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First draft of the manuscript – 3 months

4. **Rationale**:
Previous studies have indicated that there are significant sex differences – to the detriment of women - in the impact of several cardiovascular risk factors on cardiovascular outcomes that remain even after adjusting for differences in other important risk factors. For example, we have previously shown in two previous meta-analyses that smoking and diabetes pose a greater cardiovascular hazard to women than to men:


We would like to extend this study by examining whether there a sex difference exists for the relationship between socioeconomic status and coronary disease and stroke. We are seeking permission to include the ARIC cohort in these meta-analyses.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

We hypothesize that there are sex differences in the magnitude of the association between socioeconomic status with cardiovascular disease.

Specifically, we will conduct a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies (and where possible include individual participant data as with ARIC) of the association between socioeconomic status with coronary heart disease and stroke.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

We will perform a systematic review of available literature according to the MOOSE guidelines. Relevant studies published between 1966 and the current date will be identified from CINAHL, EMBASE, PubMed, and the Cochrane Library using a combined text and the following MeSH heading search strategies.

Study selection and data extraction
Studies will be included in these reviews if they have published quantitative estimates (including variability) of the association between SES and CHD and/or stroke in both men and women, which had been adjusted at least for age. Studies will be excluded if they do not report a means of estimating the variance around the point estimate or if they were conducted only in single-sex populations.

Data synthesis and analysis
The primary analysis will be a comparison of the sex-specific relative risk of CHD and stroke among individuals across different categories of SES. For each study, sex-specific RRs and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) will be used to estimate the female to male ratio of relative risks (RRR) and its 95% CI. Pooled estimates across studies will be obtained by means of random-effects models, after log transformation. Studies will be
weighted according to an estimate of statistical size, defined as the inverse of the variance of the log RRR.

The percentage of variability across studies attributable to between-study heterogeneity will be estimated using the I² statistic. Funnel plots of the natural log of the RRR against its standard error will be used to assess publication bias and trim and fill analyses will be used to adjust the RRRs for the presence of publication bias. All analyses will be carried out using Stata Version 11.

Limitations
The main limitation is that it will be necessary to tailor our analyses to the way they are reported in the majority of published papers.
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