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5. Rationale:
Since the mid 20th century, higher rates of CHD among those with lower SES have been repeatedly reported in industrialized countries. The presence and strength of this association has varied by gender, race, age, and by type and level of SES measure used. There is recent evidence that SES disparities in CHD mortality are increasing. In Sweden there was an earlier onset of MI decline in nonmanual male workers and until 1981 and an increase in CHD among manual working women, which had a net result of increasing the population attributable risk due to SES for the period of 1971-94. Similarly, between 1979-94 steeper rates of decline in CHD mortality among higher classes of employed persons resulted in a widening of SES disparities in Australia. In the U.S. the onset of the decline in CHD mortality in white men and women began later among those living in lower than higher SES areas and increasing inequality by educational profile of state economic areas occurred between 1960 through the late 1980s. In a study of North Carolina decedents (1984-93) coded into four occupational classes based on usual occupation recorded on death certificate, CHD mortality decreased among whites in all occupational groups, but the rate of decline was steepest for those in the highest occupational classes. In contrast, among blacks, CHD mortality decreased only among those in the highest occupational status group. Among all others, CHD mortality rates increased across time. The net result, in both race groups, was that socioeconomic disparities increased across time. In the surveillance studies reviewed based on U.S. populations, almost none examined SES variations in trends of nonfatal CHD related outcomes (MI, case fatality, etc). This probably reflects the lack of SES information in hospital records, the source from which much of surveillance data is derived. An exception is a recent publication from the Worcester Heart Attack Study, which reported that long term survival after an MI was lower in neighborhoods with higher proportions of persons living in poverty and higher proportions of persons with lower educational levels.

Both gender and racial differences in the rate of MI have been documented in ARIC surveillance: rates are higher in men than in women and within gender groups are higher among African Americans than in whites (consistently across rates are higher in African Americans and within race/ethnic groups higher among men than women (Rosamond, work in progress). However, socioeconomic discrepancies have not been investigated to date. With data being collected as part of ARIC ancillary study (AS) 2004.05 (The Neighborhood Burden of SES in Communities), address data from hospital records is being geocoded so that participants can be linked with census tract level SES characteristics. This will allow us to investigate the contribution of SES (neighborhood) to variations in rates of MI and to examine the contribution of SES to racial disparities in these rates.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

1. Neighborhood SES is inversely associated with the rates of MI within and across study communities

2. Neighborhood disparities in the occurrence of MI increase over time
3. Racial disparities in MI rates are in part explained by neighborhood SES disparities

Data:

- Neighborhood (census tract) SES measures are available through ARIC ancillary study AS 2004.05. (The Burden of CHD in Communities). Empirical work currently in progress will be used to chose whether an index measure, which has been typically used in earlier work in the ARIC cohort, (e.g.,  or a single measure reflective of economic deprivation (e.g., % living in poverty, median family income) will be used to represent neighborhood SES in the current project.

- Denominators will consist of race and gender specific census tract population counts for the year 2000 (assumes the population for the year 2000 is the average size between 199-2001 for persons between 35 and 74 years of age.

- Events (numerators) will consist of definite and probable hospitalized MIs.

- Covariates considered will include race, gender, center, year of event (time), and age.

- The year 2000 census counts will be used in standardizing rates by age (race and gender specific)

Exclusions:

Surveillance events included will be limiting to in hospital definite and probable MIs occurring since January 1993. Earlier events are not included because addresses – which are needed to link participants to census tract level SES indicators - were not routinely and uniformly abstracted from medical records until this time. For analyses focusing on the period prevalence of these procedures we will focus on events occurring in 1999-2001. For analyses addressing trends across time, data from 1993 – 2002 will be used.

Analyses:

Within each study community, race (Jackson and Forsyth only) and gender specific rates for MI (definite and probable) will be calculated by category (quantiles) of neighborhood SES (within and across study communities). GIS techniques will be used to “map” or graphically display rates by category of neighborhood SES within each of the study communities.

The main analytic technique used will be Poisson regression modeling that takes into account spatial autocorrelations. This will be implemented using WINBUGS software or the SAS macro GLIMMIX. Both procedures have the capabilities to estimate the model taking into account spatial autocorrelation.\(^{18}\) The data include observed and expected MI cases (age standardized) for each census tract. The GIS information will be used to determine the neighbors for each census tract. The covariates include indicators of neighborhood SES and demographic variables (age, race, center, gender). To examine whether SES effects vary over time, these models will be further extended to include time and time interactions.
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