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3. Timeline:
Start as soon as data becomes available

4. Rationale:
Intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (ICAS) is a common cause of stroke. Approximately 8% of ischemic strokes\textsuperscript{1} and 34% of dementia\textsuperscript{2} are attributed to ICAS in the U.S.
There are few epidemiological studies of ICAS in general populations. While the determinants of ICAS seem to include conventional cardiovascular risk factors including advanced age and hypertension, the association of diabetes mellitus (DM) with ICAS is less well documented. In addition, while the effect of long-term hyperglycemia on microvascular diseases (ex. nephropathy, neuropathy) is established, less well established is the effect on macro-vascular disease such as ICAS.

A recent ARIC study by Selvin and colleagues has shown that higher levels of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), a marker of long-term glucose level, at baseline independently predicted increased risk of incident ischemic stroke among initially non-diabetic persons. The study also suggested that HbA1c in high normal range (6.0 to <6.5%) can identify persons at increased risk for stroke before the diagnosis of diabetes.

In the ARIC study, other glycemic markers such as fructosamine and glycated albumin have been shown to be predictive of diabetes and chronic kidney disease, and more recently with coronary heart disease, ischemic stroke, heart failure and mortality, but their association with intracranial atherosclerosis remains unclear.

These two markers have advantages over HbA1c, such as being unaffected by presence of anemia nor altered red cell turnover, and can be measured in serum or plasma. There is a growing interest in these markers as a complement/alternative to HbA1c given their potential advantages. However, paucity of data showing associations with clinical and subclinical diseases has been a barrier to their use. Therefore, the association between glycemic markers and ICAS warrants further investigation.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:
(1) Primary question: Are glycemic markers measured at visit 5 independently associated with prevalent ICAS? (i.e. cross-sectional association)

(2) Secondary question: Are glycemic markers, specifically HbA1c, fructosamine, and glycated albumin assessed at baseline (visit 2) associated with prevalent ICAS at visit 5 independent of conventional risk factors? (i.e. longitudinal association)

Of note, acknowledging that the possibility of informative censoring may yield biased estimates at some degree, we will carefully evaluate and interpret the results of this secondary analysis including consistency with the published results on the association between the glycemic markers and clinical events.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Study Question
Design: Cross-sectional (primary) and longitudinal (secondary)
Inclusion/exclusion

Inclusion: the participants who had MRA exams with adequate or excellent image quality and protocol adherence scores to measure ICAS in Visit 5 (approximately n=1800, 67-90 years at Visit 5)

Exclusion: Those participants who have missing outcomes and relevant covariates.
- For the cross-sectional analysis: those with treated diabetes or history of stroke at visit 5.
- For the longitudinal analysis: those with treated diabetes or history of stroke at baseline (visit 2).

Measures of exposure
- Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), glycated albumin, and fructosamine (all measured at visits 2 and 5)

Outcome measures (visit 5)
- Primary endpoint
  - Presence of any ICAS in any segment assessed
  - Degree of stenosis based on categorical assessment of ICAS (i.e. categorized as no detectable stenosis, <50%, 51%-70%, 71-99%, and occlusion)
- Secondary endpoints
  - Total number of ICAS in all analyzed segments per participant
  - Presence of significant stenotic lesions (such as ≥51%)

Other covariates
The conventional risk factors we will use for adjustment are primarily those assessed at the visit 5 examination (cross-sectional analysis) and visit 2 examination (for the longitudinal analysis). These include age, sex, race, study center, body mass index (kg/m²), smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, total cholesterol, LDL and HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, blood pressure/hypertension, history of cardiovascular disease (coronary heart disease, heart failure), use of antiplatelet drugs, use of statin and use of antihypertensive medications.

Analytic plan
- We will calculate odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) of prevalence of any ICAS (and that of ICAS ≥51%) by levels of glycemic markers at visit 5 (cross-sectional analysis) or visit 2 (longitudinal analysis) using multivariable logistic regression. Separate models will be run for the cross-sectional and the longitudinal analyses. The exposure variables (glycemic markers) will be treated as categorical variables first to investigate possible non-linear relationship (Given the limited sample size we will consider categorizing either in tertiles/quartiles or using other approaches described below). Then, we will consider modeling as continuous variables or using splines to address potential non-linear relationship as needed.
- We will estimate the strength of the association between ICAS and each explanatory variable, HbA1c, fructosamine, and glycated albumin, separately. In a recent ARIC
study, Selvin and colleagues divided fructosamine and glycated albumin into categories defined by the 70th, 71-96th, and >96th percentiles among those with no DM diagnosis, and these percentiles corresponded to HbA1c categories of <5.7, 5.7 to<6.4, and 6.4+ (%). We plan to use similar strategy or similar absolute cutoff values in categorizing the three markers (recognizing that sample size may be limited for the upper category). In sensitivity analyses, we will further adjust for fasting glucose to investigate if the association of the studied marker is independent of conventional risk factors.

Consideration will be given to run ordinal logistic regressions since the outcome variable can be categorized as ordinal (0= no stenosis, 1=<50%, 2=51%-70%, 3=71-99%, 4=occlusion). To account for the probability sampling of being selected to the brain MRI, we will apply the weights provided by the ARIC Coordinating Center. In addition, we will use inverse probability weighting or multiple imputation to control for potential selection bias due to survival and non-response to visit 5, as recommended by the ARIC-NCS analysis committee.
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