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4. Rationale:
Resistant hypertension is defined as blood pressure that remains above goal despite use of antihypertensive medications from 3 or more drug classes, or the use of 4 or more drug classes to treat hypertension regardless of blood pressure control.\textsuperscript{1} Use of a diuretic is recommended as one of the drug classes, and ideally antihypertensive medications are optimally dosed. The prevalence of resistant hypertension is unknown, but is estimated to occur in approximately 15-30\% of patients treated for hypertension.\textsuperscript{2-6}

Individuals with resistant hypertension are thought to be at increased risk for cardiovascular disease, although studies examining associations between resistant hypertension and cardiovascular outcomes are sparse. Multiple cross sectional analyses reported more frequent co-morbid coronary heart disease, heart failure, stroke, and diabetes among persons with resistant hypertension compared to those with controlled hypertension treated with 1-3 medication classes.\textsuperscript{2,3,7} In an analysis of patient data from Kaiser Permanente Colorado and Northern California health care systems, those with resistant hypertension had a 50\% increased risk in cardiovascular events after 5 years compared to patients whose blood pressure was controlled on 3 medications.\textsuperscript{8} There are limited data regarding longitudinal effects of resistant hypertension on clinical outcomes.

We propose to investigate the relationship between resistant hypertension and the incidence of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular outcomes, and kidney disease, to explore interactions with race/ethnicity, and examine whether duration of resistant hypertension affects clinical outcomes. In contrast to previous studies that have investigated resistant hypertension, the ARIC cohort is a large bi-ethnic sample and offers the potential to explore these relationships in the setting of lengthy follow up.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

We hypothesize that resistant hypertension will be associated with an increased risk for mortality, cardiovascular events, and chronic kidney disease. We further hypothesize that individuals with resistant hypertension will exhibit a higher risk for unfavorable clinical outcomes compared to patients with non-resistant hypertension and those without a diagnosis of hypertension.

Specific Aims:

4. To assess the relationship between resistant hypertension at Visits 2 through 4 and subsequent mortality, adverse cardiovascular events, and renal disease. We hypothesize that resistant hypertension will be associated with worse clinical outcomes.

5. To assess whether race modifies the relationship between resistant hypertension and cardiovascular events and renal disease. We hypothesize that the relationship between resistant hypertension and cardiovascular events is attenuated in those of Caucasian race.

6. To assess whether the duration of resistant hypertension influences the association with adverse clinical outcomes.
6. **Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).**

Subjects with prevalent hypertension, heart failure, history of myocardial infarction, Coronary Heart Disease, stroke, and chronic kidney disease (eGFR < 60) at visit one will be excluded. Prevalent heart failure will be defined as either participant reported medication use for heart failure or Gothenberg score=3.

Analysis methods:

**Primary Exposure Variable:**

- Hypertension status will be defined at visits 2-4 by classifying each subject into one of four categories:
  - Resistant hypertension:
    - Blood pressure ≥ 140/90 mmHg with use of ≥ 3 antihypertensive drug classes; or
    - Use of ≥ 4 antihypertensive drug classes regardless of blood pressure
  - Hypertension, controlled:
    - Blood pressure < 140/90 mmHg with use of 1-3 antihypertensive drug classes
  - Hypertension, uncontrolled:
    - Blood pressure ≥ 140/90 mmHg with use of < 3 antihypertensive drug classes
  - Non-hypertension:
    - Blood pressure < 140/90 mmHg without use of antihypertensive drugs

**Primary Endpoint:** Combined incident myocardial infarction, heart failure, (defined as heart failure hospitalizations or heart failure-related death), stroke, kidney disease (defined as eGFR < 60, need for hemodialysis or renal transplant), all-cause mortality.

**Analysis:**

5-7. Baseline characteristics will be compared between individuals across hypertension categories using parametric or non-parametric trend tests.

6-8. Hypertension status at visits 2-4 will be related to incident cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke), renal outcomes (eGFR < 60, need for dialysis, kidney transplant) and mortality with Cox regression analysis in univariate and multivariable models adjusting for known confounders as well as those that are apparent based on baseline characteristics. These will include (but not be limited to): age, gender, race, BMI, smoking, diabetes, and elevated serum cholesterol at visit one. We will also test for interactions with race.

7-9. In order to assess the impact of duration of resistant hypertension, resistant subjects at visit 4 will be further classified according to the visit at which they were first observed to have resistant hypertension. Duration of resistant hypertension will then be related to subsequent incident cardiovascular events, chronic kidney disease, and mortality with Cox regression analysis as described in #2.
A multinomial logistic regression model will be constructed using patients with resistant hypertension at visit 3 in order to identify characteristics of that are associated with a change from resistant to non-resistant hypertension by visit 4.

Limitations
- Presence of pseudoresistant hypertension (nonadherence, inaccurate measurements, white coat hypertension) cannot be assessed
- Dosing of antihypertensive medications and patient salt intake are not available
- Unknown confounders may exist that are not accounted for in analysis models
- Death from HF assessed by death certificate, which may not accurately capture all HF-related deaths
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