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4. Rationale:
Cerebrovascular disease contributes to late life cognitive impairment, but relationship between cerebrovascular disease and neurodegeneration (using the term broadly to indicate neuronal and synaptic loss) has been very difficult to understand. Features that serve as proxies for cerebrovascular disease, including white matter hyperintensities, small infarcts (lacunar infarcts), large infarcts (major vessel distribution infarcts), and more recently microbleeds, have modest relationships with cognitive decline and incident cognitive impairment. There is much work on the quantitative relationships between cerebrovascular imaging lesions and brain volume loss, but mainly using whole brain or ventricular volume: for WMH(Debette Neurology 77:461; Silbert Neurology 71:108; Barnes Neurobiol Aging 2013; Godin, Cerebrovasc Dis28:177; Wen, Neuroimage 29:1031; Kloppenborg, Neurology 70:2029). (For the record, an association of
WMH and brain volume seems to occur only in cognitively normal persons). A few articles report associations between infarcts and brain volume (Appelman, Cerebrovasc Dis 29:28; Thong JNNP 83:1291; Kloppenborg, Neurology 70:2029). The most recent study by Thong et al is the only one to report regional volumetrics. And, I am not aware of any studies reporting associations between microbleeds and brain volume loss. To the extent that microbleeds represent vascular amyloid, and vascular amyloid is at least weakly related to parenchymal amyloid and AD pathological changes, it is possible that microbleeds could share variance with AD-pathophysiology based brain volume loss. With the new imaging approach taken in ARIC NCS, we have the capability of looking at regional volumetrics both for white matter changes and cortical volumes.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

(1) Increasing burdens of WMH, INF and MB are individually associated with greater global brain volume loss.
(2) Each vascular lesion type has a regionally specific anatomic predilection for brain atrophy (exclusive of actual infarcts themselves). That is, WMH and small (lacunar) infarcts in deeper brain structures are presumed to be proxies for microvascular disease, and it is the latter that exerts an impact on cortical volume. WMH and INF, because they are typically associated with “frontal-type” cognitive changes will be more associated with smaller frontal lobe cortical volume. MB, on the other hand, because they are associated with parenchymal AD changes, will be associated with reduced volumes in areas typically affected in AD, such as lateral and medial parietal, lateral and medial temporal regions.
(3) WMH location varies between persons with predominantly athero- or arteriolo-sclerotic disease versus persons with amyloid angiopathy. The former could be identified by medical history (eg, diabetes, hypertension, myocardial infarctions, abnormal carotid IMT) while the latter could be identified by the presence of MBs, abnormal levels of plasma beta-amyloid, and the absence of an atherosclerotic history.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Subjects: Study includes all non-demented participants with MR scans at ARIC NCS.
Outcome measures: regional brain volumes as determined by Freesurfer analysis of cortical volumes; ventricular volume, whole brain volume, ROI
Predictors: White matter hyperintensity burden as percent of total white matter volume, small infarcts, microbleeds as counted on gradient echo imaging.
Covariates: age, sex, race, education level, APOE e4 carriage.
Analytic methods: For hypotheses 1 and 2: (1) Regression of WMH, INF, MBs on regional and global brain volumes. (2) Divide distribution of WMH, INF, MB into tertiles or quartiles and compare brain volumes across groups. For hypothesis 3: select subgroups that are ‘atherosclerotic” versus “amyloidogenic” and compare WMH, infarcts, volumetrics.
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