RESPONSE TO COMMENTS:

1. At least some of us felt that it would be useful to choose a primary focus for this paper. Other analyses could be secondary. The rationale is that for many analyses the confounding by indication will be substantial since the indications for the drugs relate to risk of bleeding. A focused analysis on the most promising one or two pairs of medications and outcomes may produce a stronger paper.

We agree that the scope of our initial proposal was not focused enough. As such, we have honed down our aims to looking specifically at the associations between the three groups of drugs and intracranial bleeding. We hope to mitigate the confounding by indication to some extent with the propensity score.

2. It is also true that ICH and image ascertained micro-bleeds are quite different and may lead to different design considerations.

Absolutely. This is why we have designated a Cox proportional hazard analysis to the ICH which were diagnosed extemporaneously and elected to analyze the cross-sectional micro-bleeds by logistic regression.

3. I was also concerned about the strong emphasis and wording of “prediction”. I’d be surprised if the absolute risks were so high that one could “predict” that someone will have an ICH. I understand the difference between having a meaningful prediction and use of “prediction statistics”. I tend to think that evaluating risks and associations may be more of what is possible.

We concur that the word prediction is an overly ambitious and not likely feasible in this research format. Thus, we have replaced it with when words such as risk and association are more appropriate.
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3. Timeline:
We anticipate completion of analysis and preparation of results after 12 months.

4. Rationale:
Many patients are prescribed a statin (simvastatin, pravastatin, lovastatin, rosuvastatin, atorvastatin), an antiplatelet medication (aspirin, clopidogrel, dipyridamole), an anticoagulant (warfarin, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban), or a combination of these, for a variety of indications such as cardiac (e.g. coronary artery disease), cerebral (e.g. stroke prevention), and systemic (e.g. deep venous thrombosis). There are generally clear guidelines about when to start these medications for most common indications such as hyperlipidemia and the ASCVD risk estimator for statins or the CHADS2VASC score and atrial fibrillation. However, what is less well understood is whether the long-term risks of hemorrhagic complications from these medications, particularly intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) and cerebral microbleeds (CMB), are the same in all individuals.

Cerebral microbleeds are defined as hemorrhages <5mm in size and located near vessels affected by hypertensive arteriopathy or cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA). Though these may be individually subclinical, their accumulation can lead to cognitive decline. CAA occurs in nearly half of the elderly population and in up to 80-90% in patients with Alzheimer’s. Among patients with a diagnosis of CAA and greater CMB count, there is a 5.27-fold increased hazard of ICH. Therefore, it is critical to assess the risk of developing CAA and ICH in patients who are exposed to possible risk factors such as the medications of interest.

The mechanism of possible risk of intracranial hemorrhage in patients on an antiplatelet or anticoagulant therapy is intuitive since these medications are designed to target receptors resulting in platelet dysfunction and clotting inhibition. With regards to statins, lower cholesterol levels have been shown in prior epidemiologic studies to be associated with intracranial hemorrhage. Furthermore, the SPARCL trial demonstrated a higher risk of hemorrhagic stroke among patients who received atorvastatin for secondary stroke prevention compared to patients who did not receive this medication. Thus, these medications which are routinely prescribed may be increasing the risk of intracranial hemorrhage in certain patients.

There are a number of models in the literature that predict the risk of hemorrhage, including the HAS-BLED scores; these scores use parameters such as hypertension, renal disease, liver disease, stroke history, prior major bleed, age, medications, and alcohol usage to determine an individual’s risk of hemorrhage. However, these scores address the risk of any type of bleed, not specifically ICH, nor do they evaluate whether these factors are particularly important in increasing hemorrhage risk on individuals on statins, antiplatelet or anticoagulant medications. The Hemorrhage Risk Stratification score is an online application which determines the risk of hemorrhagic transformation of an acute ischemic stroke in patients with an indication for anticoagulation based on age, stroke volume, and renal function. This model only included patients with acute ischemic infarcts and evaluated the risk of hemorrhagic conversion.

