May 4, 2017

Dear Dr. Coresh and the ARIC Publications Committee,

On behalf of our fellow co-authors, we would like to thank you for your prompt review of our ARIC manuscript proposal #2959, “Cardiac biomarkers and subsequent risk of bleeding in the community: The Atherosclerosis in Communities (ARIC) Study.” We greatly appreciate the specific feedback you have given in order to make the proposal more scientifically rigorous. We have provided specific responses to your questions on subsequent pages. In addition we have attached 2 copies of the revised proposal with and without the proposed changes highlighted.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lena Mathews
Department of Epidemiology
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
615 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD, 21205

Kunihiro Matsushita, MD, PhD
Department of Epidemiology
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology, and Clinical Research
2024 E. Monument St., Suite 2-600 (Rm 2-602), Baltimore, MD 21287
Tel (443) 287-8766 Fax (410) 367-2384
kmatsus5@jhu.edu
Response to questions:

1. The specified outcomes are all hospitalizations. Yet, can bleeding disorders be managed in the outpatient clinic? If so, the authors may want to consider using the claims data to examine evidence of bleeding outside of the hospital setting. This is merely a suggestion to consider.

- Thanks for this important suggestion. Although we briefly described the use of CMS data to capture outpatient bleeding in the original proposal, we realized that that was not clear. Therefore, we have now specified the use of CMS data in the section of “outcomes”. (Line 104-105)

2. Also consider if bleeding disorders be assessed on the basis of the use of specific medications such as blood products?

- Our primary outcome now includes report of blood transfusion on the discharge diagnosis (V58.2) (Line 100-101)

3. The study population is very heterogeneous, such that there could be quite a range in terms of recommendations for antiplatelet medications. That could pertain both to the type of medications, their strength, as well as duration of treatment. It seems counterproductive to lump stroke, HF, and CHD exposures together.

- Sorry for not being clear but we are planning to exclude participants who had a history of stroke, HF, and CHD at baseline (namely visits 2 and 4): “Study participants with prevalent cardiovascular disease including prevalent CHD, stroke and heart failure” (Line 80-81). We realized that our rationale beginning with the impact of bleeding in patients with cardiovascular disease might be misleading and thus modified it. In the revised proposal, we further specified that we would exclude those who had a history of atrial fibrillation and venous thrombosis. Moreover, we will deal with incident cases of these cardiovascular diseases in three ways: 1. Adjusting for as a time-varying covariate, 2. Censoring at the time of incident events, and 3. Looking specifically at individuals who developed these cardiovascular diseases. Since antiplatelet and anticoagulation therapy may be difference among these diseases, this sensitivity analysis will be done individually for each of CHD, stroke, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolic disease. (Line 160-165 and Figure 1)

4. You may want to also consider long-term trends in that medications changed from V2 to V4 to the present.

- We have amended the proposal to include medications as a covariate measured at baseline and as a time varying covariate until the outcome whenever possible. (Line 151-152)
5. What were the guideline recommendations for antiplatelet therapy, for example post MI, at the time of V2 (or V4)? Most likely not the same as now (as stents were not in as frequent use at that time).

- Thanks for this important comment. For our main analysis using Cox models among all eligible participants at either of visit 2 or 4, we will check the proportionality assumption by plotting \(-\log[-\log(\text{survival probability})]\) against \(\log(\text{survival time})\). This comment can be more relevant in our sensitivity analysis among those who developed incident cardiovascular disease (e.g., myocardial infarction) during follow-up. To account on this issue, we will adjust for calendar years of incident cardiovascular disease (e.g., before 2000, 2001-2010, and after 2010).

6. Will use of antiplatelet medications be assessed only at baseline or also during follow-up?

- The use of antiplatelet medications will be assessed at the baseline study visit (MSR Form), and by annual follow up (AFU Form), and at hospital discharge from an MI event (HRA Form) as much as we can. (Line 122 and 151)

7. How will aspirin use be ascertained?

- Aspirin use will be ascertained at the baseline visit (MSR Form), at Annual follow up visits (AFU form), and at the time of hospital discharge from an MI event discharge (HRA Form) as much as we can. (Line 122 and 151)

8. Should stroke not be considered as a separate outcome?

- We will include intracranial hemorrhage (431.0 (intracerebral hemorrhage), 430.0 (subarachnoid hemorrhage), 432.1 (subdural hematoma)) in the primary outcome (Line 94-95). In addition, as noted above we will conduct a sensitivity analysis focusing on participants who developed incident stroke during follow-up. (Line 161)

9. Reasons for transfusions can be quite varied and unrelated to use of antiplatelet drugs (e.g. anemia). I would be cautious in using that as one of the outcome measures.

