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3. Timeline: spring 2010

4. Rationale: Persistent elevated blood pressure (BP), diagnosed as hypertension (HTN), is quantitatively the major cardiovascular risk factor with a population prevalence of ~30%. Pathogenic pathways that lead to HTN remain poorly understood. A distinct fraction of the hypertension risk is genetic and this opens the possibility for genetic investigations to contribute to a better understanding of this trait and possible identification of new molecular targets for drug therapy.

ARIC has recently published a first genome-wide association study (GWAS) on SBP and DBP within the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) consortium. The corresponding manuscript proposal was
named “CHARGE GWAS for BP (SBP and DBP) at first visit”. The total sample size of this consortium including AGES, CHS, FHS, and ERGO is ~25,000.

Here we propose to analyze sex-specific genetic effects on SBP, DBP, and HTN in ARIC. We will analyze SBP, DBP, and HTN at the first ARIC visit (sbpa21 and sbpa22), including a 15/10mmHg treatment correction algorithm. Different interaction models for the sex specific effect will be used.

It is likely that this data will be meta-analyzed with other CHARGE cohorts.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:
Sex specific variants can be identified for SBP, DBP, and HTN at the first ARIC visit.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).
Design: Sex-gene interaction models for SBP, DBP, and HTN.

Phenotypes: SBP, DBP, and HTN at first visit

a) Model: Different interaction models will be used. The main analysis will include only self-reported whites (no self-reported African Americans included)

Genetic model: additive.

b) Transform: no transform, no scaling.

c) Covariates: age, age^2, bmi, study-center

d) Exclusions: outliers of the SBP/DBP distribution (>/- 4SD)

e) Control for multiple comparisons: Bonferroni or similar adjustment

f) Imputation
Imputation to Hapmap 2.5 M using MACHv1.0.16 (the imputed dataset will only be used if the data is utilized in a meta-analysis with other CHARGE cohorts).

g) Meta-analysis: No meta-analysis planned yet.

7.a. Will the data be used for non-CVD analysis in this manuscript? No

b. If Yes, is the author aware that the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude persons with a value RES_OTH = “CVD Research” for non-DNA analysis, and for DNA analysis RES_DNA = “CVD Research” would be used? NA

(This file ICTDER03 has been distributed to ARIC PIs, and contains the responses to consent updates related to stored sample use for research.)
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Yes
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