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3. Timeline: Start summer 2014

4. Rationale:

The American Heart Association has recently promoted primary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD) by recommending Americans follow “Life’s Simple 7.” The Simple 7 identify ideal, intermediate and poor levels of CVD risk factors or behaviors (namely, smoking, body mass index, physical activity, diet, total cholesterol, blood pressure, and fasting serum glucose). The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study reported that the number of ideal factors achieved is associated strongly and inversely with subsequent incidence of cardiovascular disease and cancer. Although some arterial CVD risk factors (e.g., high BMI) increase risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE), others have little apparent association (e.g., total cholesterol). Yet, the REGARDS Study recently reported that a higher Life’s Simple 7 score is inversely associated with VTE occurrence. We sought to replicate this finding in the ARIC Study.

We expect this to be a brief report.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:
Adherence to Life’s Simple 7 is associated inversely with VTE incidence.

6. **Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).**

   **Design:** cohort

   **Endpoint:** time to VTE incidence

   **Exposure:** Components of Life’s Simple 7

   We will categorize Life’s Simple 7 in two ways for analysis. Firstly, we will count the number of ideal Life’s Simple 7 components a participant meets. Secondly, as done in REGARDS (5), we will create a score in which each component was given points of 0, 1, or 2 to represent poor, intermediate, or ideal health categories, respectively, and these were summed to yield a Life’s Simple 7 score. This score will be categorized as inadequate (0-4), average (5-9), or optimal (10-14) for cardiovascular health.

   **Exclusions:** VTE prior to visit, anticoagulant use, missing Simple 7 data.

   **Main covariates:** age, race, sex

   **Analysis:** We will calculate incidence rates of VTE and 95% confidence intervals using Poisson regression, and calculate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals of incident VTE using Cox proportional hazards models. We compute cumulative incidence of VTE according to the Simple 7 score, using Kaplan-Meier methods.

   We will also explore the association of each Simple 7 component with VTE incidence, to see which might explain any overall association.
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