- Related to the Committee comment #2 “Also consider if bleeding disorders be assessed on the basis of the use of specific medications such as blood products”, we think it is better to keep blood transfusions. However, as per this suggestion, we will take into account a blood transfusion diagnosis not related to acquired or congenital hemolytic anemia, hemoglobinopathy, or neoplasm. (Line 100-101). Nonetheless, we will repeat the analysis for bleeding events without accounting for transfusions as well.
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3. Timeline:

Once the data is obtained, data analysis and manuscript preparation will be done in the next 6 months.

4. Rationale:

Background: Major bleeding requiring hospitalization is associated with excess medical expenditure and poor prognosis. Therefore, factors that can predict bleeding risk may help clinicians to identify persons at high risk of bleeding and guide clinical management. In this context, several predictors of bleeding have been reported including older age, female gender,
chronic kidney disease, liver disease, prior stroke, bleeding history, and alcohol use. Of interest, a small clinical study reported a positive association between cardiac troponin (cTn) elevation and re-bleeding in patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding in 2008. Subsequently, a few large trials (e.g., ARISTOTLE and RE-LY) observed that high-sensitivity troponin (hs-cTn) is independently associated with incident major bleeding in individuals with atrial fibrillation on anticoagulation therapy. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have explored whether hs-cTnT is prospectively associated with bleeding events in the general population.

Therefore, we will investigate if baseline levels of hs-cTnT can predict future bleeding events among individuals in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. We will also evaluate whether this association is unique to hs-cTn or associated with elevations in NT-proBNP, a marker of cardiac overload and thus elevated venous pressure. This comparison is important since both ARISTOTLE and RE-LY reported a significant association of major bleeding with hs-cTn but not NT-proBNP.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Do elevated cardiac biomarkers predict increased risk of major bleeding complications in the general population?

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodological limitations or challenges if present).

Study design:
- Prospective cohort analysis

Inclusion criteria:
- All ARIC study participants who had cTnT and NT-proBNP measured at visits 2 and/or 4

Exclusion criteria:
- Study participants with prior bleeding
- Study participants with prevalent cardiovascular disease including prevalent CHD, stroke, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolic disease

Exposure:
- Cardiac biomarkers: hs-cTnT, NT-proBNP

Outcomes:
Primary outcome (as a composite and individual types of gastrointestinal, intracranial, and retroperitoneal):
- Incidence of all-cause hospitalization for spontaneous bleeding defined as ICD-9 code: gastrointestinal bleeding (see supplemental table 1): 532.xx, 531.xx, 535.01, 534.xx, 533.xx, 535.31, 537.83, 535.11, 532.xx, 531.xx, 534.xx, 535.61, 533.xx, 537.84, 530.82, 456.0, 456.20,
- Intracranial hemorrhage: 431.0 (intracerebral hemorrhage), 430.0 (subarachnoid hemorrhage), 432.1 (subdural hematoma)
  - To be consistent with other bleeding events, we will primarily analyze ICD-based events, but, as a sensitivity analysis, we will explore adjudicated hemorrhagic stroke events as well.
- retroperitoneal hemorrhage (793.6, 459.0)
- blood transfusion reported diagnosis (V58.2) not related to hemolytic anemia or hemoglobinopathy (282.xx, 283.xx) neoplasm (140.xx-239.xx)

**Secondary outcome:**
- We will also explore outpatient bleeding diagnosis using the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) data.

**Other variables of interest:**
- Age
- Race
- Gender
- Body mass index (BMI)
- Blood pressure (systolic and diastolic)
- Smoking status
- Alcohol consumption
- Education level from visit 1
- Kidney function measures:
  - GFR as estimated by CKD-EPI equation using serum creatinine
  - Urinary ACR (visit 4)
- Liver enzymes (only at visit 4)
- Lipids
- Hemoglobin (mainly visit 2 as only two field centers measured hemoglobin at visit 4)
- Medication use at baseline and as a time varying covariate until the primary outcome:
  - Aspirin
  - Antiplatelet (non aspirin)
  - Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS)
  - Coumadin
  - Steroids
  - Proton pump inhibitor (PPI)
  - Histamine 2-receptor antagonists (H2 blocker)
  - Antihypertensive medication
  - Antidiabetic medication
  - Lipid lowering therapy
- Medical history:
  - Diabetes mellitus (DM)
  - Hypertension
  - Cancer
  - Liver disease
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

