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4. Rationale:
Diet is an important modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease, kidney disease, and other chronic diseases.\(^1\,2\) The American Heart Association and the U.S. Dietary Guidelines for Americans have endorsed the DASH diet and a prudent diet for the prevention of cardiovascular disease and related health outcomes.\(^3\,5\) In the ARIC study, we have previously reported that
higher adherence to the DASH diet as well as other dietary factors are related to kidney disease risk.\textsuperscript{6-10} Further research is necessary to examine the metabolic disturbances associated with these purported healthy dietary patterns.

Metabolomics allows for the comprehensive characterization of small metabolic compounds in biological specimens (serum).\textsuperscript{11} The metabolome is responsive to dietary intake and therefore is a useful method for detecting biomarkers of dietary patterns and metabolic pathways leading to kidney disease that are potentially modifiable by diet.\textsuperscript{12} The untargeted and unbiased metabolomic approach maximizes the potential for discovery of novel markers of dietary intake and could provide insights about metabolic pathways underlying the diet-kidney disease relationship.

5. \textbf{Main Hypothesis/Study Questions}:
\textbf{Hypothesis #1:} We hypothesize that we will be able to identify known and novel metabolites associated with overall measures of diet quality and components of diet quality scores. We hypothesize that there will be metabolites that are similarly associated with diet quality across the three diet quality indices (HEI-2015, AHEI, DASH).

\textbf{Hypothesis #2:} We hypothesize that some of the diet-related metabolites will be associated with kidney disease risk.

6. \textbf{Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present)}.

\textbf{Study Design:} cross-sectional analysis of metabolomics and measures of diet quality, which both were assessed at study visit 1 (1987-1989) and prospective analysis of diet-related metabolites and risk of incident kidney disease through the latest follow-up period.

\textbf{Eligibility Criteria:} Approximately 4,000 African-American and Caucasian ARIC study participants with metabolomic profiling data from visit 1 serum specimens (ancillary study #2014.20 and 2008.16; two “batches”)

\textbf{Exposures & Outcomes:} For hypothesis #1, the exposure will be diet quality and outcome will be metabolites. For hypothesis #2, the exposure will be diet-related metabolites and the outcome will be incident kidney disease.

\textbf{Diet Quality:} We will use three measures of diet quality: 1) Healthy Eating Index-2015, 2) Alternative Healthy Eating Index, and 3) DASH Diet. The Healthy Eating Index-2015 assesses adherence to the 2015-2020 U.S. Dietary Guidelines for Americans. The HEI-2015 score ranges from 0 to 100 based on thirteen factors: total fruit, whole fruit, total vegetables, greens and beans, whole grains, dairy, total protein foods, seafood and plant proteins, refined grains, added sugars, fatty acids, sodium, and saturated fat.\textsuperscript{1} The Alternative Healthy Eating Index scores 11 foods and nutrients that have been shown to

\textsuperscript{1} \url{https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/hei/hei-2015-table1.html}
be related to chronic disease risk and has a total score of 110: vegetables, fruit, whole grains, sugar-sweetened beverages and fruit juice, nuts and legumes, red/processed meat, trans fat, long-chain fats, polyunsaturated fatty acids, sodium, and alcohol.\textsuperscript{13} The Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) was tested in two feeding trials and was shown to reduce blood pressure.\textsuperscript{14,15} The DASH diet score captures 8 components: fruits, vegetables, nuts and legumes, low-fat dairy, whole grains, sodium, sweetened beverages, and red and processed meats.\textsuperscript{16} Dietary intake was assessed at study visit using an interview-administered, in-person, 66-item, semi-quantitative, food frequency questionnaire 1, which was modified from an instrument developed by Willett et al.\textsuperscript{17}

**Metabolomics** Metabolites were measured from stored fasting serum samples by Metabolon, Inc. (Durham, North Carolina) using an untargeted, ultra-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry approach. This untargeted approach identified approximately 600-800 named and unnamed metabolites. In the present study, we will primarily focus on the ~200 named metabolites with limited missing values, reasonable reliability, and present in both batches.

**Kidney Disease:** We will study incident chronic kidney disease (CKD) and incident end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Incident CKD is defined by at least one of the following four criteria: 1) development of reduced kidney function (eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}) accompanied by 25% eGFR decline at any subsequent study visit relative to baseline, 2) International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9/10 code for a hospitalization related to CKD stage 3+ identified through active surveillance of the ARIC cohort, 3) ICD 9/10 code for a death related to CKD stage 3+ identified through linkage to the National Death Index, and 4) end-stage renal disease identified by linkage to the US Renal Data System (USRDS) registry.\textsuperscript{18} Incident ESRD cases will be identified by linkage to the USRDS registry.\textsuperscript{19}

**Other Variables of Interest:** In multivariable linear regression models, we will consider adjusting for the following variables: age, sex, race, center, body mass index, total energy intake, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and batch (batch represents when the metabolomic profiling was conducted).

**Statistical Analysis:** We will use multivariable linear regression models to estimate the cross-sectional association between diet quality (exposure) and metabolites (outcome) and we will use Cox proportional hazards regression to estimate the prospective association between diet-related metabolites and incident kidney disease. Diet quality will be quantified using \textit{a priori} defined scores for the HEI-2015, the AHEI, and the DASH diet. In addition to the overall diet quality scores, we will assess the association between metabolites and individual components of the diet quality scores. Effect estimates will be calculated per one unit increase in the diet quality score for the cross-sectional analysis and per one standard deviation increase in the metabolites for the prospective analysis. Metabolites will be log-transformed for analysis. We will adjust for the following covariates in the multivariable regression model: age, sex, race, center, body mass index, total energy intake, eGFR, and batch. We will examine potential effect modification using statistical tests for interaction and by stratifying by sex, race, age group, BMI group, and kidney
function. Analyses will be conducted by batch (1st batch: discovery, 2nd batch: replication). All analyses will be run in Houston, Texas using scripts provided by the first author.

**Anticipated Methodologic Limitations or Challenges:** Given the large number of metabolites, there is a high likelihood of detecting a false positive association. We will adjust the significance threshold by the Bonferroni method (dividing by the number of metabolites) to account for multiple comparisons (0.05/number of metabolites). For hypothesis #1, all metabolites will be analyzed. For hypothesis #2, only metabolites that are associated with diet at the Bonferroni threshold will then be investigated in relation to kidney disease risk.
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