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4. **Rationale:**

The overall prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in the US remains high and it has been estimated that nearly 15% of the population is affected by the condition.\(^1\) Furthermore, the public health burden of CKD will likely be exacerbated by the country’s aging populations. This notion has been supported by recent data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES 2011-2012) that demonstrated that approximately half of participants over the age of 80 had late-stage CKD.\(^2\) However, considering that the CKD patient population is largely comprised of older individuals, there is a comparative dearth of research on this high-risk subgroup\(^3-5\); the number of studies of older age groups since the establishment of the most recent 2012 Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) clinical guidelines is even more limited.\(^6-8\) Furthermore, the majority of recent research on older CKD patients has focused on either disease progression, cardiovascular events, or mortality.\(^9-13\) Less is known about the relationship between markers of CKD and rates of hospitalizations in this age group, although data has shown that hospitalizations in CKD patients occur most frequently in those over the age of 75.\(^1,14\) It has also been demonstrated that in a 5% sample of Medicare patients, the most common causes of hospitalization were cardiovascular disease and infection.\(^1\) It would be of interest to examine whether these are also the most frequent causes of hospitalization in other population-based cohorts. Therefore, research that would further characterize the risks and types of hospitalizations in older CKD patients would add substantial value to the literature. The present study would address this scarcity of research through an investigation of the relationship between CKD prognosis and risk of all-cause hospitalizations among older adults.

There is evidence to suggest that the utility of estimated glomerular filtration rate based on serum creatinine (eGFRcr) in informing CKD prognosis may differ across age groups.\(^15,16\) The 2012 KDIGO clinical guidelines recommend the use of both eGFRcr and categories of albuminuria for initial assessment of CKD.\(^17\) However, serum creatinine is dependent on muscle mass, which can lead to inaccurate measures of eGFR among those with very high or very low levels of muscle mass.\(^18\) Consequently, categorizing risk with eGFRcr among older individuals, who may have extremely variable levels of muscle mass, may result in misclassification. Estimated glomerular filtration rate informed by another endogenous marker, serum cystatin C (eGFRcys), is an alternative indicator of kidney impairment and is currently recommended as a confirmatory measure to diagnose CKD.\(^17\) eGFRcys is not correlated with muscle mass and multiple studies have recognized its potential as an improved predictor of prognosis among older populations.\(^18-21\) Therefore, a study of the magnitudes of association between eGFRcr versus eGFRcys and risk of hospitalizations could serve as a useful comparison to inform whether one measure is a superior predictor of risk among older CKD patients.

As the target population of this study would be older patients affected by CKD, another factor of interest would be prevalence of frailty. Fried et al. were the first to establish a standardized definition of frailty, a clinical syndrome that is identified by the presence of at least three of five of the following characteristics: unintentional weight loss (10 lbs in the past year), self-reported exhaustion, weakness (as measured through grip strength), slow walking speed, and low physical activity.\(^22\) It has been estimated that 15% of individuals over the age of 65 are frail and 45% of individuals of this same age group exhibit one to two frailty characteristics and are thus
considered “pre-frail”. Evidently, it would also be useful to explore whether the relationship between eGFR, by either creatinine or cystatin C, and risk of hospitalizations is affected by frailty.

The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) cohort is uniquely poised as a study that could explore all of the aforementioned topics pertaining to older CKD patients: the risk of all-cause hospitalizations, the value of eGFRcys, and the effect of frailty on eGFR based prognosis. At Visit 5 (2011-2013), the mean age of ARIC participants was 76, indicating that the age distribution of the cohort would be appropriate for the study of older CKD patients. Also, data on serum cystatin C was collected at Visit 5, and thus eGFRcys, in addition to eGFRcr, may be calculated for each participant. To explore hospitalization as an outcome, the ARIC cohort already has a hospital surveillance system in place that captures details, such as event type, length of stay, and discharge or death date. In conclusion, we propose to study CKD and risk of hospitalizations in the older adults of ARIC as the cohort is in many ways ideally positioned to explore our research aims.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Aim 1: Investigate the relationship between CKD categories (as indicated by measures of eGFR and albuminuria) and risk of hospitalizations among older patients.

Hypothesis 1: CKD categories (by eGFR and albuminuria) will be positively associated with overall risk of hospitalization among older patients.

Aim 2: Identify the types of hospitalizations that are most common among older CKD patients.

Hypothesis 2: CKD stages will be more strongly associated with hospitalizations for cardiovascular disease and infections in older individuals than other hospitalizations.

