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4. Rationale:

Pharmaceutical administrative claims data are widely used for both research and quality monitoring purposes (1). Furthermore, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has adopted an adherence quality metrics system developed by the Pharmacy Quality Alliance (PQA). The system utilizes pharmacy claims to measure patients’ adherence to long-term therapy. Medicare Part D sponsors receive financial incentives contingent on a star rating system (2). CMS star ratings include adherence measurements focusing on oral diabetes medications, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), and statins.

The accuracy of the pharmaceutical claims data has become a concern for analysts due to the implementation of generic drug discount programs (GDDP) by large retail chain pharmacies (1). GDDPs are often referred to as “$4 generics.” Several studies have been conducted to assess the impact of GDDPs on the completeness of pharmaceutical claims data (3-5); however, the reports are conflicting. To our knowledge, no investigation comparing Part D claims to self-reports of medications has been conducted.

To assess the impact of $4 generics on the completeness of Medicare Part D claims, we will evaluate the degree of agreement between Part D claims and self-reported medications during the annual follow-up interview (AFU) for a set of medications that were commonly included in GDDP plans in 2009 and a set that were commonly not included in GDDP plans in 2009.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

We will use Medicare Part D data from 2006-2009 and AFU reports from 2009 to investigate three questions:

1. From 2006-2009, what was the rate at which Part D claims were filed, and were filed with payment only at a low rate (e.g., $4) for the drugs in Table 1?

2. Describe the medication concordance between self-reports during the 2009 AFU and Medicare Part D claims in drug categories listed in Table 1 (antihypertensives and antihyperlipidemics).

3. For the drug classes listed in Table 1, use regression analysis to investigate whether medication concordance differs significantly by whether medications were available through GDDP plans or not, controlling for other covariates.
### Table 1. Selected Medications For Concordance Measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Class</th>
<th>Commonly on GDDP Drug List</th>
<th>Not usually on GDDP Drug List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensives (1)</td>
<td>Generic: Lisinopril (Brand available: Prinivil, Zestril)</td>
<td>Generic: Valsartan Brand: Diovan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensives (2)</td>
<td>Generic: Atenolol (Brand available: Tenormin)</td>
<td>Generic: Amlodipine Brand: Norvasc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihyperlipidemics</td>
<td>Generic: Simvastatin (Brand available: Zocor)</td>
<td>Generic: Atorvastatin Brand: Lipitor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodological limitations or challenges if present).

**Study Population:**

The first research question will be addressed using Part D claims data for ARIC cohort members who were enrolled in Medicare Part D at any point from 2006-2009. ARIC cohort members who were enrolled in Part D and reported medications during their 2009 AFU will be used for the second two questions. ARIC cohort members who did not provide medication information during 2009 AFU or who did not have Medicare Part D coverage at least 90 days prior to their AFU will be excluded from the analysis.

**Selected Medications:**

The medications were selected by pharmacists at UNC based on the frequency in AFU self-reports and prescribing habits as determined by guideline directed therapy. The selected drugs in Table 1 were available on GDDP lists in 2009 across major pharmacies including Walmart, CVS, Walgreens, Target, and Kroger. The selected non-GDDP drugs were not on the GDDP lists at the aforementioned major pharmacies in 2009.

While GDDP lists focus on generics, we will consider for reports and claims for brand names as well as generics since ARIC cohort members may self-report brand names even they are taking generics, and vice versa. Sensitivity analysis will be conducted to exclude brand counterparts.

**Analysis:**

The first research question will be addressed using frequencies and descriptive statistics of the rates of prescription claims and the distributions of person out-of-pocket and
Medicare payments over time (2006-2009) for the various drugs. We will also determine when a person is in the “donut hole.”

For the second research question, medication concordance is determined based on the brand or generic name of self-reported medications and the brand or generic name in Medicare Part D claims. We treat the matched medication claims and reports as being in agreement if the interview date is within the value of “days supplied” on the Part D prescription medication claim. Descriptive medication concordance statistics will be calculated according to three categories: concordance between claims and self-report, claims only and self-report only.

For the third research question, multinomial logistic regression analysis will be conducted to determine whether concordance (three categories as defined above) differs significantly for GDDP versus non-GDDP drugs while controlling for other covariates. Covariates will include year, ARIC study site interacted with race, and socio-demographics (age, gender, income, marital status, and whether the person is a veteran).

Challenges:

The study will be subject to several limitations. Most importantly, the self-reports may not be accurate since cohort members may fail to report medications they are taking, or participants may report medications that were previously prescribed but they are not currently taking. Furthermore, while the GDDP categorization provides an important comparison, GDDPs are not the only reason why Part D claims may be missing. Overall, this study will increase our understanding of the completeness and validity of Part D prescription claims. The study is important because Part D claims are being utilized by organizations and researchers, as well as implemented as a quality measure by CMS.
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