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4. Rationale:
   The association between blood pressure (BP) and mortality in older adults remains controversial, with epidemiologic studies reporting U-shaped relationships between BP and mortality, and among the oldest old, a greater chance of survival among those with high blood pressures compared to those with normal blood pressures.1-4 Although some randomized controlled trials have demonstrated benefits of lowering blood pressure in
hypertensive persons >60 years old, and recently >80 years, the optimal blood pressure in older adults, particularly those over 80 years old, remains a source of contention. The crux of the controversy generally relates to findings of attenuated or lack of benefit, or even increased overall mortality among participants >80 years of age in hypertension treatment arms. Although the Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial (HYVET) demonstrated reduced risk of stroke, heart failure, death from stroke and all-cause mortality in persons >80 years, criticisms of that study included the healthy status of the population, a large proportion of whom were not living in the US. Therefore, findings may not be generalizable to clinical practice typical in the US. (See letters to the editor, reference #14)

Identifying subgroups of patients who will potentially achieve limited or no benefit, or even harm, from having lower BP, has important clinical implications in BP management. Functional status may help define such subgroups. Self-reported walking speed (fast, medium, slow) was recently reported to modify the relationship between BP and mortality in Latino persons 60 – 101 years old. No relationship between higher BP and mortality was observed among self-identified “slow walkers” in this study while faster walkers had increased mortality risk with higher BP. It is well-known that subjective and objective measures of functional status are associated with risk of death, disability (prevalent and incident), nursing home admission, and other adverse outcomes in older adults and moderates life expectancy. However, the moderating effect of functional status on the blood pressure-mortality relationship has not been reported in other populations in the US, nor has any study examined this relationship in a middle- and older-aged community dwelling cohort or in a large population of African Americans (AA).

Factors such as shorter life expectancies may result in adults with poor functional status achieving less benefit from having lower blood pressure. Adults with good functional status adults may achieve marked benefit from attaining lower BP. Defining such subpopulations could guide clinical decision making through the development of individualized treatment regimens that will maximize benefit and minimize risk. To this end, we propose to examine functional status as a moderator of the relationship between blood pressure and mortality in the ARIC cohort.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Question:
Does self-reported functional status moderate the relationship of blood pressure to cardiovascular and all-cause mortality?

Secondary Question: Does self-reported functional status moderate the relationship of blood pressure to cardiovascular events?

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).
**Statistical Analysis**

**Overall:**
The analysis will use visit 4 data (1996-1998) for self-reported functional status and covariates and subsequent surveillance/event data through 2009 to define mortality and cardiovascular event outcome variables.

**Predictor variables:**

The primary independent predictors will be continuous systolic blood pressure and functional status, with an interaction term between blood pressure and functional status; this interaction term comprises the focus of our investigations. Systolic blood pressure will also be categorized using standard cutpoints, e.g. \( \geq 140/90 \), as well as thresholds identified in exploratory analysis of non-linear relationships. Functional status will be defined from the Physical Ability Questionnaire (PAQ) initially using dichotomous variables:

i. Functional impairment
   - ‘0’ if reports “No Difficulty” on all items 1-12 of the Physical Activity Questionnaire (PAQ)
   - ‘1’ if reports with Some, Much, or Unable to Do” response on any one of PAQ questions 1-12

ii. Disability
   - ‘0’ if reports “No” for all questions 13-17 on
   - ‘1’ if reports “Yes” for any q 13-17

We will also examine diastolic blood pressure in separate and combined predictor models.

**Outcome variables:**
Primary outcome variables will be all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality, which will be defined as mortality due to stroke, heart failure, or coronary heart disease.

Secondary outcome variables will be cardiovascular non-fatal events: stroke, heart failure, coronary heart disease. We anticipate also using a composite outcome incorporating both fatal and non-fatal events.

**Covariates**
Visit 4 covariates to be considered in full or parsimonious models include: age, sex, race, field center, education, prevalent hypertension, prevalent diabetes, prevalent coronary heart disease, prevalent heart failure, prevalent stroke, body mass index, smoking, physical activity, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, triglycerides, use of hypertension medications, use of statin medications.

**Analysis**
Cox Proportional Hazards Models will be used to assess risk in all-cause mortality over time from visit 4 to 2009. Outcomes of cardiovascular mortality and non-fatal events will incorporate a competing risks framework.\textsuperscript{22}

Non-linear relationships will be assessed using loess smoothers and spline terms.
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