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3. Timeline: Assays on of Visit 2 specimens have recently been sent to the coordinating center. We expected to complete analyses on these data in the next 3 months. We anticipate that the manuscript will be prepared within 6 months.

4. Rationale:

   Individuals with decreased kidney function are at a substantially higher risk of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and mortality than the general population. Early detection of individuals at increased risk of decreased kidney function is important to tailor therapy to minimize the incidence of these outcomes.

   Serum creatinine is the most commonly used marker of kidney function. Creatinine is a byproduct of muscle breakdown and, therefore, serum levels are affected by an individual’s muscle mass. Equations accounting for age, race and sex improve the estimation of
glomerular filtration rate (eGFRcreat) by accounting for average differences in muscle mass across these factors. Estimating equations, however, cannot account for individual differences in muscle mass. Muscle wasting due to chronic illness is associated with lower creatinine generation, leading to an overestimation of GFR in such individuals. Therefore, using eGFRcreat to assess kidney function may miss individuals that have reduced kidney function or be at higher risk of decreasing kidney function.

Cystatin C is less affected by muscle mass than serum creatinine and is thought to be a better marker of kidney function. Equations adjusting for age, race and sex also improve estimation of GFR by cystatin C (eGFRcys).

Additional analytes, including beta trace protein (BTP) and β2 microglobulin (β2M), have recently been examined as alternative markers of kidney function. Serum levels of beta-trace protein (BTP) levels were strongly correlated with GFR in a study of kidney transplant patients and in a small study (n=60) of individuals with various types of kidney diseases. In a combined analysis using data from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) and African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension (AASK), GFR estimated by an equation including serum creatinine, cystatin C and β2M levels correlated with directly-measured GFR more closely than equations based on any single marker, and was nearly as highly correlated as a repeated GFR. [Coresh, unpublished data]. Higher β2M levels predict early onset atherosclerosis and mortality in hemodialysis patients. Data are limited in other populations. It is currently unknown whether other factors affect BTP and/or β2M levels.

As these novel markers are investigated for use in estimating GFR, it is important to understand how these markers are associated with subsequent changes in kidney function. To our knowledge, no studies have evaluated the associations of BTP and β2M with the risk of incident CKD.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

a. Do serum levels of BTP and β2M independently predict incident CKD (as defined by an increase in cystatin C or a decrease in eGFRcys) among individuals with normal eGFRcreat at baseline?

b. Do serum levels of BTP and β2M predict incident end-stage renal disease (ESRD) independent of other risk factors?

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Serum creatinine was measured at ARIC Visits 2 and 4. Cystatin C was measured on all Visit 4 samples as part of Ancillary Study 2006.16, “Longitudinal Study of Predictors and Consequences of Chronic Kidney Disease.” This ancillary study also funded assays of Cystatin C, BTP and β2M in case-control studies of incident CKD and incident ESRD, using samples from Visit 2. Cystatin C measurements at Visit 2 and 4 will be used to identify cases and non-cases of incident CKD from Visit 2 to Visit 4. The eligibility criteria and case definitions for these case-control studies are described below:
Incident CKD study

Eligibility criteria:
- eGFRcreat at Visit 2 \( \geq 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73m}^2 \)
- Non-missing at Visit 2: hypertension, diabetes status, smoking status, lipids (LDL, HDL, TG)
- Non-missing eGFRcreat at Visit 4
- White or black; black in Jackson, white in Minneapolis and Washington County

Cases:
Three overlapping case groups were defined:

1) eGFRcys (N = 867 cases; 1,126 non-cases):
   - eGFRcys at Visit 2 \( \geq 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73m}^2 \) and eGFRcys at Visit 4 < 60 mL/min/1.73m²

2) Cystatin C (N = 777 cases; 1,058 non-cases):
   - Cystatin C at Visit 2 \( \leq 1.0 \text{ mg/dL} \) and Cystatin C at Visit 4 > 1.0 mg/dL

3) Combined (N = 872 cases; 1,043 non-cases):
   - eGFRcys at Visit 2 \( \geq 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73m}^2 \) and cystatin C at Visit 2 < 1.0 mg/dL and eGFRcys at Visit 4 < 60 mL/min/1.73m² or cystatin C at Visit 4 > 1.0 mg/dL

Controls:
A random sample of eligible participants was selected to serve as controls (N=1,289). A different subset of these meet the eligibility criteria for each of the three case groups above.

Incident ESRD study

Eligibility criteria:
- Non-missing eGFRcreat at Visit 2
- Non-missing diabetes status at Visit 2
- No ESRD prior to Visit 2
- White or black; black in Jackson, white in Minneapolis and Washington County

Cases:
4) ESRD (N = 171; 148 non-cases)
   - ESRD after Visit 2 (through 2005)

Controls:
No ESRD, frequency matched to cases on:
- Sex, race, diabetes at Visit 2
- Visit 2 eGFRcreat categories (10-19, 20-29, 30-39, etc …)
Analysis

All analyses will account for the frequency-matched selection of controls. Baseline characteristics will be examined in the overall population and stratified by quartiles of BTP and β₂M. T-tests and chi-square tests will be used to test differences across quartiles for continuous and categorical covariates, respectively.

The odds of declining kidney function or ESRD across quartiles of BTP and β₂M will be examined separately using conditional logistic regression, with and without adjustment for relevant covariates. Based on the results of these models, subsequent models will utilize different categories or will include risk factor levels modeled as continuous variables. Additional models will adjust for eGFRcreat or eGFRcys at Visit 2 to assess the added predictive capability of BTP and β₂M for declining kidney function. We also will model the change in eGFRcys or eGFRcreat between Visit 2 and Visit 4 as continuous outcome variables, and investigate whether the change in either estimate is predicted by levels of BTP and/or β₂M at Visit 2.
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