With regards to cerebral microhemorrhages, there have been no studies dedicated to determining the relationship between statin use and CMB. A small, single-center prospective cohort study showed that recurrent lobar hemorrhages were associated with aspirin usage. The Rotterdam Scan Study was a cross-sectional study of an elderly population free of dementia in the Netherlands which demonstrated patients antiplatelet use was more prevalent in patients with cerebral microbleeds, but no association between anticoagulant use and cerebral microhemorrhages. There is a need to clarify the risk of use of these medications and CMB.
There has been a national emphasis on focusing research about clinical management to precision, or personalized medicine. One individual on an anticoagulant may have an ICH whereas another similarly aged person may not. Understanding how various factors in that individual’s medical history, genetic makeup, and environment/behavior might influence that risk can ultimately help guide clinical decision-making. Thus, there is a practical need for a model derived from a large, longitudinal cohort which takes into account clinical characteristics, cognitive performance, laboratory data, and relevant genetic polymorphisms to identify the long-term risk of ICH in patients taking a statin, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant medication.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

a.) Users of statins, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant medication will have increased odds of developing symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage after taking into account confounders of medication use such as demographics, clinical information, and serologic data available to prescribers. The effect of medications on ICH risk will be modified by polymorphisms of APOE A112 and A158, apolipoprotein A1 levels, and race.

b.) A secondary hypothesis will explore the same question, with an individual’s risk of CMB as the outcome. We hypothesize that the odds of CMB presence by brain MRI will be higher among patients taking statins, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant medications even after taking into account confounders of medication use such as demographics, clinical information, and serologic data available to prescribers. The effect of medications on the odds of CMB presence will be modified by genetic polymorphisms of APOE A112 and A158, race, apolipoprotein A1 levels, degree of subcortical white matter hyperintensity on brain MRI, and race.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Baseline Characteristics, Exposures, and Outcomes of Interest:
We will attempt to describe the cohort by analyzing variables such as age, gender, race, smoking history, history of hypertension, history of hyperlipidemia, history of diabetes, history of coronary artery disease, history of heart failure, history of atrial fibrillation, creatinine, history of gastrointestinal bleed per hospital discharge ICD-9 codes, NSAID use, self-reported strokes through visit 5, and any incidence of adjudicated ischemic stroke. There are laboratory data available in ARIC visit 1 which we will account for including hemoglobin, prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and platelet count which will be relevant. There are also other markers associated with intracranial hemorrhage in the literature which are available in the study data including apolipoprotein A1, APOE A112, and A158 which may modify the effects of the medications of interest on intracranial bleeding.

Using these data, we will construct a propensity score to account for confounders that may result in selection bias towards exposure to medication, particularly confounding by indication, without compromising statistical power. The propensity score will allow us to examine whether the treated and untreated groups are fully balanced in terms of possible confounders to reduce selection bias and prevent the inflation of increase type II error from modeling too many
variables. The propensity score will include the following variables that may be available to a clinician prior to initiation of a medication: age, gender, race, smoking history, history of hypertension, history of hyperlipidemia, history of diabetes, history of coronary artery disease, history of heart failure, history of atrial fibrillation, creatinine, self-reported history of stroke, the occurrence of ischemic stroke during the study, any incidence of gastrointestinal bleed per hospital discharge ICD-9 codes, NSAID use, and markers of coagulopathy including hemoglobin, prothrombin time, aPTT, and platelet count.

We will consider APOE A-112 and 158 status, apolipoprotein A1, and race as effect modifiers for the analyses in hypotheses a and b (clinical ICH and cerebral microbleeds). We will add the covariate of degree of subcortical white matter hyperintensities on MRI as an additional effect modifier when analyzing patients with and without CMB. We will also explore time-varying covariates and covariate status closer in proximity to the event, either ICH or MRI signaling of CMB.

The exposures of this study will be medications of interest. These are statins (simvastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin, rosuvastatin, atorvastatin), antiplatelet (aspirin, clopidogrel, dipyramidole) and/or anticoagulant (warfarin, low molecular weight heparin, fondaparinux, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban (we anticipate minimal to no use of these newer anticoagulants). Medication usage will be reviewed from each visit, through any incident ICH for the ICH analysis, and through and including visit 5, for the microbleed analysis. We will also use the annual follow-up phone call data to update the use of these three drugs for a time-varying analysis.