Statistical analysis plan: (See Figure 1 showing the design of main analysis and three sensitivity analyses regarding to how to deal with incident CVD cases)
- Baseline characteristics will be compared across categories of hs-cTnT (e.g., <0.005 ng/mL, 0.005-0.013 ng/mL, ≥0.014 ng/mL) using chi-square tests and analysis of variance.
- We will estimate incidence rates of bleeding events and corresponding 95% confidence intervals using Poisson regression models.
- We will estimate hazard ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals using Cox proportional hazards models.
- The models will be adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, educational level, lipid levels, aspirin use, antiplatelet use, steroid use, PPI use, H2 blocker use, NSAID use, history of hypertension, diabetes, cancer, liver disease, COPD, eGFR, hemoglobin, and each of cardiac biomarker, as appropriate. Medications will be assessed as time varying covariates at baseline until the occurrence of the outcome.
- We will test that proportional hazards assumption has been met by visualizing the log Nelson-Aalen cumulative hazard plot.
- When we use visit 4 data, we will additionally account for liver enzymes and ACR.
- Sensitivity analyses:
  - Subgroup analysis by sex (men vs. women), age (<60 vs. ≥60 years), race (black vs. white), DM (yes vs. no), kidney dysfunction (yes vs. no), obesity (yes vs. no), anticoagulation therapy (yes vs. no), antiplatelet therapy (yes vs. no), PPI and H2 blocker (yes vs. no).
  - We will deal with incident CVD cases (i.e., myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, venous thromboembolic disease [VTE]) in three ways: 1. Adjusting for these cases as a time-varying covariate, 2. Censoring at the time of incident CVD events, and 3. Looking specifically at individuals who developed these CVDs, clinical populations likely to be on antiplatelet or anticoagulation therapy (see Figure 1 below).
  - We will explore whether the analysis of outpatient bleeding diagnosis using CMS data provide different results or not.
Figure 1: Schematic of the study methods

Limitations:
- Misclassification of the outcome due to reliance of ICD-9 codes
- Mild cases of bleeding not requiring hospitalization may not be captured
- Residual confounding
- Medical conditions associated with bleeding that are not captured at follow up including liver disease
- Anticoagulant and antiplatelet information collected at discrete time points at study visits and doesn’t take into account changes in medications or changes in anticoagulation levels
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3. Timeline:

Once the data is obtained, data analysis and manuscript preparation will be done in the next 6 months.

4. Rationale:

Background: Incidence of major bleeding requiring hospitalization are associated with excess medical expenditure and poor prognosis, particularly in people with cardiovascular disease. A cornerstone of cardiovascular disease prevention and treatment is with antiplatelet and anticoagulation therapy, which predisposes individuals to bleeding and precludes
continuation of evidence-based therapy. For example, in individuals at high risk of
atherosclerotic disease or with established ischemic heart disease, bleeding often results in
cessation of antiplatelet therapy and a corresponding increased risk of thrombotic events.2
Similarly, bleeding results in interruption of anticoagulation therapy and increased risk of
thromboembolic events in individuals with atrial fibrillation (AF).

Therefore, factors that can predict bleeding risk especially in the context of prevention and
management of cardiovascular disease are important and may help clinicians to identify persons
at high risk of bleeding and guide clinical management. In this context, several predictors of
bleeding have been reported including older age, female gender, chronic kidney disease,2-5 liver
disease, prior stroke, bleeding history, and alcohol use.6,7 Of interest, a small clinical study
reported a positive association between cardiac troponin (cTn) elevation and re-bleeding in
patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding in 2008.8 Subsequently, a few large trials (e.g.,
ARISTOTLE and RE-LY) observed that high-sensitivity troponin (hs-cTn) is independently
associated with incident major bleeding in individuals with atrial fibrillation on anticoagulation
therapy.7,9-11 However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have explored whether hs-cTnT
is prospectively associated with bleeding events in the general population.

Therefore, we will investigate if baseline elevation in levels of hs-cTnT can predict future
bleeding events among individuals in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study.
We will also evaluate whether this association is unique to hs-cTn or associated with elevations
in NT-proBNP, a marker of cardiac overload and thus elevated venous pressure. This
comparison is important since both ARISTOTLE and RE-LY reported a significant association
of major bleeding with hs-cTn but not NT-proBNP.7,10

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Do elevated cardiac biomarkers predict increased risk of major bleeding complications in the
general population?

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of
interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis,
and any anticipated methodological limitations or challenges if present).

