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4. **Rationale:**

Chronic kidney disease affects an estimated 19 million people in the United States [1]. It is defined by either the presence of reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR) or kidney damage evidenced by the presence of protein in the urine (albuminuria). Since it is expensive and inconvenient to directly measure GFR using radioactive agents, reliance is mainly on markers that estimate GFR (eGFR). Creatinine is the most widely used measure of kidney filtration function, both in clinical practice as well in research studies, and is independently associated with mortality, cardiovascular (CV) and renal outcomes [2]. However there are several non-GFR determinants of creatinine, such as muscle mass and diet that bias the GFR estimates resulting in misclassification of risk categories. The association between eGFR by creatinine (eGFRcr) and mortality is not linear but U-shaped [3]. One explanation for this U-shaped association is negative confounding of creatinine by muscle mass. This happens because sicker individuals are more likely to have decreased muscle mass and therefore lower creatinine production, resulting in overestimation of their GFR.

Cystatin C is an alternative marker of kidney function, and eGFR using cystatin C (eGFRcys) has a similar correlation with measured GFR as eGFRcr. It is also less sensitive to changes in muscle mass as compared to creatinine. Studies have shown a stronger and more linear association between eGFRcys and mortality as well as CV outcomes compared to eGFRcr, making it a more desirable marker to predict future risk [4-11]. Data from NHANES show that eGFRcys has the strongest association with mortality and CV disease compared to eGFRcr or using both creatinine and cystatin C based equations [3].

Recently there has been a substantial interest to investigate the association of multiple kidney markers with the risk of clinical outcomes. A recent large study used eGFRcr, eGFRcys and albuminuria to risk stratify individuals, and found that using the triple marker approach improves risk classification for both mortality and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) compared to one or two of these markers [12]. More research is needed to investigate the utility of multiple kidney markers; in particular, it is very important to investigate further as to which group of individuals would most likely to benefit from using a triple marker approach. It is also important to extend this to additional outcomes in particular, cardiovascular outcomes, which constitute a major proportion of morbidity and mortality in the CKD population.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

We hypothesize that both eGFRcys and eGFRcr are independently associated with mortality, CV and renal outcomes. Combining the two markers of GFR (eGFRcys and eGFRcr), where both markers are abnormal (concordant classification), will be more strongly associated with these outcomes than either of these two measures independently. We also hypothesize that GFR estimation by cystatin C will improve classification of individuals into appropriate risk categories compared to eGFRcr. We further hypothesize that in those individuals with eGFRcr <60 ml/min/1.73 m², the association with outcomes will be weaker when the other two markers are normal (eGFRcys>60 and albumin...
creatinine ratio (ACR) <30 mg/g) compared to when one or both the other markers are also in the CKD range.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

We plan to use ARIC visit 4 as the baseline visit, as this is the only visit with cystatin C data on all participants. We will follow the participants prospectively until December 31st, 2008 for all-cause mortality, coronary heart disease, heart failure, stroke, acute kidney injury and ESRD. We will only include incident events. We will also exclude those with missing data on any of the key variables as we assume missing data mechanism is likely missing completely at random, and therefore, would not bias our results. However, we will compare baseline characteristics of those with missing data to those who are included in our study to identify any non random patterns.

We will divide the cohort into five eGFR categories (eGFR <30, 30-59, 60-89, 90-104 (Reference), and >105), and make a 5 X 5 table with 25 combined categories of eGFR_cys and eGFR_cr. We will only analyze those cells with a sample size of at least 25 or greater. We will also further stratify by ACR and study the association of these three markers with outcomes.

We will develop multiple regression models, first adjusting only for demographics, with subsequent models also adjusting for established CVD risk factors, such as history of CVD, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, hyperlipidemia, body mass index, albuminuria, and high-sensitive C-reactive protein. We will also investigate the proportion of individuals who are reclassified into more appropriate risk categories and determine the net reclassification index. We also plan to do sub-group analyses by age (<65 years versus ≥ 65 years), race (blacks versus whites) and gender.
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