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3. Timeline:
Apr-Jun 2009: Development of streamlined analysis protocol
Jul-Sep 2009: Data analysis
Oct-mid-Nov 2009: Manuscript preparation

4. Rationale:
Recent work from Steinman’s group has demonstrated that the amount of disturbed flow at the carotid bifurcation, believed to be a local risk factor for carotid atherosclerosis, can be predicted from luminal geometric factors [Lee et al., Stroke
As part of an approved ARIC Ancillary Study (2006.14C: Geometric Risk Factors for Atherosclerosis, GenAth), we will eventually carry out a retrospective analysis of ARIC Carotid MRI data (N~2000) to discern the influence of lumen geometry vs. systemic risk factors on early wall thickening at the carotid bifurcation. Our intent is to use CARMRI contrast-enhanced MR angiograms (CEMRA) to quantify geometry; however, these were acquired at relatively low spatial resolution (0.8x0.8x2mm) mainly for the purpose of grading stenosis severity and arbitrating possible flow artifacts in black blood MRI images of the vessel wall. As a result, it is important to first demonstrate how reliably the three-dimensional lumen geometry can be extracted from these “routine” CEMRA images. To do this, we make use of data acquired from the CARMRI repeatability cohort (N=61).

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:
The specific aim of this study is to quantify the scan-scan repeatability of geometric factors extracted from “routine” CEMRA of the carotid bifurcation. Because the techniques are largely automated, we assume that operator variability is negligible, something we will also test.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Inclusion criteria: N=61 participants for whom replicate MRI studies (median interval 55 days) were acquired as part of the CARMRI substudy.

Study design: An operator (author Bijari), blinded to the identity of the N=61x2 replicate scans, uses a fast (<5 min) protocol for digitally segmenting the lumen geometry from the CEMRA images, based on authors Antiga and Steinman’s Vascular Modeling ToolKit (VMTK). The operator also rates the surface quality on a three-point scale in order to identify possible effects of image quality on repeatability. VMTK is then used to automatically quantify various geometric factors including bifurcation angle, planarity, tortuosity and area ratios as defined by the Lee et al. paper cited above. Of the N=122 cases, five from each quality rating, for a total of 15, are randomly selected for segmentation by another operator, blinded to the rating, in order to confirm operator independence.

Data Analysis: Primary outcome variable is the intraclass correlation coefficient (i.e., ICC(2,1)) for the geometric factors noted above. Baseline and repeat lumen surfaces will be automatically registered using the Iterative Closest Point (ICP) method in order to quantify the root-mean-squared error (RMSE) between the paired surfaces, as a measure of the absolute precision of the digitized lumen surfaces. One-way ANOVA will be used to test for any significant influence of image quality rating on the baseline vs. repeat differences. Author Steinman is in sole possession of the identity of the replicate scans, and will carry out all statistical analyses using the open-source R statistical package.
Limitations: N/A
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All data analysis has been completed, and the manuscript is currently being drafted for anticipated submission by mid-November 2009.