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4. Rationale:
C-reactive protein (CRP) is a sensitive index of inflammation and its high level in plasma has been shown to predict myocardial infarction, stroke and cardiovascular death among both apparently healthy subjects and cardiovascular patients (1-5). Besides, high CRP levels are associated with deaths from non-vascular disease (including several cancers and respiratory diseases) even in subject without previous vascular disease (6).

There is considerable evidence that atrial fibrillation (AF) is associated with an inflammatory state and with an increased mortality and morbidity from stroke and thromboembolism (7). Less is known about the role of inflammation in patients with AF. One prospective study has evaluated the association of CRP with death in a population of patients with AF (8). The study, which included 880 AF patients recruited from subjects taking aspirin alone or combined with inefficacious doses of warfarin in the Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation (SPAF) III clinical trial, found that high levels of CRP (upper vs. lower tertile) were an independent predictor of all-cause mortality (HR 1.55, 95% CI 1.03-2.31), but not of vascular events or stroke. Generalizability of this study to the population of AF patients, however, might be limited since it included participants in a clinical trial and had a relatively short follow-up [mean time 453 (standard deviation, 229) days].

The CHADS2 score (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age under 75 years, diabetes, and previous stroke or transient ischemic attack) is a well-validated clinical score used to classify patients with AF according to future risk of thromboembolism; the score guides decisions about use of anticoagulant therapy (9). Recently this score has been suggested to be also an independent predictor of mortality in patients with AF (10). Most AF patients are classified as moderate risk and optimal thromboprophylactic care for these patients remains unclear (11). Additional methods to improve risk stratification in AF patients would have a major clinical impact. CRP could be useful for this purpose.

Therefore, we propose to examine the association of CRP plasma levels with the incidence of stroke, cardiovascular death and all-cause death in patients with AF in the ARIC study, independently of established cardiovascular risk factors. We will also evaluate whether the addition of CRP levels to the CHADS2 score improves the stratification of patients in terms of risk of stroke, cardiovascular death and all-cause death.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:
We hypothesize that AF patients with high levels of plasma CRP will have a higher risk of stroke, cardiovascular death and all-cause death, independently of other cardiovascular risk factors, compared to those with lower levels of CRP.
Additionally, we hypothesize that the addition of CRP levels to the CHADS2 score will improve the stratification of patients in terms of risk of stroke, cardiovascular death and all cause death.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Study design
We will conduct a follow-up analysis of the ARIC cohort, using visit 4 as baseline.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria
Inclusion criteria. Whites and African-Americans who attended visit 4, with AF diagnosed at visit 4 or previously (defined by ECG at visits 1-4 or AF hospitalization before visit 4), and who had a measure of high sensitivity CRP.

Exclusion criteria. Race/ethnicity other than white and black, nonwhites in Minnesota or Washington county; missing information in covariates of interest.

Variables of interest

Main outcome variable
Time from visit 4 to death, CV death, or stroke (depending on the analysis).

Main independent variable: CRP. In a primary analysis, we will include all CRP values. Then, we will conduct a secondary analysis excluding those with CRP > 10 mg/L, since those individuals might have clinically relevant inflammatory conditions.

Covariates measured at visit 4: age, gender, race, center, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication, diabetes mellitus, prevalent stroke, prevalent heart failure (defined as prevalent HF at visit 1 according to Gothenburg criteria or incident HF between visit 1 and visit 4), prevalent CHD, use of antiplatelets, use of anticoagulants, use of statins, smoking status, ECG-based left ventricular hypertrophy.

Covariates measured at visit 1: education

Statistical analysis
We will assess the association between CRP and total mortality, CV mortality or stroke with Cox proportional hazards models. CRP will be modeled as a continuous variable and as quartiles
  • Model 1: adjusting for age, sex, and race
• Model 2: adjusting for age, sex, center, education, systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication, diabetes mellitus, prevalent stroke, and prevalent heart failure [CHADS2 variables]

• Model 3: adjusting for age, sex, center, education, systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication, diabetes mellitus, prevalent stroke, prevalent heart failure, body mass index, prevalent CHD, use of antiplatelets, use of anticoagulants, use of statins, smoking status, alcohol intake, and ECG-based left ventricular hypertrophy.

Additionally, we will estimate the net reclassification improvement of adding CRP to the CHADS2 for the prediction of the main outcomes.

Power calculations
After applying exclusion criteria, 293 ARIC participants with AF will be eligible. Of these, 134 (46%) died during follow-up through 2007 (average follow-up: 8 years).
Assuming a two-tailed alpha error of 0.05, we will have 80% statistical power to detect a hazard ratio of 1.8 comparing extreme quartiles. Power for the detection of linear trends, if present, will be higher. We recognize that power for other outcomes will be limited.

Strengths and limitations
The main strengths of this study include: the long follow-up, and the population-based character of the sample. Our proposal, however, has two important limitations: the limited sample size and the ascertainment of AF. AF ascertainment in ARIC is mostly based on hospital discharges. However, we have shown previously an adequate validity of hospital discharge codes for the ascertainment of AF (12). Related to the ascertainment method, we will be unable to differentiate between paroxysmal, persistent or permanent AF. Finally, because CRP measures are not taken at the initial diagnosis of AF, we will be able to include only those ARIC participants with AF who survived through visit 4. To determine the impact of this problem, we will explore whether time since first diagnosis of AF affects our associations.
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