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4. **Rationale:**

It has been estimated that 47 million people worldwide lived with dementia in 2016. With an aging population, this number is projected to increase to at least 131 million people by the year 2050 [1]. The economic burden of dementia is also growing and the total estimated cost of dementia worldwide is $818 billion and is expected to increase.

Prevention of cognitive decline and dementia is critical due to the current lack of effective treatments for dementia. Modifiable lifestyle factors such as diet are a low-cost and potentially effective way to reduce the risk and burden of cognitive decline and dementia. Increasing evidence suggests that the Mediterranean diet may be associated with a reduced risk of cognitive decline and dementia. The Mediterranean diet is known for a combination of foods that have high amounts of omega-3 fatty acids and polyphenols, which have been found to be associated with better cognitive function [2, 3]. For example, olive oil and nuts contain high amounts of phenolic compounds that may counteract the oxidative processed in the brain that lead to neurodegeneration [4]. Further, polyphenols may improve cerebrovascular blood flow and stimulate neurogenesis [5]. Omega-3 fatty acids (EPA, DHA, and ALA) are known for reducing inflammation and oxidative stress, which are associated with cognitive function [6, 7]. Another reason may involve the cardioprotective role of the Mediterranean diet, which has been found to reduce cardiovascular disease and potentially reduce obesity, hypertension and dyslipidemia [8, 9]. Since obesity and hypertension are risk factors of Alzheimer’s disease, they may be mediators in the diet and cognitive decline/dementia association. One study found that participants who had lower adherence to the Mediterranean diet had reduced rates of glucose metabolism and increased beta-amyloid deposition in Alzheimer Disease-affected areas compared to the group that had high adherence to the Mediterranean diet [10].

Fewer studies have focused on other dietary patterns such as the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet or dietary scores based on national guidelines such as the Healthy Eating Index (HEI) or Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI). However, these dietary patterns have been found to also reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease and its comorbidities and therefore may also reduce the risk of cognitive decline and dementia as vascular risk factors have been found to be associated with risk of dementia [11]. If these dietary patterns indeed do have similar effects on reducing cognitive decline, they may serve as alternate recommendations to the Mediterranean diet for primary prevention since the Mediterranean diet may not fit everyone’s lifestyle and sociocultural preferences.

We aim to determine whether healthy dietary patterns (Mediterranean, DASH, HEI, AHEI) are associated with cognitive decline and incident dementia in the ARIC Study. If these dietary patterns are associated with slower cognitive decline and lower risk of dementia, it will confirm previous findings that the Mediterranean diet is useful as a preventive measure and may suggest that the other dietary patterns may be alternative options of healthy dietary patterns to reduce cognitive decline and dementia.
5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions**: We hypothesize that higher scores, indicating greater adherence, for the alternate Mediterranean (aMed) diet score, DASH diet score, HEI-2015, and AHEI-2010 are associated with a lower risk of incident dementia and cognitive decline in the ARIC study.

6. **Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present)**.

**Study Design**:
We will conduct a prospective analysis using a definition of cognitive dysfunction based on neuropsychological assessments performed in visit 2 (1990-92), visit 4 (1996-98), and visit 5 (2011-2013). We will also use measures of dementia from the ARIC-NCS study (visit 5, 2011-2013).

**Inclusion/Exclusion**:
We will exclude participants who are missing food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) data, participants with extreme total caloric intake (females: <500 kcal/d or >3,500 kcal/d; males: <700 kcal/d or >4,500 kcal/d), participants who did not undergo neuropsychological testing at visit 2, participants with stroke at baseline, and participants with missing covariates.

**Exposure**:
The 4 primary exposures are the alternate Mediterranean diet score, DASH diet score, HEI-2015 score, and the AHEI-2010 score. Diet scores will be coded using the average FFQ responses from visits 1 and 3. The scores will be divided into quantiles for analyses.

**Alternate Mediterranean diet score (aMed)** [8]:
- Ranges from 0 (lowest) to 9 (highest)
- 9 components (vegetables excluding potatoes, fruits, nuts, whole grains, legumes, fish, fatty acids, red and processed meats, alcohol)
- Each of the first 7 components will be scored 1 if they are above the median intake; otherwise 0; red and processed meats will be scored 1 if they are below the median intake; otherwise 0; alcohol will be scored 0 or 1 based on sex-specific cutoffs

**DASH diet score** [12]:
- Ranges from 8 to 40
- 8 components (fruits, vegetables, whole grains, nuts and legumes, low-fat dairy, red and processed meat, sweetened beverages, sodium)
- Each component is scored from 1 to 5 based on rank distribution in quintiles with higher scores for lower intake of red and processed meat, sweetened beverages, and sodium, and with higher scores for higher intake of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, nuts and legumes, and low-fat dairy
- We will conduct a sensitivity analysis for the DASH diet score using a DASH score based on 9 nutrients (saturated fat, total fat, cholesterol, sodium, protein, fiber, magnesium, calcium, potassium) [13]
HEI-2015 score:
- Ranges from 0 to 100
- 13 components: adequacy (total fruits, whole fruits, total vegetables, greens and beans, whole grains, dairy, total protein, seafood and plant protein, fatty acids) and moderation (refined grains, sodium, added sugars, and saturated fats)
- Each component is scored based on whether the participant meets the energy-adjusted cutoffs for achieving the minimum or maximum scores

