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4. **Rationale:**

The metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of cardiovascular indices that increases risk for coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke and Type 2 diabetes (T2DM)—all highly prevalent diseases among African Americans. MetS classification is currently based on cut-off points for its various components. However, use of cut-offs misses classifying individuals with moderate elevations just below cut-off levels. Additionally, MetS criteria exhibit racial/ethnic differences in their association with disease.

*We have designed a sex- and race/ethnicity-specific continuous MetS severity score that takes into account how the individual components of MetS (elevated BP, low HDL, etc.) cluster and correlate differentially by sex and racial/ethnic group.* Based on these differences the score assigns different weights to each component by sex and race/ethnicity. An individual’s score is calculated from standard clinical measures using equations specific to each sex and racial/ethnic group. This score has potential for clinical application for risk assessment. The score takes into account unique features of MetS seen in African Americans and expresses MetS severity such that it can be compared between individuals and followed over time within an individual. It must be emphasized that while MetS-related studies have been performed in ARIC previously, none have used an ethnicity-specific score to assess MetS severity, such as we propose, giving this manuscript proposal clear novelty.

We propose to use this MetS score to evaluate participants of both ARIC and the Jackson Heart Study, for improved power in our analysis. Our plan is three-fold. 1) We will assess baseline and longitudinal epidemiologic and lifestyle factors likely to affect MetS severity, 2) we will assess baseline MetS severity (using the MetS severity score) between individuals who do/do not progress to develop CHD, stroke, and T2DM, and 3) we will evaluate intra-individual changes in MetS severity leading to diagnosis of CVD-associated diseases. We will report our findings as guidance to clinicians regarding the use of MetS severity as an ominous indicator of future disease—with an ultimate goal of CVD prevention. The aim of the manuscript relates to baseline analysis of risk prediction for incident disease.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

Increasing degree of severity of MetS at baseline (as measured over time by our score) and worsening of MetS severity over time will both be found to be a strong risk factors for future CHD, stroke and T2DM, and will be associated with worsening cardiovascular and T2DM risk markers over time.

6. **Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).**

These analyses involve an epidemiological approach to assessing the ability of MetS (both using our severity score and ATP-III criteria when possible) to predict progression to disease diagnosis and progression of disease-related factors over time. As mentioned previously, we propose to
combine ARIC data with corresponding data from the Jackson Heart Study for improved power in these analyses.

Our approach will include both assessments of potentially relevant psychosocial factors and assessment of relationships between prevalent and future disease (CHD, stroke, any CVD, and T2DM) and MetS severity over time. Univariate cross-sectional associations at baseline between psychosocial predictors and MetS severity, as measured by the MetS score, will first be performed via linear regression. Linear mixed models will be used to examine the association between baseline predictors and MetS severity (separately). Such a modeling framework will allow for a cross-sectional analysis examining the association between these potential risk/protective factors and MetS severity at baseline, as well as their influence on MetS severity as it changes over the span of the study. These models will be used to account for within-subject correlations between the multiple MetS scores on each individual (at each of the primary exam periods). The hypothesis tests of interest will involve the fixed effects portion of the model, namely the baseline psychosocial predictors. Utilizing a continuous measure over time will allow for the simultaneous inclusion of all of the predictors listed above in the model without concerns over statistical power or reliability of estimates; such a strategy will account for any confounding influences of these predictors with each other. Covariates will be removed if they are not significant predictors of MetS severity over time by themselves (p < 0.10) and they do not confound the relationship with any other factors associated with MetS. In the latter case, a variable will be considered a confounder if its removal results in a difference of more than 10% of the parameter estimate of any other variables in the model. A backwards strategy for variable removal from a statistical and epidemiologic standpoint will be employed. The most parsimonious multivariable model that includes all the eligible confounders would be our main model. Interactions within these fixed effects will also be explored.

We will then use several approaches to estimate the strength of association between MetS and severity of MetS as measured by our MetS score and the outcomes (incident CHD, stroke, any CVD, and T2DM), depending on the type of variable (continuous or discrete) and the analytical approach (cross-sectional or longitudinal). For incident disease outcomes collected over the span of the study, we are primarily interested in time to those events occurring (incident CHD, stroke, T2DM) as a function of baseline MetS (using ATP-III and our MetS severity score). To that end, hazards ratios (HR) will be estimated from Cox proportional hazards (PH) models. Confounders would be selected based on standard criteria.

Inclusion criteria: participants with adequate data for all variables at baseline.
Exclusion criteria (for longitudinal analysis): baseline CVD and T2DM

Outcomes of interest: diagnosis of CHD, stroke, CVD, and T2DM.

Limitations: we recognize the problems with drop out over time and will utilize survival curves to analyze data, accounting for drop out over time.
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