Aim 3: Evaluate and compare the association between eGFRcr vs eGFRcys and risk of hospitalization among older CKD patients overall and stratified by frailty status at baseline (ARIC visit 5).

Hypothesis 3: Among older adults, eGFR estimated by cystatin C will show a stronger and more linear association with risk of hospitalization - this will be particularly true among individuals with frailty where the prevalence of low GFR estimated by cystatin C will be much higher than the prevalence estimated by serum creatinine as seen in previous studies of this cohort.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).


Inclusion/Exclusion: Participants with baseline covariate data, serum creatinine, serum cystatin C, and albuminuria measures collected at Visit 5 will be included.
Exposure: Race, age, serum creatinine, and serum cystatin C values will be used to calculate both eGFRcr and eGFRcys in accordance with the CKD-EPI 2009 equation.\textsuperscript{24} Albuminuria will be calculated as urine albumin-to-creatine ratio (ACR). eGFR and ACR category will be used to diagnose and stage CKD based on current KDIGO guidelines.\textsuperscript{17} The assessment of frailty will follow methods previously used by Kucharska-Newton et al. to operationalize the standard five-component definition of frailty in the ARIC cohort.\textsuperscript{25}

Classification of frailty will be based on the fulfilment of at least 3 of the following characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>ARIC Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight Loss</td>
<td>10% of weight loss from V4 (1996-1999) to V5 (2011-2013) or BMI &lt; 18.5 at Visit 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low physical activity</td>
<td>Gender-specific 20\textsuperscript{th} percentile rank of the Baecker leisure sports activity index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow walking speed</td>
<td>Gender- and height-adjusted time in seconds used to walk 4m, Slowest speed defined as the 20\textsuperscript{th} percentile of the distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaustion</td>
<td>Responded “some of the time” or “most of the time” to the following questions: I felt everything I did was an effort or I could not get “going”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low grip strength</td>
<td>Gender- and BMI-specific grip strength in the lowest 20\textsuperscript{th} percentile of distributions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcome: Counts of all post-Visit 5 hospitalizations captured by the ARIC hospitalization surveillance system, and all hospitalizations ascertained through annual telephone interviews, will serve as the main outcome. The first ICD-9 code listed by the event surveillance system will be used to classify hospitalizations by primary cause, and events will be further grouped into broad categories, such as malignancy, and infection as done previously by Schneider et al. in the ARIC cohort.\textsuperscript{26}

Mortality will also be examined, given its importance and ultimate outcome as well as to examine its influence as a competing risk for hospitalization.

Analysis: As repeated measures in the form of hospitalization counts will be the primary outcome of the study, Poisson regression will be used to estimate incidence rates of all-cause hospitalization and their associated 95% confidence intervals for each stage of CKD. This will be done separately for GFR stages (G1 90+, G2 60-89, G3a 45-59, G3b+ <45 ml/min/1.73m\textsuperscript{2}), albuminuria stages (A1 <30, A2 30-299 and A3 300+ mg/g) and risk groups defined by both albuminuria and GFR (low risk reference group [no CKD] – A1and G1-G2 ; CKD with moderately increased risk – G3aA1 or G1-G2 A2; CKD with high risk G3bA1, G3aA1, G1-G2A3; CKD with very high risk G4+, G3bA2, G3aA3). Similarly, incidence rates of cause-specific hospitalizations will also be investigated. The strength of association between eGFRcr versus eGFRcys and hospitalizations will be evaluated through multivariable modelling of both eGFRcr and eGFRcys and cross-classification of eGFR categories. The analysis will be repeated stratified by frailty and an overall model will be used to test for dose-response relationships and
interactions of frailty with eGFR. We hypothesize that frailty will be associated with a larger under-estimate of the prevalence of CKD G3+ by eGFRcr compared to eGFRcys. Furthermore, the risk relationship between eGFR and risk of hospitalization and mortality will be U-shaped and blunted for eGFRcr but remain strong and more linear for eGFRcys. We will also evaluate the impact of obesity (at visit 5 and previous visits) on the CKD and hospitalization risk relationships. This is important as much of previous eGFR and risk relationship research (e.g. in the Cardiovascular Health Study) was completed prior to the current obesity epidemic. Mortality will be modeled similarly to hospitalization and its influence as a competing risk for hospitalization will be examined to appropriately model absolute risk of hospitalization as a function of CKD stage at older age.
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