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3. Timeline: We expect to complete the manuscript by October 2005.

4. Rationale:

   A number of epidemiological studies have reported that several factors such as hypertension, smoking, diabetes mellitus, and hemostatic factors predict future ischemic stroke events (1, 2), but few prospective studies have demonstrated associations of these risk factors with subtypes of ischemic stroke (3, 4). Since pathogenesis, prognosis, and
treatment are different among ischemic stroke subtypes, risk factor assessment for each subtype should be performed separately.

A previous prospective study of 4,736 older US, predominantly white, women and men showed that current smoking and history of diabetes were independently associated with increased risk of lacunar stroke but not both atherosclerotic and embolic strokes (3). Another prospective study of 1,621 Japanese men and women observed significant positive associations between current smoking, glucose intolerance and lacunar stroke incidence in women but not in men (4). Since the number of ischemic stroke events in the previous prospective studies was relatively small, it is necessary to examine the differences in risk factors among stroke subtypes using large cohort samples. Furthermore, no prospective study has examined the relationships of nontraditional risk factors such as hemostatic factors and Lp(a) with ischemic stroke subtypes.

On the other hand, the ARIC study reported that African Americans had a 2.4 fold higher age-adjusted relative risk of stroke incidence compared with whites (5) which could be partially explained by higher prevalence of stroke risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, and current smoking among African Americans than among whites (6). Therefore, the higher prevalence of stroke risk factors among African Americans may contribute to a predominance of a particular stroke subtype among African Americans.

5. **Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:**

1) Associations of hypertension, smoking, and diabetes mellitus with lacunar stroke incidence are stronger than those with nonlacunar and embolic stroke incidence.  
2) Associations of hemostatic factors with embolic stroke incidence are stronger than those with lacunar and nonlacunar stroke incidence.  
3) Associations of cholesterol and Lp(a) levels with nonlacunar stroke incidence are stronger than those with lacunar and embolic stroke incidence.  
4) The relative rate of lacunar stroke in African Americans compared with whites is higher than that of nonlacunar stroke and embolic stroke.

6. **Data (variables, time window, source, inclusions/exclusions):**

Dependent variables: Ischemic stroke subtypes incidence (up to 2002)

Independent variables: systolic blood pressure, hypertensive medication, diabetes mellitus, smoking, total & HDL cholesterol, fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor, white cell count, lipoprotein(a), left ventricular hypertrophy, history of CHD, body mass index, and alcohol consumption.

Adjustment for age, gender, race-field center, education level, cholesterol-lowering medication, and postmenopausal hormone therapy.
Exclusion: history of stroke, no independent variable.

Proportional hazards (Cox) regression will be used to examine both the univariate and multivariable-adjusted associations between independent variables and time to incidence of lacunar, nonlacunar, and embolic stroke.
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