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3. Timeline:
   We plan to submit a manuscript within one year of the approval of this proposal.
4. **Rationale:**

The 1998 “Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults” sponsored by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) had far-reaching impact on the definition and treatment of excess body weight. The report established body mass index (BMI) cutoffs to define underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m²), overweight (25.0-29.9 kg/m²) and obesity (>30kg/m²). Waist circumference (WC) cutoffs designated to indicate increased cardiovascular risk were >88 cm in women and >102 cm in men. In November 2013, an updated report sponsored by NHLBI was released under the auspices of The American Heart Association, The American College of Cardiology and The Obesity Society entitled “Guideline for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults”. In both reports BMI, WC and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors were used in algorithms to identify patients who may be at increased risk for CVD or other obesity-related conditions and who are candidates for weight loss treatments. There are multiple differences in the 1998 and 2013 algorithms related to the choice, definitions and number of risk factors used to determine groups to be recommended for weight loss.

Construction of the 1998 and the 2013 algorithms for weight loss treatment relied heavily on opinions of expert panels. Although relevant literature was cited and reviewed in both reports, there was no direct line drawn between each element used to determine patients in need of treatment and supporting evidence. Neither report, nor any other publication known to us, has presented CVD risk estimates for each of the categories of patients specified to be treated or untreated according to either algorithm.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

The purpose of this study was to examine first-onset CVD risk in the subsets of adults designated to be untreated versus treated by the two guidelines using data from the ARIC study.

6. **Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).**

Our outcome of interest is first incident CVD event, which includes incident CHD events and incident ischemic strokes. Incident CHD events include CHD death and myocardial infarction. CHD death is defined as death lacking a probable non-CHD cause and with a recent myocardial infarction, chest pain within 72 hours of death, or a history of CHD. We will conduct analyses with and without additional inclusion of silent infarction identified by ECG, coronary artery bypass surgery, and coronary angioplasty.

Ischemic stroke uses abstractor recorded signs and symptoms and CT, MRI and other diagnostic reports if the discharge diagnoses included a cerebrovascular disease code (ICD, 9th revision, codes 430 to 438), if a cerebrovascular condition or procedure was mentioned in the discharge summary, or if a cerebrovascular finding was noted on a CT or MRI report. Criteria adapted from the National Survey of Stroke are used and qualifying strokes are further classified as definite or probable ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke on the basis of neuroimaging studies and autopsy, when available. A stroke is classified as ischemic if a brain CT or MRI revealed acute infarction or showed no evidence of hemorrhage.
The maximum follow-up period available in ARIC for our outcomes of interest will be used (through 2011).

The main study exposures are the categories of individuals described to be treated or untreated for weight loss according to published algorithms. Variables used in the algorithms include baseline age, gender, height, weight, waist circumference, smoking, hypertension, diabetes, plasma glucose, LDL, HDL and family history of CVD.

The 1998 guidelines\(^1\) recommended treatment for adults with the following characteristics: (1) obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m\(^2\)); (2) overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m\(^2\)) plus ≥2 CVD risk factors; or (3) high WC (women: >88 cm, men: >102 cm) plus ≥2 CVD risk factors. Risk factors included age (men: ≥45 years; women ≥55 years), smoking, hypertension (≥140/90 mmHg or antihypertensive medication), LDL-cholesterol ≥160 mg/dl, HDL-cholesterol <35 mg/dl, fasting glucose ≥110 mg/dl or history of diabetes, and family history of premature coronary heart disease (definite myocardial infarction (MI) or sudden death ≤55 years of age in father or other male first-degree relative, or ≤65 years of age in mother or other female first-degree relative). Because this detailed information on family history is not available to us, we use family history of MI in our analyses.

The 2013 guidelines\(^2\) recommended weight loss for adults who are: (1) obese; or (2) overweight plus have ≥1 CVD risk factor(s) or other obesity-related comorbidities. We did not include other obesity-related comorbidities because no clear definition was provided in the 2013 guidelines. CVD risk factors included: type 2 diabetes mellitus (here defined as self-reported diabetes or fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dl), prediabetes (here defined as fasting glucose: 100 to <126 mg/dl), hypertension (≥140/90 mmHg or antihypertensive medication), dyslipidemia (LDL-cholesterol ≥160 mg/dl\(^10\) or HDL-cholesterol < 40 mg/dl or lipids-lowering medication), and high WC (NHLBI cutpoints: >102 cm for men and >88 cm for women).\(^2\)

We cannot clearly separate intentional and unintentional weight change in the ARIC data. This manuscript is about CVD risk levels in the groups recommended for treatment, by the guidelines and does not investigate the effects of weight change or weight over time. We have published a paper using ARIC data that illustrates the complexity of the timing of weight change and CVD events.\(^3\)

The major exclusions will be for missing data.

Cox proportional hazards regression models will be used.

We will examine effect modification by race, gender and smoking. We will also examine analyses within race and gender groups.
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