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3. Timeline: Very quickly (about two weeks). The results in this manuscript essentially have been published in previous ARIC papers. The only part that will change in this manuscript is the periodontal phenotype. Please see rationale section below.
4. **Rationale**: The World Workshop on Periodontal Disease Classification (WW-2017) was held at the American Dental Association Headquarters in November, 2017. These workshops occur approximately every ten years and the changes in disease classification are disseminated to dental schools and dental practitioners world-wide and training about periodontal disease is based on the new classification system. As part of the World Workshop on Periodontal Disease Classification (WW-2017), a seminal paper by Tonetti, Greenwell, and Kornman\(^1\) introduced a multidimensional staging and grading system as a framework for reclassifying chronic periodontitis. This schema is similar to the method used in oncology or rheumatology, in which “staging” is based upon the severity of disease and complexity of case management while “grading” speaks to biological features, such as the predicted rate of disease progression that, as corollary, relates to the risk for tooth loss and for becoming edentulous. Furthermore, grading should reflect the impact of person-level risk factors and potential threats to general health. Specifically, the authors proposed a new nosological structure that included distinct classes of disease that reflect a diagnosis that are referred to as Stages, but also a designation of Grade of disease for each diagnostic stage that reflect risk for tooth loss and other risk factors, such as smoking and diabetes. Furthermore, the proposed staging and grading was tasked with the requirement that it should reflect prognosis, as well as the complexity of treatment needs, including recommendation for referral to assist the clinician in case management. While this novel system has great potential for disease classification that could support the concept of precision dentistry, it currently has not been validated using patient data, nor does it accommodate mutually exclusive definitions of health or gingivitis.

Independently, we have been developing an agnostic, databased approach to define classes of periodontal conditions that include the spectrum of clinical presentations of health and disease that also incorporates missing teeth. This relatively new concept for disease classification successfully identified seven independent bins or classes of individuals with similar clinical traits. These classes of disease incorporated levels of tooth-loss, as well as tooth-specific recession, biotype and reduced periodontium assessments; in addition to the traditional clinical measures. Using Latent Class Analyses (LCA) we created seven latent (Hidden) classes, which included three new classes that were associated with mild tooth loss and very high GI scores, moderate tooth loss with reduced periodontium, and severe tooth loss. We called this group of seven disease conditions Periodontal Profile Classes (PPC) and the individual diagnoses were named Health, Mild Disease, High Gingival Inflammation (High GI), Tooth Loss, Moderate (Posterior) Disease, Severe Tooth Loss, and Severe Disease. A tooth profile class (TPC), was also derived using LCA\(^2\) and remains applicable in this World Workshop model. Fundamentally, the model builds upon seven types of periodontal status seen around individual teeth as determined agnostically, plus missing teeth. These seven TPCs include teeth that are: Healthy, have Recession, have Crowns, have a Hi Gingival Index, have Interproximal Attachment Loss, have a Reduced Periodontium, and Severe disease (probing and attachment loss)\(^2\). This body of work is detailed in five publications that describe (1) the methods used in deriving the PPCs as well as a similar process applied at the tooth level (TPC)\(^2\) (2) risk for disease progression and tooth loss for each PPC and derivation of the person-level Index of Periodontal Risk (IPR)\(^3\); (3) the relationships between PPCs and prevalent systemic conditions (diabetes, CHD, stroke) as well as measures of systemic inflammation (CRP, IL-6)\(^4\); (4) relationships between PPCs and incident stroke\(^5\); and (5) an overview of the entire project including future work and the potential application of the PPC for clinical dentistry\(^6\). At this point, the new Stages and Grades schema, while logical, has not been tested using data
representing actual clinical disease patterns. The purpose of this paper is to assess the validity of the Stages and Grades system proposed by the World Workshop using clinical findings from our PPC studies harmonizing PPCs with Stages and IPR scores as Grades.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:** Will the new World Workshop Model be supported by patterns of health and disease that occurred in the ARIC visit 4 examination?

6. **Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).**

We will use the ARIC visit 4 dental examination excluding anyone without a periodontal examination (6,793 respondents). This is the same group we used to conduct previous analyses that derived the PPC phenotypes.

**Nomenclature, Stages, and Grades:**
We have already completed the process of adapting our PPC phenotypes to the WW-2017 Stages and Grades schema. Briefly, the previous seven PPC disease states become Stages 0-6 and the Grades of disease were defined using the following IPR cut-off-values. Grade 0 = IPR<10, Grade 1 = IPR 10 to <20, Grade 2 = IPR 20 to <30, Grade 3 = IPR 30 to <40 and Grade 4 is IPR 40 or greater. Stages were assigned first, and then using IPR as a risk indicator, the Grade was assigned for those within each Stage. Importantly, the agnostic LCA assignments had no a priori assumptions of health or gingivitis, but rather were applied to the entire ARIC test population to permit the algorithm to assign traits that best described Stage and Grade strata that we can now interpret as being most consistent with our traditional concepts of health, gingivitis and periodontitis. The following table is an example of how our conversion of PPC to Stage and Grade will look to determine the distribution of demographics and risk factors for periodontal disease by stage and grade:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGES</th>
<th>Grade 0</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>All Grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage 0: Health (n)</strong></td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>% Diabetic</td>
<td>% Smoker</td>
<td>Mean Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage 1: Mild Perio (n)</strong></td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>% Diabetic</td>
<td>% Smoker</td>
<td>Mean Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage 2 Mod Perio (n)</strong></td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>% Diabetic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Smoker</td>
<td>Mean Age</td>
<td>Stage 3 Severe Perio (n)</td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>% Diabetic</td>
<td>% Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 4 Mild TL/High GI(n)</td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>% Diabetic</td>
<td>% Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 5 Mod TL/Reduced Perio (n)</td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>% Diabetic</td>
<td>% Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 6 Severe TL (n)</td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>% Diabetic</td>
<td>% Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Stages</td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>% Diabetic</td>
<td>% Smoker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical Analysis:
All statistical analyses will be performed with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). We plan to use PROC MEANS to calculate percents. PROC GENMOD will be used to calculate relative risk. The p-trend statistic will be calculated using Proc REG. P values <0.05 will be considered significant.
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