Study design:
- Prospective cohort analysis

Inclusion criteria:
- All ARIC study participants who had cTnT and NT-proBNP measured at visits 2 and/or 4

Exclusion criteria:
- Study participants with prior bleeding
- Study participants with prevalent cardiovascular disease including prevalent CHD, stroke, and
  heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolic disease

Exposure:
Cardiac biomarkers: hs-cTnT, NT-proBNP

Outcomes:

Primary outcome (as a composite and individual types of gastrointestinal, intracranial, and retroperitoneal):

- Incidence of all-cause hospitalization for spontaneous bleeding defined as ICD-9 code:
  - gastrointestinal bleeding (see supplemental table 1): 532.xx, 531.xx, 535.01, 534.xx, 533.xx, 535.31, 537.83, 535.11, 532.xx, 534.xx, 535.61, 533.xx, 537.84, 530.82, 456.0, 456.20, 535.21, 530.7, 578.0, 535.41, 530.21, 535.51, 569.85, 569.86, 562.13, 562.03, 562.12, 562.02, 557.0, 569.3, 578.9, 792.1, 578.1)
- Intracranial hemorrhage: 431.0 (intracerebral hemorrhage), 430.0 (subarachnoid hemorrhage), 432.1 (subdural hematoma)
  - To be consistent with other bleeding events, we will primarily analyze ICD-based events, but, as a sensitivity analysis, we will explore adjudicated hemorrhagic stroke events as well.
- retroperitoneal hemorrhage (793.6, 459.0)
- blood transfusion reported diagnosis (V58.2) not related to hemolytic anemia or hemoglobinopathy (282.xx, 283.xx) neoplasm (140.xx-239.xx)

Secondary outcome:

- We will also explore outpatient bleeding diagnosis using the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) data. Specific bleeding complications: Gastrointestinal, intracranial, and retroperitoneal

Other variables of interest:

- Age
- Race
- Gender
- Body mass index (BMI)
- Blood pressure (systolic and diastolic)
- Smoking status
- Alcohol consumption
- Education level from visit 1
- Kidney function measures:
  - GFR as estimated by CKD-EPI equation using serum creatinine
  - Urinary ACR (visit 4)
- Liver enzymes (only at visit 4)
- Lipids
- Hemoglobin (mainly visit 2 as only two field centers measured hemoglobin at visit 4)
- Medication use at baseline and as a time varying covariate until the primary outcome:
  - Aspirin
  - Antiplatelet (non aspirin)
  - Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS)
  - Coumadin
  - Steroids
  - Proton pump inhibitor (PPI)
- Histamine 2-receptor antagonists (H2 blocker)
- Antihypertensive medication
- Antidiabetic medication
- Lipid lowering therapy
- Medical history:
  - Diabetes mellitus (DM)
  - Hypertension
  - Cancer
  - Liver disease
  - Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

Statistical analysis plan: (See Figure 1 showing the design of main analysis and three sensitivity analyses regarding to how to deal with incident CVD cases)

- Baseline characteristics will be compared across categories of hs-cTnT (e.g., <0.005 ng/mL, 0.005-0.013 ng/mL, ≥0.014 ng/mL) using chi-square tests and analysis of variance.
- We will estimate incidence rates of bleeding events and corresponding 95% confidence intervals using Poisson regression models.
- We will estimate hazard ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals using Cox proportional hazards models.
- The models will be adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, educational level, lipid levels, aspirin use, antiplatelet use, steroid use, PPI use, H2 blocker use, NSAID use, history of hypertension, diabetes, cancer, liver disease, COPD, eGFR, hemoglobin, and each of cardiac biomarker, as appropriate. Medications will be assessed as time varying covariates at baseline until the occurrence of the outcome.
- We will test that proportional hazards assumption has been met by visualizing the log Nelson-Aalen cumulative hazard plot.
- When we use visit 4 data, we will additionally account for liver enzymes and ACR.

- Sensitivity analyses:
  - Subgroup analysis by sex (men vs. women), age (<60 vs. ≥60 years), race (black vs. white), DM (yes vs. no), kidney dysfunction (yes vs. no), obesity (yes vs. no), antiocoagulation therapy (yes vs. no), antiplatelet therapy (yes vs. no), PPI and H2 blocker (yes vs. no).
  - We will analyze primary discharge diagnosis for bleeding as an outcome.
  - We will deal with incident CVD cases (i.e., myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, atrial fibrillation venous thromboembolic disease [VTE]) in three ways: 1. Adjusting for these cases as a time-varying covariate, 2. Censoring at the time of incident CVD events, and 3. Looking specifically at individuals who developed these CVDs. We will also repeat the analysis among those who developed myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure and venous thromboembolic disease (VTE) during follow-up, clinical populations likely to be on antiplatelet or anticoagulation therapy (see Figure 1 below).
  - We will explore whether the additional analysis of outpatient bleeding diagnosis using CMS data provide different results or not.
Figure 1: Schematic of the study methods

Limitations:
- Misclassification of the outcome due to reliance of ICD-9 codes
- Mild cases of bleeding not requiring hospitalization may not be captured
- Residual confounding
- Medical conditions associated with bleeding that are not captured at follow up including liver disease
- Anticoagulant and antiplatelet information collected at discrete time points at study visits and doesn’t take into account changes in medications or changes in anticoagulation levels
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