There are approximately 150 patients in ARIC with adjudicated ICH as of the end of 2012. In the subset of participants from ARIC-NCS with 3T brain MRI (1958 patients), T2* gradient echo imaging was performed. These have been rated and reviewed to determine the presence, location, and number of hemosiderin deposits (CMBs) in the brain. About one-third of these patients had CMBs.

**Design and Analysis:** The analysis will include all participants in the ARIC study with available data from at least one visit on medication use, although we will also explore antiplatelet or anticoagulant use as a time-varying exposure in those participants (the majority) with medication information from most if not all visits. All statistical analyses will be performed in SAS 9.3. Participants will be considered by exposure to these medications of interest, defined as using statins, antiplatelets, or anticoagulants at any point during followup from ARIC visit 1. Outcome will be evaluated as time-to-event through an adjudicated ICH (at any point in followup) or as a binary event as a CMB (from 2011-2013 MRI). Univariate analyses will be performed to outline the baseline characteristics of the cohort. If sample size allows, we will stratify patients into groups defined by medication type.

**Creation of risk score/propensity score:** We will construct a propensity score to predict the odds of exposure to the medications of interest using multivariate logistic regressions. The potential independent variables as described above will be entered to in propensity score estimation, including quadratic and interaction terms via a forward selection approach. The variables which meet significance at $p < 0.05$ will be retained. The propensity score or the predicted probability that each patient will be exposed to the medications of interest will be calculated. Next, we will confirm balance of the sample among medication users and non-users using propensity score
stratification. Then, the predicted propensity score values will be binned into quintiles for use for the primary analyses.

**Analysis of ICH Outcome:** The primary analysis will evaluate in separate models for each medication category as well as in a model where use of any of these medications is combined into a single variable (any medication usage in this group versus no medication usage in this group), the independent medication effect with and without adjustment by propensity score quintile. We will also determine whether this effect is modified by APOE A-112 and 158 status, apolipoprotein A1, and race, by evaluating medication use X these potential effect modifiers, and will consider stratified models as well. We will perform a similar analysis with cumulative years of usage of medications of interest (medication-years) as the exposure of interest. We also plan to use annual follow-up phone call data to update the three drugs for time-varying analysis. For hypothesis 1, where the outcome of interest is any ICH, we will build a Cox proportional hazard regression model to calculate the hazard rate of an ICH.

**Analysis of Cerebral Microbleed Outcome:** Given that MRIs were only performed during one cross-sectional time period, 2011-2013, for hypothesis 2, a logistic regression will be used to determine the risk of the presence of CMB, with evaluation of the primary effect of statin/antiplatelet/anticoagulant use with and without adjustment by propensity score quintile. We will determine whether the effect is modified by APOE A-112 and 158 status, apolipoprotein, race, and burden of white matter hyperintensities, which is already measured and which we will dichotomize at its median for purposes of evaluating effect modification. Also, a similar analysis will be performed with the cumulative use of statin/antiplatelet/anticoagulant use (medication-years) as the exposure instead. The association between the number of CMBs and the proposed independent variables will be evaluated in an ordinal logistic regression.

**Limitations:** This will not be a randomized controlled study, thus precluding the ability to determine causality. There will not be any pathologic correlate with the radiographic findings of cerebral microbleeds in the dataset. We also acknowledge that power will be limited given the relatively small number of intracranial hemorrhages, particularly for the effect modification aims. We have chosen to use a propensity score in order to minimize concerns about inadequate power with many covariates and confounding by indication of medication use, but acknowledge that residual confounding by indication is still plausible. Finally, it would be ideal to measure the risk of intracranial hemorrhage in patients with existing and recognizable CMB taking a medication of interest, but we only have one time point thus far which includes MRI with GRE sequencing.
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3. **Timeline:**
We anticipate completion of analysis and preparation of results after 12 months.

