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3. **Timeline:**

   The intent of this analysis is to investigate the cross-sectional association of the age-related macular degeneration and carotid artery stiffness. Initial analyses and writing will take place between Jan and May 2006, and final writing and manuscript submission between June 2006 and October 2006.

4. **Rationale:**

   Several studies have linked age-related macular degeneration (AMD) with structural [1] [2, 3], functional [2-4] and biochemical [5-11] markers of atherosclerosis, though the findings are not universally consistent [12].

   The Rotterdam Eye Study in the Netherlands found increased risk of prevalent late AMD and incident early or late AMD in persons with carotid artery atherosclerosis [2]. Plaques in the carotid bifurcation (odds ratio 4.7; 95% confidence interval, 1.8 to 12.2) and common carotid artery (OR 2.5; 95%CI, 1.4 to 4.5) were shown to associate with increased risk of AMD. After more than 5 years of follow-up, in addition to carotid plaques, increased carotid wall thickness was also found to increase risk of AMD (OR 1.15; 95%CI, 1.03 to 1.28), even after extensive adjustments for confounders [3]. Furthermore, the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study in 1999 also reported an independent association of carotid plaque with retinal pigment epithelial depigmentation (OR 1.77; 95%CI, 1.18-2.65), nevertheless, referring to the large
number of associations studied, it was concluded that atherosclerosis was unrelated to ARM overall [1]. Functional measure of atherosclerosis by ankle-brachial index has also been reported to associate with AMD. The Rotterdam Eye Study demonstrated a statistically significant 2.5 times increased prevalence odds of late AMD in persons with ABI less than 0.9 [2]. This, however, could not be confirmed by the recent Beaver Dam Eye study [13], in which, despite higher proportions of participants with early and late AMD were found to be associated with low ABI (22.1% with early AMD vs 18.8% without, 5.3% with late AMD vs 1.7% without), the associations were not statistically significant. This negative finding was suggested to be due to the small number of cases of late AMD in the study population [13].

Lastly, biomarkers of atherosclerosis, too, have been linked to AMD. A recent case-control study of 156 persons (79 with AMD) from the AMD Genetic Study Group found that individuals with AMD have higher serum levels of homocysteine and C-reactive protein (CRP), both of which have been found to associate with carotid artery atherosclerosis [11].

In light of the conflicting evidence of an association between AMD and carotid artery atherosclerosis, we postulate that AMD may be associated with carotid artery stiffness, an early manifestation of atherosclerosis that has been shown to increase risk of ischemic stroke and cardiovascular mortality.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

1. Is carotid artery stiffness associated AMD in the ARIC study?
2. If so, is this related to a particular AMD lesion (drusen, pigmentary change etc)?
3. If so, is the association independent of age, gender, race, cigarette smoking, hypertension and other cardiovascular risk factors?

6. Data (variables, time window, source, inclusions/exclusions):

(1) AMD variables. Any AMD, early AMD, late AMD and specific AMD lesions (drusen, pigmentary changes)
(2) Carotid artery stiffness (derived from systolic carotid arterial diameter, diastolic carotid arterial diameter, and the change in carotid arterial diameter between systole and diastole, concurrent brachial blood pressure (BP) measured every 30 seconds, and the average of 2 BP measures during ultrasound examination). Carotid arterial elasticity, as BP-adjusted arterial diameter change (AADC), include average diastolic arterial diameter, average systolic arterial diameter, average diameter change, diastolic BP, systolic BP, and pulse pressure.
(3) Covariates: age, sex, ethnicity, education levels, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, body mass index, fasting serum total cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterols, diabetes mellitus, blood pressure, hemostatic and inflammatory markers (von Willebrand factor, factor VIIIc, fibrinogen, WBC)
(4) Exclusion criteria: From participants at ARIC visit 3 (n=12,887), exclude persons who whose race is not black/white, with ungradeable retinal photographs or missing retinal variables for AMD and carotid artery stiffness parameters at visit 3.
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