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4. Rationale: It is well known that patients with end-stage renal disease are prone to thrombosis but whether lesser degrees of chronic kidney disease is a risk factor for venous thromboembolism (VTE) is uncertain. In a previous LITE paper (1), we found that a creatinine-based measure of GFR was associated inversely with risk of VTE in ARIC and CHS. However, surprisingly Cystatin C, which is a specific kidney disease
marker, was not related to VTE occurrence in CHS. Now that we have Cystatin C in ARIC visit 4, we want to retest this hypothesis using the 263 VTE cases that have occurred since ARIC visit 4, with greater power than we had for CHS previously. We also will examine the association with microalbuminuria measured as the albumin/creatinine ratio, also measured at visit 4.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**
Cystatin C is associated positively with incidence of VTE

Secondary: albumin/creatinine ratio is associated positively with VTE.

6. **Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).**

Design: cohort

Endpoint: VTE incidence

Exposure: visit 4 cystatin C (and cystatin derived GFR) and albumin/creatinine

Astor did a small (n=40) calibration study comparing Baylor cystatin C to the Cleveland Clinic, and found a relatively constant difference, where Cleveland Clinic was 16% higher than Baylor (i.e., Cleveland Clinic = 1.16 * Baylor). We are using these recalibrated values in our analyses. Then estimated GFR by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration = 127.7 x (recalibrated cystatin C in mg/dL)-1.17 x (age in years)-0.13 x (0.91 if female) x (1.06 if black). (2)

Main covariates: age, race, sex, center, BMI

Analysis: Cox proportional hazards, with exposures modeled as continuous variables and as quartiles. Also look at clinically defined categories.
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