4. **Rationale:**

Many patients are prescribed a statin (simvastatin, pravastatin, lovastatin, rosvastatin, atorvastatin), an antiplatelet medication (aspirin, clopidogrel, dipyridamole), an anticoagulant (warfarin, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban), or a combination of these, for a variety of indications such as cardiac (e.g. coronary artery disease), cerebral (e.g. stroke prevention), and systemic (e.g. deep venous thrombosis). There are generally clear guidelines about when to start these medications for most common indications such as **hyperlipidemia and the ASCVD risk estimator for statins** or the CHADS2VASC score and atrial fibrillation. However, what is less well understood is whether the long-term risks of hemorrhagic complications from these medications, particularly intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) and cerebral microbleeds (CMB), are the same in all individuals.

Cerebral microbleeds are defined as hemorrhages <5mm in size and located near vessels affected by hypertensive arteriopathy or cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA). Though these may be individually subclinical, their accumulation can lead to cognitive decline. CAA occurs in nearly half of the elderly population and in up to 80-90% in patients with Alzheimer’s. Among patients with a diagnosis of CAA and greater CMB count, there is a 5.27-fold increased hazard of ICH. Therefore, it is critical to assess the risk of developing CAA and ICH in patients who are exposed to possible risk factors such as the medications of interest.

The mechanism of possible risk of intracranial hemorrhage in patients on an antiplatelet or anticoagulant therapy is intuitive since these medications are designed to target receptors resulting in platelet dysfunction and clotting inhibition. With regards to statins, lower cholesterol levels have been shown in prior epidemiologic studies to be associated with intracranial hemorrhage. Furthermore, the SPARCL trial demonstrated a higher risk of hemorrhagic stroke among patients who received atorvastatin for secondary stroke prevention compared to patients who did not receive this medication. Thus, these medications which are routinely prescribed may be increasing the risk of intracranial hemorrhage in certain patients.

There are a number of models in the literature that predict the risk of hemorrhage, including the HAS-BLED, ATRIA, and HEMORR2HAGES scores; these scores use parameters such as hypertension, renal disease, liver disease, stroke history, prior major bleed, age, medications, and alcohol usage to determine an individual’s risk of hemorrhage. However, these scores address the risk of any type of bleed, not specifically ICH, nor do they evaluate whether these factors are particularly important in increasing hemorrhage risk on individuals on statins, antiplatelet or anticoagulant medications. The Hemorrhage Risk Stratification score is an online application which determines the risk of hemorrhagic transformation of an acute ischemic stroke in patients with an indication for anticoagulation based on age, stroke volume, and renal function. This model only included patients with acute ischemic infarcts and evaluated the risk of hemorrhagic conversion.
With regards to cerebral microhemorrhages, there have been no studies dedicated to determining the relationship between statin use and CMB. A small, single-center prospective cohort study showed that recurrent lobar hemorrhages were associated with aspirin usage. The Rotterdam Scan Study was a cross-sectional study of an elderly population free of dementia in the Netherlands which demonstrated patients antiplatelet use was more prevalent in patients with cerebral microbleeds, but no association between anticoagulant use and cerebral microhemorrhages. There is a need to clarify the risk of use of these medications and CMB.

There has been a national emphasis on focusing research about clinical management to precision, or personalized medicine. One individual on an anticoagulant may have an ICH whereas another similarly aged person may not. Understanding how various factors in that individual’s medical history, genetic makeup, and environment/behavior might influence that risk can ultimately help guide clinical decision-making. Thus, there is a practical need for a model derived from a large, longitudinal cohort which takes into account clinical characteristics, cognitive performance, laboratory data, and relevant genetic polymorphisms to identify the long-term risk of ICH in patients taking a certain statin, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant medication, predict the long-term risk of ICH in patients taking a certain statin, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant such that the predicted risk may inform decision making at the individual level.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

a.) **Users of statins, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant medication will have increased odds of developing symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage after taking into account confounders of medication use such as demographics, clinical information, and serologic data available to prescribers. The effect of medications on ICH risk will be modified by polymorphisms of APOE A112 and A158, apolipoprotein A1 levels, and race.**

