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3. **Timeline:**

We aim to submit to the Journal of the American Medical Association in November of 2012.

4. **Rationale:**

The metabolic syndrome, a highly prevalent clustering of cardiovascular disease risk factors [1], was first proposed as a unique pathophysiological condition in 1967[2]. Since the publication of Reaven’s seminal Banting award lecture in 1988[3], a large body of evidence linking the metabolic syndrome to cardiovascular disease and its sequelae has accumulated. However, it was not until the past 9 years that controversy regarding its value as a marker of cardiovascular disease emerged.

A study in non-diabetic American Indians first raised doubt regarding the utility of the metabolic syndrome as a predictor of cardiovascular disease in 2003. Based on 2,283 subjects free of cardiovascular disease followed for an average of 7.6 years, the risk of cardiovascular disease did not increase as a function of the presence or absence of metabolic syndrome[4]. ARIC study investigators came to a similar conclusion in 2005. Based on 12,089 black and white individuals followed for an average of 11 years, receiver operator curves suggested that the metabolic syndrome was no better than the Framingham Risk Score in predicting cardiovascular risk[5]. Studies from Britain and Finland had comparable findings[6-8].

In contrast, a significant number of studies support the notion that the metabolic syndrome predicts cardiovascular events independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors. The Kuopio Ischaemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study followed 1,209 Finnish men for an average of 11.4 years. After adjustment for traditional risk factors, men with the metabolic syndrome were at a higher risk of dying from cardiovascular disease or other causes[9]. Similarly, in the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study 6,477 men were followed for an average of 4.9 years. The metabolic syndrome was predictive of coronary heart disease in multivariate modeling even when established risk factors were incorporated[10]. These findings have been replicated in hypertensive patients[11]. Further, using high thresholds for exclusion, a meta-analysis showed an increased risk of cardiovascular disease or death in patients with the metabolic syndrome after controlling for its component risk factors[12].

Cardiovascular disease and lethal ventricular arrhythmias are closely related. In fact, half of cardiovascular deaths have been estimated to be sudden[13, 14]. Unfortunately, rates of SCD have not declined as quickly as those of other modes of cardiovascular death[15,
In spite of advances in the prevention and treatment of coronary disease and the dissemination of implantable cardioverter-defibrillators, the proportion of cardiovascular deaths that are sudden is increasing. Interestingly, the time course of the rise in proportion of SCD resembles that seen in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome. We hypothesize that these unfavorable trends are interrelated and thus the metabolic syndrome adds prognostic information beyond traditional cardiovascular risk factors in the prediction of SCD.

Although a large number of studies investigating the cardiovascular risk associated with the metabolic syndrome have been published, only a single study has examined the association between the metabolic syndrome and SCD per se. In the Paris Prospective Study I, 6,678 men free of coronary heart disease at baseline were followed for an average of 21.2 years. HDL cholesterol was unobtainable, and sagittal abdominal diameter was used as a surrogate for waist circumference. After adjustment for other cardiovascular disease risk factors, the metabolic syndrome at baseline increased the risk of SCD by 68%[17].

The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study is uniquely suited to address our hypothesis. Due to its large size, longitudinal follow-up of more than twenty years, multiple participating sites, and the fact that it is population-based, it has ample power and generalizability. Although associations between the metabolic syndrome and other outcomes have been examined in the ARIC study [5, 18, 19], its association with SCD has not been considered. Further, data are more granular and more complete than that seen in many prior studies. In contrast to the Paris Prospective Study I, for example, HDL and waist circumference are available for analysis.

5. Hypothesis/Study Aims:

Hypothesis

We hypothesize that the metabolic syndrome at baseline (a) increases the risk of SCD and (b) adds prognostic information beyond traditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease.

Study Aims

1. To compare the incidence of SCD in patients with and without the metabolic syndrome.
2. To examine whether the metabolic syndrome adds prognostic information beyond traditional cardiovascular disease risk factors for SCD.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Exclusion Criteria

We will exclude patients with prevalent cardiovascular disease (defined as self-reported myocardial infarction, heart or vascular surgery, coronary bypass, coronary angioplasty, or ECG evidence of myocardial infarction). We will also exclude patients with missing
data on important covariates such as those required to identify subjects with the metabolic syndrome.

**Identification of the Metabolic Syndrome**

In the primary analysis, we will use a harmonized definition proposed by the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; the American Heart Association; the World Heart Federation; the International Atherosclerosis Society; and the International Association for the Study of Obesity. The metabolic syndrome will be considered present if 3 or more of the following individual components are present: waist circumference ≥ 35 inches (88 cm) for women or ≥ 40 inches (102 cm) for men; fasting blood sugar ≥ 100 mg/dL (5.5 mmol/L) or treatment with hypoglycemic agents or insulin; systolic blood pressure ≥ 130 or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mm Hg or antihypertensive drug treatment; serum triglycerides ≥ 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L) or treatment for hypertriglyceridemia (with niacin or fibrates); and HDL-C < 40 mg/dL (1.0 mmol/L) in men or <50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) in women.

To ascertain the effect of the various definitions of the metabolic syndrome, sensitivity analyses will be performed using criteria proposed by the World Health Organization, the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III, and the International Diabetes Federation Epidemiology Task Force Consensus group.

**Outcomes**

SCD has been carefully adjudicated using two definitions. First, it has been defined as witnessed death within 1 hour of acute cardiac symptoms. Second, it has been defined as a sudden pulseless condition of cardiac origin in a previously stable individual. This definition is available only through 2002. We will use the former definition of SCD in the primary analysis and the latter definition in sensitivity analyses.

**Analyses**

Baseline characteristics of patients with the metabolic syndrome and those without the metabolic syndrome will be compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables and the Chi square test for categorical variables. The incidence rates will be computed and the risk of SCD will be estimated for each group. Time to SCD for each group will be displayed using Kaplan-Meier curves and will be compared using the log rank test. Cox proportional hazards models will be used to examine factors associated with SCD. Models will be constructed with and without the components of the metabolic syndrome. Potential covariates to include in the regression model are age, sex, race, blood pressure, high density lipoprotein level, serum triglycerides, serum glucose, serum electrolytes, waist circumference, BMI, diabetes status, chronic renal insufficiency, left ventricular hypertrophy, resting heart rate, atrial fibrillation, incident heart failure, incident myocardial infarction, incident stroke, family history of premature coronary disease, angina or claudication, level of physical activity, field center, presence of major ECG abnormality on Minnesota code, and smoking status. The following cardiac medications will also be included: selective and non-selective β-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, digitalis, and antiarrhythmic drugs. To account for the
development of the metabolic syndrome during the course of the study, the measurements required to define metabolic syndrome will be obtained from follow-up visits and the metabolic syndrome will be included in the models as a time-dependent covariate. To account for lipid-lowering therapy initiated during follow-up, we will include the variable in the models as a time-dependent covariate. The analyses will be repeated using a landmark analysis. That is, Cox’s proportional hazards models will be constructed at different time points to identify the risk factors for sudden cardiac death as a function of time after development of metabolic syndrome. Sensitivity analyses will also performed using alternative definitions of the metabolic syndrome and SCD mentioned previously. The C-index will be used to compare the predictive value of the metabolic syndrome with that of traditional risk factors. The net reclassification improvement will also be computed.
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