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4. **Rationale:**
Late-onset epilepsy (i.e., starting at age 60 or older\(^1\)) affects a large and growing number of persons worldwide. The yearly incidence of first seizure is higher in the elderly than at any other time of life\(^2,3\). In older adults without a prior history of seizures, the yearly incidence of epilepsy is 1.85% in those 80-84 yo and 3.25% in those who live to 90-94 yo\(^3\). Stroke and neurodegenerative diseases account for a share of late-onset epilepsy, but many patients have no obvious single cause of seizures.

There is a high incidence of seizures in patients with Alzheimer’s disease (6-10%)\(^4\). We have previously shown that, after adjusting for demographics and other vascular and lifestyle risk factors, the apolipoprotein \(\varepsilon4\) genotype is associated with late-onset epilepsy, with a dose-dependent effect (i.e., higher rates with 2 allele compared to 1 allele; under review). As apolipoprotein \(\varepsilon4\) is associated with Alzheimer’s disease and with cognitive decline, we hypothesize that some participants with late-onset epilepsy may also have early (preclinical) Alzheimer’s-type pathology, and that their cognitive function will be lower than similar participants without late-onset epilepsy.

In addition, we have previously shown (using ARIC data) that hypertension, diabetes, smoking, physical activity, and alcohol use are associated with late-onset epilepsy (under review). In addition, we have previously shown that late midlife white matter hyperintensities are associated with the later development of epilepsy, and that lower cortical volumes are associated with a higher rate of late-onset epilepsy (manuscript in preparation). As these findings are also associated with lower cognitive scores, we have further reason to believe that participants with epilepsy will have lower cognitive scores than will similar participants without late-onset epilepsy.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

We hypothesize that:

**H1.** Cognitive scores will be lower in participants diagnosed (at any point) with late-onset epilepsy than without late-onset epilepsy at each visit with cognitive testing, even in the absence of diagnosed dementia.

**H2.** Decline in cognitive scores over time will be larger in participants with late-onset epilepsy than without late-onset epilepsy.

**H3.** The rate of cognitive decline will change (increase) after the onset of seizures in participants with late-onset epilepsy, compared to the rate prior to that point and the rate in individuals who do not develop late-onset epilepsy.
6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Study design:
This will be a retrospective analysis of prospectively collected ARIC cohort data.

Inclusions:
Black and white ARIC participants with cognitive testing data available will be included. Only participants with two or more cognitive assessments will be included in analyses of cognitive change over time.

Exclusions:
Participants missing cognitive testing from each visit (i.e., Visit 6) will be excluded from cross-sectional analyses using that visit (hypothesis H1). Participants with cognitive testing available from only one visit will be excluded from longitudinal data analysis. Participants with low cognition at baseline (<5th percentile on any cognitive test) will be excluded from analyses examining cognitive decline (H2 and H3). We will address missing covariate data (i.e. hypertension) using multiple imputations.

Primary Outcomes:
Cognitive scores (delayed word recall test, DWRT; digit symbol substitution test, DSST; and word fluency test, WFT) measured at Visits 2, 4, 5, and 6; Change in cognitive scores over time.
All tests will be standardized to z-scores to allow for the creation of a composite score and comparisons across tests.

Independent variables:
Epilepsy cases: We will identify cases of late-onset epilepsy using ICD-9 codes (from ARIC hospitalization data and from CMS data), identifying incident cases as those with >2 years of claims data available prior to the first seizure-related code, and limiting cases to those with a first seizure-related code at age 60 or later.

We will also include demographic factors (age, sex, a combined race-center variable, education) and risk factors for cerebrovascular disease and dementia: hypertension, diabetes, cholesterol, BMI, smoking history, alcohol use, and APOEε4 genotype. Sex, race-center, and education history will be taken from Visit 1. The APOEε4 genotype was measured at Visit 1.

Hypertension, age, diabetes, cholesterol, BMI, smoking history, and alcohol use will be extracted from each visit for cross-sectional comparison of cognition in participants with and without late-onset epilepsy at that visit.
In longitudinal comparisons of cognitive change over time, hypertension, age, diabetes, cholesterol, BMI, smoking history, and alcohol will be extracted from the first visit used in the comparison of cognitive change (i.e., in a comparison of cognitive change from Visit 2 to Visit 6, independent variables that may vary over time will be extracted from Visit 2).

Summary of data analysis:

H1. To compare cognitive scores in participants with and without late-onset epilepsy at each time point and to adjust for other variables related to cognition, we will use linear regression models with cognitive test z-score as the dependent variable, and late-onset epilepsy and the demographic and cerebrovascular risk factors listed above as independent variables. We will check for differing effects of epilepsy on cognition by race and sex, using interaction terms.

H2. As per ARIC-NCS Analysis Manual recommendations, generalizing estimating equations with an unstructured correlation matrix and robust variance will be used to estimate the difference in population-averaged trajectories of cognitive change over time by late-onset epilepsy status (ever diagnosed, never diagnosed). We will check for differing effects of epilepsy on cognition by race and sex, using interaction terms.

H3. We will identify the date of first seizure diagnosis in participants with late-onset epilepsy, and will compare cognitive trajectory prior to the development of epilepsy (i.e. change in cognition between visits prior to the development of epilepsy) to cognitive trajectory after the development of epilepsy (i.e. change in cognition between visits following the diagnosis of epilepsy); as per ARIC-NCS recommendations, we will use generalized estimating equations as above. We will use a model with a time-dependent variable for “post-seizure” set to 1 after the development of epilepsy and 0 before, to represent change in cognition after development of epilepsy (similar to methods previously described for analysis of post-stroke cognitive change5,6). We will also use a model with a spline with knot at time of epilepsy development to compare slopes before and after development of epilepsy.

We will check for differing effects of epilepsy on cognition by race, sex, and APOE ε4 genotype, using interaction terms.

Sensitivity Analysis:

We will use multiple imputation by chained equations (MICE) for missing covariates and to assess the potential effects of differential attrition due to death or dropout. Additionally, to determine whether the associations between late-onset epilepsy and cognition occur independent of stroke, we will repeat analyses censoring participants with incident clinical stroke between Visits 2 and 6.

Methodologic limitations or challenges:

We are limited by the use of claims data, which can identify a first diagnosis of seizure but does not give us the actual date of a first seizure.
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