AHEI-2010 score [14]:
- Ranges from 0 to 110
- 11 components (fruits, vegetables, sugar-sweetened beverages and juices, nuts and legumes, whole grains, alcohol, omega-3 fatty acids, trans fats, polyunsaturated fatty acids, sodium, and red meat)
- Similar to the HEI-2015 index, each component receives a score based on a cutoff (servings/day) for minimum or maximum scores

Outcomes:

**Incident dementia from visit 1 to visit 5:** There were 3 levels of dementia ascertainment. For our definition of dementia we will use Level 3, which includes Levels 1 and 2 [11].
- **Level 1:** Adjudicated dementia defined as >1 cognitive domain worse than -1.5 z, functional activities questionnaire <=5, clinical dementia rating between 0.5 and 3, decline below 10th percentile on 1 test or 20th percentile on 2 tests, or MMSE score <21 for whites, <19 for blacks from visit 5 (ARIC-NCS) neuropsychological battery interview
- **Level 2:** Level 1 + Participants who were classified as having dementia based on Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status-Modified (TICSm), living or deceased persons classified as having dementia using Clinical Dementia Rating and Functional Activities Questionnaire among a subset that were suspected of having dementia
- **Level 3:** Level 2 + Dementia identified by surveillance based on a prior discharge hospitalization ICD-9 or death certificate code

**Cognitive decline from visit 2 to visit 5**
- Scores based on the Delayed Word Recall Test (DWRT), Digit Symbol Substitution Test (DSST), and Word Fluency Test (WFT)
- Test scores will be converted to z-scores standardized to the visit 2 mean and SD for each test
- Global cognition z-scores standardized to visit 2 global z mean and SD will be generated for each visit

**Covariates:** We will use the following variables as covariates: sex, race-center, age, physical activity, smoking, education, total energy intake, total cholesterol, prevalent CHD, ApoE status, BMI, hypertension, and diabetes.

---

*a https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/hei/developing.html#2015*
Main Analyses: Incident Dementia
1) We will assess differences in baseline (visit 2) characteristics by dietary pattern quantiles.
2) We will use Cox proportional hazards regression models to study the association between dietary patterns and incident dementia using the following models.
   a. Model 1: Age, sex, race-center, total energy intake
   b. Model 2 (primary model): Model 1 + adjusted for education, ApoE status, smoking, physical activity
   c. Model 3 (mediation analysis): Model 2 + adjusted diabetes, hypertension, BMI, prevalent CHD, HDL cholesterol
3) We will use the cumulative average of FFQ responses from visits 1 and 3 for a more precise measurement of food intake.
4) We will stratify analyses by age, race, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, ApoE status and test for interactions.
5) We will examine the association between individual components of each diet pattern and incident dementia.

Main Analyses: Cognitive Decline
1) We will assess differences in baseline (visit 2) characteristics by dietary pattern quantiles.
2) We will use mixed effects models to study the association between dietary pattern quantiles and cognitive change from visit 2 to visit 5, with a spline term at visit 4, using the following models.
   a. Model 1: Age, sex, race-center, total energy intake
   b. Model 2 (primary model): Model 1 + adjusted for education, ApoE status, smoking, physical activity
   c. Model 3 (mediation analysis): Model 2 + adjusted diabetes, hypertension, BMI, prevalent CHD, HDL cholesterol
3) We will stratify analyses by age, race, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, ApoE status and test for interactions.
4) We will assess whether attrition differs by quantile of diet scores. If attrition needs to be addressed, we will use the MICE method to impute missing values for visits 4 and 5, which has been described previously [15]. Missing values for global z-score will be imputed based on observed values for individuals.
5) We will examine the association between individual components of each diet pattern and cognitive decline.

Limitations:
A limitation of measuring dietary exposures through FFQ is self-reporting bias, as there may be over- or underreporting. Additionally, diet may change over time; however, previous analyses in ARIC have shown that there is minimal change in dietary pattern scores from visit 1 to visit 3. We will use the average of FFQ responses from visits 1 and 3 for a more precise estimate of food intake. Another limitation is that cognitive function was only measured several times throughout a long time period, with no measures between visits 4 and 5 (15 year gap). However, we have early measures of cognitive function from the beginning of the study, allowing for long follow-up. The number of participants who attended visit 5 was only 6,471, despite a larger number of
participants being alive at that time. Therefore, we will use multiple imputation method to calculate cognitive function of participants who were alive but did not attend visit 5.
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