were found to be associated with dietary acid load. These metabolites were: S-methylmethionine, indolepropionylglycine, indolepropionate, N-methylproline, N-δ-acetylornithine, threonate, oxalate, chiro-inositol, methyl glucopyranoside, stachydrine, catechol sulfate, hippurate, and tartronate. However, these metabolites have not yet been shown to be related to dietary acid load in a general population and the relationship between the metabolites and kidney outcomes is still unknown.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

1. We hypothesize that higher dietary acid load will be associated with lower serum levels of 13 metabolites: S-methylmethionine, indolepropionylglycine, indolepropionate, N-methylproline, N-δ-acetylornithine, threonate, oxalate, chiro-inositol, methyl glucopyranoside, stachydrine, catechol sulfate, hippurate, and tartronate.

2. We hypothesize that lower serum levels of these 13 metabolites will be associated with higher risk of incident chronic kidney disease.

6. **Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).**

**Study Design:**

- For hypothesis #1, we will conduct a cross-sectional analysis of dietary acid load and serum metabolites at study visit 1 (1987-1989).
- For hypothesis #2, we will conduct a prospective analysis of dietary acid load-related metabolites (measured at study visit 1, 1987-1989) and incident chronic kidney disease.

**Inclusion:**
Those with dietary intake data collected at study visit 1 (baseline, 1987-1989)
Those with metabolomics data at study visit 1

Exclusion:
- Participants with baseline eGFR \( \leq 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2 \) or prevalent CKD at baseline
- Those with extreme values for energy intake representing implausible estimates of dietary intake

Exposure: Dietary intake was assessed using a semi-quantitative 66-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), modified from the Willett questionnaire. As detailed in a previous ARIC publication \(^6\), dietary acid load will be estimated using the equation for potential renal acid load (PRAL) by Remer and Manz: PRAL = 0.49 * protein + 0.037 * phosphorus - 0.021 * potassium – 0.026 * magnesium - 0.013 * calcium \(^8\). We will also calculate the net endogenous acid production (NEAP), where NEAP = 54.5 * (protein/potassium) – 10.2 \(^9\).

Outcome: The primary outcome is incident CKD defined as eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\) accompanied by \( \geq 25\% \) eGFR decline from baseline, an International Classification of Diseases (ICD), Ninth/Tenth Revision code for a kidney disease-related hospitalization or death, or ESRD (dialysis or transplantation) identified by linkage to the USRDS registry between baseline (study visit 1, 1987-1989) and December 31, 2017\(^{10,11}\).

Covariates:
- In multivariable regression models, we will adjust for the following baseline covariates: age (continuous), sex (binary), race (binary), center (categorical), education level (categorical), physical activity (continuous), smoking status (categorical), body mass index (continuous), systolic blood pressure (continuous), diabetes status (binary), total energy intake (continuous), and eGFR (continuous).
- Baseline kidney function will be assessed using the 2009 CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration) creatinine equation for eGFR. As a sensitivity analysis, we will use the cystatin C-based equation for eGFR\(^{12,13}\).

Data analysis:
- Baseline characteristics of the study participants will be described according to tertiles of PRAL and NEAP using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency, proportion). We will test for differences in baseline characteristics using chi-squared and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous and categorical variables, respectively.
- For hypothesis #1, linear regression models will be used to examine the cross-sectional association between dietary acid load (exposure) and metabolites (outcome).
- For hypothesis #2, Cox proportional hazards regression models will be used to examine the prospective association between metabolites (exposure) and incident chronic kidney disease (outcome).
- In addition to analyzing individual metabolites, we will create a dietary acid load metabolite score. We will use the coefficients from the analysis of individual metabolites as weights for the score. We will calculate the AUC as a measure of the cumulative ability of the dietary acid load metabolite score in addition to the covariates to classify participants in the highest quartile compared with lower quartiles of dietary acid load. We
will also analyze the prospective association between the dietary acid load metabolite score with incident chronic kidney disease. In order to explore potential causal mechanisms, we will conduct causal mediation analysis. Causal mediation analysis estimates the indirect effect (IE) of dietary acid load on chronic kidney disease risk that was mediated through metabolites as well as the proportion of the effect mediated.

- We will analyze the two batches of metabolomics data separately.
- In addition to adjusting for race as a confounder, we will explore potential race-specific associations in a secondary analysis through the stratification by race.

**Limitations:**

- There is the potential for measurement error with self-report of dietary intake. The purpose of this study is to strengthen the validity of proposed biomarkers of dietary acid load which directly addresses this limitation.
- Another limitation is the long-time interval between dietary assessment (visit 1) and the end of follow-up (2017) considering that diet may change over time. However, in the ARIC study, we have demonstrated that there is little change in diet quality between visit 1 and visit 3.
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