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3. **Timeline:**

- **Cohort-specific data analyses:** August 15, 2009
- **Meta-analysis:** September 15, 2009
- **Manuscript drafting complete:** November 15, 2009

4. **Rationale:**

We propose to test the interaction of whole grain intake with MAGIC-discovered gene variants on fasting glucose concentrations using data from 4 CHARGE cohorts (including ARIC, as well as FHS, CHS, and Rotterdam) and 5 MAGIC cohorts (European cohorts, not part of CHARGE, including GLACIER, ULSAM, PIVUS, GENDAI, and GHRAS). MAGIC has brought to light many SNPs associated with fasting and 2hr glucose and insulin at GWA significance; we will focus on 16 of these SNPs. We will use an additive genetic model, and adjust for confounding variables (age, sex, total Caloric intake, and field center or family/population substructure, as necessary). The results of cohort-specific analyses will be meta-analyzed. If interactions are identified, we will explore models that also adjust for BMI or other measure of adiposity—a potential causal pathway intermediate.

We expect that our analyses will bring to light how the dietary exposures might modulate genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes. We have selected the approach outlined above for reasons of practicality and, importantly, clinical relevance. We believe that an approach that focuses on SNPs known to be associated with the dependent phenotype (here, fasting glucose) will provide us the opportunity to evaluate diet x gene interactions in several large cohorts on a manageable scale, which is an important consideration at this stage in the development of gene x environment research. By focusing on candidate genes we can clarify our approach to gene x environment analysis and explore interactions with loci for which we have begun to gain better understanding. After we have honed our analytic approach, we can then begin to address these questions on a larger scale, i.e., diet x GWAS.

Our approach also allows us to address an important clinical question, “how (if at all) would our current dietary recommendations change, given our empirical knowledge of genetic risk?” Three recent nested case-controls studies highlight the current direction of the field and the reality of the interactive role of diet and genetic factors with respect to diabetes risk \(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\). Two of these studies reported significant interactions between TCF7L2 variation and whole grain intake \(^1\) or other measures of carbohydrate quality \(^2\) in determining diabetes risk. The third study, considered the interactions between Western and Prudent dietary patterns and a diabetes genetic risk score in prediction of diabetes \(^3\). In that study, investigators noted significant synergy between genetic risk and a Western diet, where those with high Western dietary pattern scores and high genetic risk scores showed a significantly magnified risk of diabetes. Due, in part, to differences in characterization of dietary exposures and genetic risk (scores vs. specific SNPs), each study reached a somewhat unique conclusion. However, the unifying conclusion of the three studies is that yes, diet, perhaps carbohydrate quality in particular, appears to influence the impact of genetics in determining risk of diabetes \(^2\) (or vice versa, genetics does influence the impact of diet in determining risk of diabetes \(^1\)).
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5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:
Ho: association between whole grain intake and fasting glucose does not differ by SNP genotype (SNPs of interest listed below)

6. Design and analysis

**ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURE:**
Whole grain intake (servings/day, modeled as a continuous variable)
The composite variable—“whole grain intake”—comprises the following (-line items from each cohort are shown)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARGE/MAGIC COHORTS</th>
<th>MAGIC ONLY COHORTS</th>
<th>GHRAS whole grains = sum of (1)...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- dark or whole grain bread</td>
<td>- whole grain bread</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- cooked cereals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- cold cereal (high-fiber/whole grain or “variety”)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FHS whole grains = sum of (8)...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- dark bread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- cooked oatmeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- cold cereal (whole grain)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- brown rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- bulgur/kasha/couscous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- popcorn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- wheat germ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- added bran</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHS whole grains = sum of (3)...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- whole wheat/rye/pumpernickel breads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- cooked cereals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- high-fiber/bran/granola cereals*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- crisp bread (mostly rye/whole grain varieties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROTTERDAM whole grains = sum of (9)...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- brown bread (wheat)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- brown bread (wholemeal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- rye bread dark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- rye bread light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- porridge oatmeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- muesli without sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- rice brown boiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- wheat germ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- wheat bran</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ULSAM &amp; PIVUS whole grains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*based on coded and categorized self-reported brand of cold cereal most commonly consumed

**OUTCOMES:**
Fasting glucose

**EXCLUSIONS:**
- Prevalent diabetes
- Non-fasting status
- Non-white race
- Inadequate (failed QC) dietary data

**INTERACTION TEST:**
A regression coefficient (β±SE) for the interaction term whole grains*SNP will be calculated in each cohort and values meta-analyzed.
Note: whole grain intake will modeled continuously [servings/d], and an additive genetic model will be used [estimated copies of risk allele] Likelihood ratio test for the interaction term will be used to generate p-values

**MODEL COVARIATES:**
sex, age (continuous: years), total daily energy intake (continuous: kcal/day), cohort and/or population substructure as needed +BMI, if interactions reach a priori significance level (see below)
i.e., main model:
fasting glucose = SNP (estimated copies of risk allele), WHOLE GRAINS (servings per day, continuous), SNP*WHOLE GRAINS + model covariates listed above

**SIGNIFICANCE:** $p \leq 0.003^*$ for the interaction term in each meta-analysis, although we will also focus on consistency of results, given the lower power for these GxE analyses.

$*0.016667 = 0.05/16$ SNPs (Bonferroni correction)
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