Our primary hypothesis is that risk of ICH will be increased by use of statins, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant medication compared to those taking neither, and that the risk of ICH from these medications can be predicted by a risk score (propensity score) combining demographic, clinical, genetic, serologic, and cognitive data.

b.) A secondary hypothesis will explore the same question, with an individual’s risk of CMB as the outcome. **We hypothesize that the odds of CMB presence by brain MRI will be higher among patients taking statins, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant medications even after taking into account confounders of medication use such as demographics, clinical information, and serologic data available to prescribers. The effect of medications on the odds of CMB presence will be modified by genetic polymorphisms of APOE A112 and A158, race, apolipoprotein A1 levels, degree of subcortical white matter hyperintensity on brain MRI, and race. We hypothesize that risk of CMB will be increased in participants taking any statins, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant, and that the risk of CMB will be predicted by a risk score combining demographic, clinical, genetic, serologic, cognitive, and imaging markers. We also hypothesize that the number of CMBs will be strongly associated with the same factors in the presence of statins, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant use.**
6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Baseline Characteristics, Exposures, and Outcomes of Interest:
We will attempt to describe the cohort by analyzing variables such as age, gender, race, smoking history, history of hypertension, history of hyperlipidemia, history of diabetes, history of coronary artery disease, history of heart failure, history of atrial fibrillation, creatinine, history of gastrointestinal bleed per hospital discharge ICD-9 codes, NSAID use, self-reported strokes through visit 5, and any incidence of adjudicated ischemic stroke. There are laboratory data available in ARIC visit 1 which we will account for including hemoglobin, prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and platelet count which will be relevant. There are also other markers associated with intracranial hemorrhage in the literature which are available in the study data including apolipoproteinA1, APOE A112, and A158 which may modify the effects of the medications of interest on intracranial bleeding.

Using these data, we will construct a propensity score to account for confounders that may result in selection bias towards exposure to medication, particularly confounding by indication, without compromising statistical power. The propensity score will allow us to examine whether the treated and untreated groups are fully balanced in terms of possible confounders to reduce selection bias and prevent the inflation of increase type II error from modeling too many variables. The propensity score will include the following variables that may be available to a clinician prior to initiation of a medication: age, gender, race, smoking history, history of hypertension, history of hyperlipidemia, history of diabetes, history of coronary artery disease, history of heart failure, history of atrial fibrillation, creatinine, self-reported history of stroke, the occurrence of ischemic stroke during the study, any incidence of gastrointestinal bleed per hospital discharge ICD-9 codes, NSAID use, and markers of coagulopathy including hemoglobin, prothrombin time, aPTT, and platelet count.

We will include consider APOE A-112 and 158 status, apolipoprotein A1, and race as effect modifiers when analyzing the cohort with and without ICH for the analyses in hypotheses a and b (clinical ICH and cerebral microbleeds). We will add the covariate of degree of subcortical white matter hyperintensities on MRI as an additional effect modifier when analyzing patients with and without CMB. Clinical, laboratory, and genetic from ARIC will be combined in a model to evaluate risk of hemorrhage among individuals on statin, antiplatelet, or anticoagulant medications. Clinical data from the baseline visit (visit 1) that we plan to use to control for their potential confounding effects will include age, gender, race, smoking history, history of hypertension, history of hyperlipidemia, history of diabetes, history of coronary artery disease, history of atrial fibrillation, GFR, prior adjudicated ischemic stroke, and prior intracranial hemorrhage. Cognitive evaluations were conducted as part of ARIC visit 2, and we will use global Z-scores as a covariate. There are laboratory data available in
ARIC visit 1 which we will account for including hemoglobin, prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and platelet count which will be relevant. There are also other markers of coagulopathy in the database including plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 associated with fibrinolysis, platelet glycoproteins, and homocysteine. Finally, we plan to evaluate the following genes: beta amyloid precursor protein APP gene (associated with familial cerebral amyloid angiopathy), APOE ε2 and ε4 (associated with sporadic cerebral amyloid angiopathy), Notch3 (associated with CADASIL), COL4A1 (encodes type IV collagen of blood vessels), CYP9A3, CYP2C9 (warfarin metabolism), VKORC1 (vitamin K-epoxide reductase complex subunit-1), CYP2C19 (clopidogrel metabolism), P2Y1 (aspirin resistance), P2Y12 (clopidogrel resistance), LIMK1 (associated with ICH), and CYP3A4 (associated with subarachnoid hemorrhage) as these have been correlated with bleeding risk in patients who are on antiplatelets or anticoagulants in the literature.

