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3. **Timeline:**
Several studies have reported on the association between retinal microvascular signs and cardiovascular disease. These results have been inconsistent. The purpose of this study is to investigate the differences between the results reported. We have conducted a systematic search of the literature and have identified the ARIC study as one of the studies that has recorded retinal microvascular signs and cardiovascular outcomes. The principal investigators of the Blue Mountains Eye Study, Beaver Dam Eye Study, AusDiab and Rotterdam study have agreed to provide their data for this study. Initial analyses and writing will take place between September 2008 and December 2008, and final writing and manuscript submission between January 2009 and March 2009.

4. **Rationale:**
Recently, a number of large epidemiological studies have reported associations between retinal microvascular signs and incident cardiovascular outcomes such as stroke and coronary heart disease (CHD). Wider retinal venules, narrower arteriolar calibre and retinopathy lesions have been independently linked with increased risk of CHD and stroke in several populations, but uncertainties remain regarding the consistency of associations, the magnitude of effect, and the subgroups in which these effects are manifest most strongly. These questions are important and relevant to efforts to explore the use of retinal photography in cardiovascular risk prediction.

The Blue Mountain Eye Study (BMES) reported that retinopathy was associated with stroke although this result was not found in the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS). Arteriolar caliber has been shown to be associated with coronary heart disease in the CHS population, but only amongst women in the BMES and ARIC. Other retinal microvascular signs have been investigated in some studies and not others. Venular caliber has been shown to be associated with stroke in the CHS population and the Rotterdam study but this has yet to be investigated in ARIC.

**Specific aims**
A meta-analysis is proposed that will combine the individual participant data from ARIC and the other studies that have been identified from a systematic literature search. The primary objectives of this analysis are

- To describe the age and sex-specific associations between retinal microvascular signs and incident cardiovascular disease;
- To determine whether these associations are independent of the risk factors in the Framingham risk score and other traditional and non-traditional cardiovascular risk factors;
- To determine whether the retinal microvascular signs add to the predictive ability of current CVD risk prediction methods such as the Framingham risk score;
• To explore the possible sources of heterogeneity between studies including study and participant level characteristics.

**Literature search**
The electronic databases Medline and Embase have been searched for studies that meet the following criteria (1) cohort studies that have used retinal photography to record the presence of microvascular retinal signs and/or the diameters of retinal calibres at baseline, (2) have at least one year of follow-up available, (3) data available on cause specific CVD morbidity and/or mortality outcomes.

Table 1 lists the studies that have been identified from the literature search and that will be approached to contribute the individual participant data to be included in the meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study</td>
<td>12887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Mountains Eye Study</td>
<td>3654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver Dam Eye Study</td>
<td>4926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam Study</td>
<td>5540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AusDiab</td>
<td>2177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These studies listed are all community based cohort studies that have recorded retinal calibers. A number of other studies that have been carried out amongst specific populations (eg people with diabetes or hypertension) or amongst general population but which have not recorded retinal calibres have also been identified. However, the focus of this analysis will be on the investigation of the association between retinal arteriolar and venular calibers and CVD in a general population.

The quality of studies that match the selection criteria will be assessed using the guidelines published in by Hayden et al. These guidelines recommend assessing the following aspects of each study - study participation, study attrition, prognostic factor measurement, outcome measurement, confounding measurement and account, analysis – to determine the risk of bias. The heterogeneity of results between studies of different quality will then be examined.

### 5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:
1. What are the age and sex-specific associations between retinal arteriolar and venular calibers and incident cardiovascular disease?
2. Are these associations are independent of the risk factors in the Framingham risk score and other traditional and non-traditional cardiovascular risk factors?
3. Do the retinal arteriolar and venular calibers add to the predictive ability of current CVD risk prediction methods such as the Framingham risk score?

4. What study and participant level characteristics are associated with the differences in effect measures between studies?

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

1. Study design: Meta analysis of individual participant data
2. Inclusion criteria: Participants attending third visit
3. Exclusion criteria: Exclusion criteria: From participants at ARIC visit 3 (n=12,887), exclude persons who whose race is not black/white, with ungradeable retinal photographs or missing retinal variable at visit 3, and prevalent CHD and stroke at baseline or prior to visit 3.
4. Outcomes: Incident CHD and incident stroke within 10 years of visit 3.
5. Study factor: Retinal arteriolar and venular caliber. The raw vessel calibers are requested as well as the summary measures central retinal arteriolar equivalent (CRAE) and central retinal venular equivalent (CRVE). This will allow the retinal calibers to be summarized using the Knudtson as well as the Parr-Hubbard formulas.
6. Covariates: age, sex, race, center, prevalent MI, CHD, or CVD, diabetes and hypertension status, blood pressure, lipids (total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C, TG), hemostatic and inflammatory markers (von Willebrand factor, factor VIIIc, fibrinogen, WBC), cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, body mass index (variables from ARIC visit 1-3, except for von Willebrand factor, factor VIIIc, WBC, fibrinogen available ARIC visit 1 only). Where appropriate, adjustment will be made for covariates averaged over ARIC visit 1-3 (e.g., 6-year averaged blood pressure, 6-year averaged glucose, 6-year averaged BMI, etc). Additional measurements of these variables recorded before, during or after visit 3 are also requested to adjust for regression dilution.

7. Data analysis: Cox proportional hazards models will be used to estimate the association between the microvascular retinal signs and CVD outcomes. The estimated hazard ratios will be adjusted for the traditional and non-traditional CVD risk factors. Hierarchical models will be used to explore heterogeneity and combine the individual patient data in the meta-analysis. Adjustment for regression dilution will be carried out for studies that have repeat measurements available for the study factors and/or covariates.
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