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3. Timeline: An initial analysis will be prepared for the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions (SCAI) conference, which has a March 8th abstract deadline for Late-Breaking science. Following that, a more extensive analysis and manuscript will be drafted. We anticipate completing this project within 1 year of the proposal approval.
4. Rationale:

Previous research has demonstrated the efficacy of invasive procedures for the management of patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). Reductions in NSTEMI mortality over the years have largely been attributed to standardization and improvement in revascularization techniques. However, the optimal timing for NSTEMI revascularization remains uncertain, as studies continue to show conflicting evidence. Currently, the 2014 ACC/AHA guidelines for NSTEMI management recommend early revascularization for initially stabilized patients with high risk of clinical events (Class I, Level of Evidence B). Patients with high risk of clinical events may be identified by various risk scores (TIMI score, Grace scale, Killip class), which incorporate data from the clinical history, physical exam, ECG, renal function, and troponin labs. Aside from patients identified with high risk, the guidelines offer no suggestions for optimal NSTEMI revascularization time.

The ARIC Community Surveillance Study is well suited for the analysis of NSTEMI revascularization trends and outcomes, as well as the impact of early (<24 hours after event onset) vs. late revascularization on mortality outcomes.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Revascularization vs. No Revascularization
1. What are the clinical and demographic predictors of NSTEMI revascularizations? Potential predictors may be age, race, sex, geographic location, insurance status, year of hospitalization, laboratory values, and comorbid conditions.
2. How have annual trends in NSTEMI revascularizations changed from 1987 to 2013? In particular, do annual trends in NSTEMI angiography utilization and revascularization differ by race? Do annual trends in angiography utilization and revascularization differ by race for patients classified with STEMI?
3. What is the impact of revascularization (angioplasty, atherectomy, CABG, thrombolysis) on in-hospital, 30-day, and 1-year mortality in patients hospitalized with definite/probable NSTEMI?

Early vs. Late Revascularization Time
4. What are the clinical and demographic predictors of early and late revascularizations? Potential predictors may be age, race, sex, geographic location, insurance status, year of hospitalization, laboratory values, comorbid conditions, weekday vs. weekend admission, and presentation time to the hospital after event onset.
5. Has the proportion of patients receiving early revascularization (<24 hours after event onset) changed from 2000 to 2015?
6. Among the subset of NSTEMI patients undergoing revascularization, is early (<24 hours after event onset) vs. late (>24 hours) revascularization associated with fewer in-hospital, 30-day, and 1-year deaths?
7. If so, do associations between mortality outcomes and early vs. late revascularization remain significant, after adjusting for time from event onset to hospital admission?
6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

This analysis will be based on hospitalized patients sampled by the ARIC Community Surveillance during the “troponin era” (2000-2015). Our study population will be limited to patients classified with definite or probable NSTEMI. Statistical analyses will be weighted by the inverse of the sampling probability.

**Aim 1**
- Associations between revascularization and in-hospital, 30-day, and 1-year mortality will be analyzed using Cox regression. Multiple models will be constructed, with adjustment for potential confounders and assessment of statistical interaction.
- Clinical and demographic predictors of revascularization will be modeled by logistic regression with backward elimination.
- Revascularizations by calendar year will be plotted visually. If plots are monotonic and linear, annual trends in revascularization will be assessed by Pearson correlation.

**Aim 2**
- Among the subset of patients undergoing revascularization, hazard ratios of in-hospital, 30-day, and 1-year mortality will be analyzed, using Cox regression with early revascularization (<24 hours) contrasted with late revascularization. Multiple models will be constructed, with adjustment for potential confounders and assessment of statistical interaction.
- Clinical and demographic predictors of early revascularization will be modeled by logistic regression with backward elimination.
- The proportion of patients receiving early revascularization by calendar year will be plotted visually. If plots are monotonic and linear, annual trends in revascularization will be assessed by Pearson correlation.

**Sensitivity Analysis**
We will also consider the effect of early vs. late revascularization in a propensity matched analysis. Propensity scores will be derived by a predictive model for early revascularization, based on the subset of patients undergoing revascularization. Patients with and without early revascularization will be matched by their propensity scores for this analysis.

**Limitations and challenges:**
- Although the exact timing of hospital admission was recorded in the dataset, the exact timing of reperfusion/revascularization does not appear to be. For this reason, we will most likely rely on the yes/no variable for reperfusion <24 hours (HRAA26A). We could use the estimated time from event onset to reperfusion (HRAA26B), but these categories only go up to 8 hours, and many NSTEMI patients presented to the hospital later than 8 hours after event onset.
A strong potential confounder of the early revascularization benefit may be presentation time to the hospital after event onset. In other words, early revascularization may simply be a function of early presentation to the hospital. The analysis of early vs. late revascularization could also be impacted by selective survival, because patients presenting late to the hospital would necessarily have survived up until that point, and may have a less severe case than those presenting earlier to the hospital. Finally, treatment effects in observational studies are susceptible to confounding by indication, and patients with more severe cases may need to be intervened upon sooner. However, identification of high risk patients by the TIMI score, Grace scale, or Killip class may be difficult, because the inputs for these risk scores are limited by availability in the medical record and the abstraction priority.

To overcome these challenges, we will adjust for time to presentation, as well as comorbid conditions and hospital values (laboratories, blood pressure, etc) which may suggest more severe cases. We will also conduct a propensity matched analysis of patients undergoing early vs. late presentation. However, an important limitation of the propensity matched analysis is that there is really no valid way to include the sampling weights in the propensity score weights.
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