We will also explore time-varying covariates and covariate status closer in proximity to the event, either ICH or MRI signaling of CMB.

The exposures of this study will be medications of interest. These are statins (simvastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin, rosuvastatin, atorvastatin), antiplatelet (aspirin, clopidogrel, dipyramidole) and/or anticoagulant (warfarin, low molecular weight heparin, fondaparinux, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban (we anticipate minimal to no use of these newer anticoagulants). Medication usage will be reviewed from each visit, through any incident ICH for the ICH analysis, and through and including visit 5, for the microbleed analysis. We will also use the annual follow-up phone call data to update the use of these three drugs for a time-varying analysis.

There are approximately 150 patients in ARIC with adjudicated ICH as of the end of 2012. In the subset of participants from ARIC-NCS with 3T brain MRI (1958 patients), T2* gradient echo imaging was performed. These have been rated and reviewed to determine the presence, location, and number of hemosiderin deposits (CMBs) in the brain. About one-third of these patients had CMBs.

**Design and Analysis:** The analysis will include all participants in the ARIC study with available data from at least one visit on medication use, although we will also explore antiplatelet or anticoagulant use as a time-varying exposure in those participants (the majority) with medication information from most if not all visits. All statistical analyses will be performed in SAS 9.3. Participants will be considered by exposure to these medications of interest, defined as using statins, antiplatelets, or anticoagulants at any point during followup from ARIC visit 1. Outcome will be evaluated as time-to-event through an adjudicated ICH (at any point in followup) or as a binary event as a CMB (from 2011-2013 MRI). Univariate analyses will be performed to outline the baseline characteristics of the cohort. If sample size allows, we will stratify patients into groups defined by medication type.

**Creation of risk score/propensity score:** We will construct a propensity score to predict the odds of exposure to the medications of interest using multivariate logistic regressions. The potential independent variables as described above will be entered to in propensity score estimation, including quadratic and interaction terms via a forward selection.
approach. The variables which meet significance at \(p < 0.05\) will be retained. The propensity score or the predicted probability that each patient will be exposed to the medications of interest will be calculated. Next, we will confirm balance of the sample among medication users and non-users using propensity score stratification. We will create a logistic regression model evaluating the risk of any ICH, incorporating factors described in previous risk models or otherwise recognized in the literature to increase ICH risk, using a propensity score approach. Clinical, laboratory, and genetic variables will be tested, as described above. The use of medications will not be evaluated at this point. The most parsimonious model will be selected via backward elimination. The overall discriminative capacity of the model will be ascertained by a concordance index capturing the area under the curve of a receiver operating curve, and we will confirm balance of the propensity score model. Then, the predicted propensity score values will be binned into quintiles for use for the primary analyses.

**Analysis of ICH Outcome:** The primary analysis will evaluate in separate models for each medication category as well as in a model where use of any of these medications is combined into a single variable (any medication usage in this group versus no medication usage in this group), the independent medication effect with and without adjustment by propensity score quintile. We will also determine whether this effect is modified by APOE A-112 and 158 status, apolipoprotein A1, and race, by evaluating medication use X these potential effect modifiers, and will consider stratified models as well. We will perform a similar analysis with cumulative years of usage of medications of interest (medication-years) as the exposure of interest. We also plan to use annual follow-up phone call data to update the three drugs for time-varying analysis. For hypothesis 1, where the outcome of interest is any ICH, we will build a Cox proportional hazard regression model to calculate the hazard rate of an ICH.

