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4. **Rationale:** CVD risk factors and healthy behaviors have also been associated with cognitive function and dementing conditions, suggesting common or overlapping etiologic pathways and opportunities for modifying risk. This may be particularly important among ethnic/racial minorities with excess CVD risk. The American Heart Association recently established year 2020 national goals [Life’s Simple 7s; (LS7s)] for CVD health promotion and disease reduction. While previous ARIC studies have examined several important risk factors associated with cognitive change,1-4 the proposed paper systematically examines AHA 2020 goals to address the question: If LS7 goals are...
good for the heart, are they also good for brain function over time? The extent to which cognitive function and dysfunction are attributable to LS7s factors has not been examined systematically, particularly in ethnic/racial groups with excess risk for CVD. The proposed study will use ARIC data to examine the relationship between cognitive function and LS7s overall scores and components.

This proposed manuscript builds upon ARIC work examining the prevalence of cardiovascular health and incident CVD events (Folsom et al., JACC, 2011). Furthermore, it would compliment and inform a similar project underway examining cognitive function and LS7s among Latinos in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (SoL). These studies will serve as a foundation for future work on identifying modifiable risks for dementing conditions. A central aim of this work is to provide communities and participants actionable information for promoting health for a major public health problem with unknown cures.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Aim 1: To examine the relationship between neurocognitive functioning and LS7 among Black and White adults.

H1: Higher neurocognitive performance will be associated with optimal and favorable overall LS7s scores.

H1.1: Sustained neurocognitive performance will be associated with more favorable LS7s.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

The study will focus on a subset of ARIC participants (n=1,134) with cognitive assessments completed at four time points (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Timeline for ARIC visits including conducted assessments and number of participants

Main outcomes. Three cognitive measures are proposed as the primary outcomes: (1) the Delayed Word Recall Test (DWR); (2) Digit Symbol Substitution Test (DSS); and (3) Word Fluency Test (WF).
Main predictors. To operationalize Life’s Simple Seven (LS7) factors, we propose following ARIC definitions used by Folsom et al (2010). These main predictors are assessed during all ARIC visits starting at baseline (1987-1989) and include diet, physical activity, smoking status, BMI level, total cholesterol level, fasting glucose levels, and blood pressure. Following AHA guidelines, all indicators will be classified into 3 categories: ideal, intermediate, or poor. Baseline dietary practices would be evaluated using the modified, 66-item Harvard food frequency questionnaire. Physical activity will be assessed using items from the Baecke questionnaire to quantify sports and walking behaviors in the previous year. Self-reported values would be converted into minutes/week of moderate or vigorous exercise. Smoking status will be based on self-reports (current, former, or never smokers) derived from questionnaires. Body mass index (kg/m^2) would be calculated from weight in a scrub suit and standing height. Fasting plasma total cholesterol measured by enzymatic methods would be used. Serum glucose measured by a hexokinase/glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase method will be used. Finally, sitting blood pressure, measured three times using a random-zero sphygmomanometer after a 5-min rest will be evaluated. The average values of the last 2 measurements will be calculated and used. Following AHA guidelines for metabolic criteria, medication use including antihypertensive, cholesterol-lowering, and glucose lowering prescriptions will be accounted for using self-reported use within the past 2 weeks of interview or direct accounts taken from respondents’ prescription bottles. A composite LS7 scale (range 0-14) will be calculated by summing respondents scores on each of the LS7 indicators (0=Poor, 1=Intermediate, and 2=ideal).

Covariates. Time will be coded as years since baseline in the mixture models, or as visit timing in the longitudinal growth curve model. Time invariant covariates will include age at baseline, sex (male, female), race (African American, non-Latino White), and education level.

Methods. First, descriptive statistics for the cognitive tests (CA1, see Figure 1), LS7 indicators (1987-1989), and covariates of interest will be provided. Average change for both cognitive test scores and LS7s will also be assessed. Second, bivariate associations between each of the cognitive test scores and LS7s will be tested. Additionally, unadjusted associations between cognitive test scores change and LS7s will be examined. Third, we will use linear regression models to test the cross-sectional association between each cognitive test score and LS7s at baseline, controlling for the specified covariates. Finally, to examine change in
cognitive functioning, linear random-effects models assuming unstructured variance-covariance structures will be used to test the relationship between cognitive change and LS7s controlling for our model covariates using Stata’s (11.2) mixed modeling functionalities.
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