Because the primary focus of the study is how the above risk score will modify the relationship between statin/antiplatelet/anticoagulant use, each, and ICH (hypothesis 1) and between statin/antiplatelet/anticoagulant use, each, and CMB (hypothesis 2), the primary analyses will evaluate, as independent variables, in separate models for each medication category as well as in a model where use of any of these medications is combined into a single variable (any medication usage in this group versus no medication usage in this group), statin/antiplatelet/anticoagulant use X propensity score quintile interaction terms. If possible, we would also like to use annual follow-up phone call data to update the three drugs for time-varying analysis. For hypothesis 1, where the outcome of interest is any ICH, we will build a Cox proportional hazard regression model to calculate the hazard rate of an ICH. We will also consider creating a propensity score for use of statins and/or anti-platelet or anticoagulant medications which will be incorporated into the final model as an adjustment covariate.

**Analysis of Cerebral Microbleed Outcome:** Given that MRIs were only performed during one cross-sectional time period, 2011-2013, for hypothesis 2, a logistic regression will be used to determine the risk of the presence of CMB, with evaluation of the primary effect of statin/antiplatelet/anticoagulant use with and without adjustment by propensity score quintile. We will determine whether the effect is modified by APOE A-112 and 158 status, apolipoprotein, race, and burden of white matter hyperintensities, which is already measured and which we will dichotomize at its median for purposes of evaluating effect
modification. Also, a similar analysis will be performed with the cumulative use of statin/antiplatelet/anticoagulant use (medication-years) as the exposure instead as the interaction term instead. The association between the number of CMBs and the proposed independent variables will be evaluated in an ordinal logistic regression.

**Limitations:** This will not be a randomized controlled study, thus precluding the ability to determine causality. There will not be any pathologic correlate with the radiographic findings of cerebral microbleeds in the dataset. We also acknowledge that power will be limited given the relatively small number of intracranial hemorrhages, particularly for the effect modification aims. We have chosen to use a propensity score in order to minimize concerns about inadequate power with many covariates and confounding by indication of medication use, but acknowledge that residual confounding by indication is still plausible. We have chosen to do a propensity score in order to minimize concerns about inadequate power with many covariates. Finally, it would be ideal to measure the risk of intracranial hemorrhage in patients with existing and recognizable CMB taking a medication of interest, but we only have one time point thus far which includes MRI with GRE sequencing.

7.a. Will the data be used for non-CVD analysis in this manuscript? ____ Yes ___ No

b. If Yes, is the author aware that the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude persons with a value RES_OTH = “CVD Research” for non-DNA analysis, and for DNA analysis RES_DNA = “CVD Research” would be used? ____ Yes ___ No

(This file ICTDER has been distributed to ARIC PIs, and contains the responses to consent updates related to stored sample use for research.)

8.a. Will the DNA data be used in this manuscript?  
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b. If yes, is the author aware that either DNA data distributed by the Coordinating Center must be used, or the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude those with value RES_DNA = “No use/storage DNA”?  
___x__ Yes _____ No

9. The lead author of this manuscript proposal has reviewed the list of existing ARIC Study manuscript proposals and has found no overlap between this proposal and previously approved manuscript proposals either published or still in active status. ARIC Investigators have access to the publications lists under the Study Members Area of the web site at: [http://www.cscc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php](http://www.cscc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php)  
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12b. The NIH instituted a Public Access Policy in April, 2008 which ensures that the public has access to the published results of NIH funded research. It is your responsibility to upload manuscripts to PUBMED Central whenever the journal does not and be in compliance with this policy. Four files about the public access policy from http://publicaccess.nih.gov/ are posted in http://www.cscc.unc.edu/aric/index.php, under Publications, Policies & Forms. http://publicaccess.nih.gov/submit_process_journals.htm shows you which journals automatically upload articles to Pubmed central.

13. Per Data Use Agreement Addendum for the Use of Linked ARIC CMS Data, approved manuscripts using linked ARIC CMS data shall be submitted by the Coordinating Center to CMS for informational purposes prior to publication. Approved manuscripts should be sent to Pingping Wu at CC, at pingping_wu@unc.edu. I will be using CMS data in my manuscript ____ Yes _X___ No.
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